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This vignette describes how the R package poolfstat can be used to compute various f- and D-statistics (estimation of $F_{S T}$, Patterson's $F_{2}, F_{3}, F_{3}^{\star}, F_{4}$ and $D$ parameters ${ }^{1}$ ) in population genomics studies from allele count or Pool-Seq read count data. The package also includes functions to fit and construct admixture graphs to infer the demographic history of populations based on the estimated $f$-statistics together with their visualization. This document is conceived as a hands-on tutorial providing users with an overview of the package functions with working example analyzing the Pool-Seq and allele count simulated data sets described in section 1 and publicly available for download from the Zenodo repository ${ }^{2}$. Details and (numerous) reference for the all the underlying methods are available in Gautier et al. (2022).
The poolfstat package is currently available for most platforms (Linux, MS Windows and MacOSX) from the CRAN repository (http://cran.r-project.org/) and may be installed using a standard procedure. Once the package has been successfully installed on your system, it can be loaded by:
library (poolfstat)

## 1 Preamble: presentation of the working example data set

Genetic data were simulated using the coalescent simulator msprime (KELLEHER et al. 2016) for a total of 150 diploid individuals belonging to 6 different populations ( $\mathrm{n}=25$ per populations) that were historically related by the admixture graph represented in Figure 1. Each genome consisted of 20 independent chromosomes of $L=100 \mathrm{Mb}$ assuming a scaled chromosome-wide recombination rate of $\rho=4 L N_{e} r=4,000^{3}$. Similarly, the scaled chromosome-wide mutation $\theta=4 L N_{e} \mu=4,000^{4}$. More precisely, the following msprime command was used:


Figure 1: Simulated scenario relating the 6 populations of the working example. Names of the internal node populations for which no data is available are written in grey.

[^0]The simulation output was further parsed to remove all variants displaying a Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) ${ }^{5}$ lower than $1 \%$ which lead to a total of 472,410 remaining SNPs (from 23,246 to 24,237 per chromosome) with position information stored in the file snp6p.snpinfo.gz $z^{2}$. From the resulting genotyping data, allele counts for both the ancestral and the derived alleles (which was taken as reference) were easily obtained by simple counting ${ }^{6}$. A Pool-Seq data set with no sequencing error was subsequently simulated from the allele count data as described in GaUtiER et al. (2022). Briefly, for each SNP $i$ in each population $j$, we sampled a read count $r_{i j}$ for the reference allele from a Binomial distribution following $r_{i j} \sim \operatorname{Bin}\left(\frac{y_{i j}}{n_{j}} ; c_{i j}\right)$ where $y_{i j}$ is the derived allele count for SNP $i$ in population $j, n_{j}$ is the (haploid) sample size of population $j$ (here $n_{j}=50$ for all $j$ ) and $c_{i j}$ is the overall read coverage at SNP $i$ position ${ }^{7}$. Varying overall read coverages across pools and SNPs were simulated by sampling the $c_{i j}$ 's from a Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda=30$, i.e., assuming a read coverage of 30 X for the different pools ${ }^{8}$. Two files representative of "real-life" data format were finally generated to store the simulate allele count and read count data:

- a file named sim6p.genotreemix.gz containing allele count data for each SNP and each population in the same format as the one used in the population program Treemix (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012)
- a file named sim6p.poolseq30X.vcf.gz $z^{2}$ containing read count data for each SNP and each population in a $v c f$ format similar to the one obtained with the software VarScan (Koboldt et al. 2012)


## 2 Reading and manipulating input data

The package poolfstat includes several utilities to parse allele count or Pool-Seq read count input data stored in various standard formats. It is worth stressing that distinguishing standard allele count data ${ }^{9}$ from Pool-Seq read count data is critical to rely on the appropriate $f$-statistics estimator (Hivert et al. 2018; Gautier et al. 2022). Hence, in the poolfstat package, two different S4 object classes are defined to store the data:

- the countdata S4 class for allele count data with elements (slots) detailed in the documentation page that can be accessed with the help function (or ? operator) as follows:
help(countdata)
- the pooldata S4 class for (PoolSeq) read count data (PoolSeq data) with elements (slots) detailed in the documentation page that can be accessed with the help function (or ? operator) as follows:

```
help(pooldata)
```

These classes characterize the type and origin of the data and are automatically detected by the computeFST (section 3.1.1), compute.pairwiseFST (section 3.2) and compute.fstats (section 4) functions that implement the different unbiased estimators thereby ensuring that the appropriate estimation procedure is used.

### 2.1 Generating a countdata object for allele count data

A countdata object can be generated from allele count data stored in two different input file format:

- The input file format required by the popular Treemix program (Pickrell and Pritchard 2012) using the function genotreemix2countdata
- The input file format required by the program BayPass (Gautier 2015) for allele count data using the function genobaypass2countdata

The following example shows how to generate a countdata object (here named sim6p.allelecount) from the sim6p.genotreemix.gz example file that contains the allele count data (in a Treemix file format) for the simulated example data (section 1):

[^1]```
sim6p.allelecount<-genotreemix2countdata(genotreemix.file = "sim6p.genotreemix.gz")
```

Information about marker positions (chromosome or scaffold of origin of the markers and position on the chromosome) may be provided using the snp.pos argument of the genotreemix2countdata and genobaypass2countdata functions. For instance, to include the mapping information stored in the snp6p.snpinfo.gz example file (section 1 ), one may use the following commands ${ }^{10}$ :

```
positions<-read.table("sim6p.snpinfo.gz",header=TRUE,row.names=1,stringsAsFactors = FALSE)
sim6p.allelecount<-genotreemix2countdata(genotreemix.file = "sim6p.genotreemix.gz",
    snp.pos=positions,verbose=FALSE)
sim6p.allelecount #display a summary of the object
* * * Countdata Object * * *
* Number of SNPs = 472410
* Number of Pops = 6
* Pop Names
P1; P2; P3; P4; P5; P6
```


## Notice

For operations requiring marker position information i.e., block-jackknife estimation of standard error (Appendix A.1) and estimation of multi-locus $F_{S T}$ on sliding windows over the genome (section 3.1.1), markers are always assumed to be ordered in the genome as their relative position in the input files. If no map information is provided, a default position is given to all the SNPs and they are all assumed to map to the same chromosome. In this case, some windows or blocks may then extend over two consecutive contigs ${ }^{a}$.
${ }^{a}$ Providing the reference assembly used to order the markers is not too fragmented, the block-jackknife estimates of
standard errors may only be marginally affected since the blocks are defined by a number of consecutive markers (and
not by a physical length)

Additional arguments may allow filtering the data for low marker polymorphism levels (min.maf) or genotyping call rate (min.indgeno.per.pop). More details are available in the documentation pages of the genotreemix2countdata and genobaypass2countdata functions accessible with the commands ?genotreemix2pooldata and ?genobaypasstreemix2pooldata.

### 2.2 Generating a pooldata object for Pool-Seq read count data

A pooldata object can be generated from Pool-Seq read count data stored in either of the four following formats:

- $v c f$ file generated by most SNP calling software commonly used to analyze Pool-Seq data including VarScan (Koboldt et al. 2012), bcftools/SAMtools (Li et al. 2009), GATK (McKenna et al. 2010) or FreeBayes (Garrison and Marth 2012) using the vcf2pooldata function ${ }^{11}$
- rsync files generated by the PoPoolation software (KOFLER et al. 2011) using the popsync2pooldata function
- The two input files (pool read count and pool haploid sizes) required by the program BayPass (Gautier 2015) to analyze Pool-Seq data using the genobaypass2pooldata function
- The input file required by the SelEstim program (Vitalis et al. 2014) to analyze Pool-Seq data using the genoselestim2pooldata function

[^2]The following example shows how to generate a pooldata object for the simulated Pool-Seq data contained in the sim6p.poolseq30X.vcf.gz vcf file (section 1).

```
sim6p.readcount30X <-vcf2pooldata(vcf.file="sim6p.poolseq30X.vcf.gz",poolsizes=rep(50,6))
Reading Header lines
Parsing allele counts
VarScan like format detected for allele count data: the AD field contains allele depth
for the alternate allele and RD field for the reference allele
(N.B., positions with more than one alternate allele will be ignored)
472410 lines processed in 0 h 0 m 3 s : 472410 SNPs found
Data consists of 472410 SNPs for 6 Pools
sim6p.readcount30X #display a summary of the resulting pooldata object
* * * PoolData Object * * *
* Number of SNPs = 472410
* Number of Pools = 6
* Pool Names :
Pool1; Pool2; Pool3; Pool4; Pool5; Pool6
* * * * * * * * * * * * * *
```

Additional arguments may allow filtering the data according to the read coverage of the pool (e.g., min.maf, min.rc, min.cov.per.pool or max.cov.per.pool). More details are available in the in the documentation pages of these different functions accessible with the commands ?vcf2pooldata, ?popsync2pooldata, ?genobaypass2pooldata and ?genoselestim2pooldata respectively.

### 2.3 Manipulating countdata and pooldata objects

The function pooldata.subset (respectively countdata.subset) allows subsetting pooldata (resp. countdata) to retrieve just parts (e.g., some SNPs and/or population samples) of the original data sets according to various criteria (see ?pooldata.subset or ?countdata.subset for more details). In the example below, a pooldata object containing only information for populations $P 2, P 3$ and $P 6$ for SNP with a MAF $>0.05$ is created from the sim6p.readcount object previously created:

```
sim6p.readcount30X.subset<-pooldata.subset(sim6p.readcount30X,pool.index=c(2,3,6),
                                    min.maf=0.05,verbose=FALSE)
sim6p.readcount30X.subset #display a summary of the resulting pooldata object
* * * PoolData Object * * *
* Number of SNPs = 241280
* Number of Pools = 3
* Pool Names
Pool2; Pool3; Pool6
* * * * * * * * * * * * * *
```

Note that indexes of the retained SNPs from the original data set may be obtained by setting the return.snp.idx argument to TRUE in the functions pooldata.subset (or countdata.subset). In this case the row of the matrix stored in the snpinfo slot of the pooldata (or countdata) output object are named "rs"snp.idx (where snp.idx is the SNP index for the original object) which makes it straightforward to obtain the indexes of the selected SNP as shown below:

```
sim6p.readcount30X.subset<-pooldata.subset(sim6p.readcount30X,pool.index=c(2,3,6),
    min.maf=0.05,return.snp.idx=TRUE,verbose=FALSE)
selected.snps.idx <- as.numeric(sub("rs","",rownames(sim6p.readcount30X.subset@snp.info)))
head(selected.snps.idx)
```

[1] 134568
For pooldata objects, the functions pooldata2genobaypass and pooldata2selestim may also be used to generate input files for the aforementioned BayPass and SelEstim programs, including the generation of sub-samples
of the original data (see ?pooldata2genobaypass and ?pooldata2selestim for more details).

## 3 Estimating $F_{S T}$

The $F_{S T}$ parameter is commonly used to quantify the structuring of the genetic diversity among populations (see e.g. Hivert et al. 2018 and references therein). It may be defined as:

$$
F_{S T} \equiv \frac{Q_{1}-Q_{2}}{1-Q_{2}}
$$

where $Q_{1}$ is the Identity In State (IIS) probability for genes sampled within populations (or pools), and $Q_{2}$ is the IIS probability for genes sampled between populations (or pools).

The computeFST and compute.pairwiseFST (for all pairs of populations) functions implement two different $F_{S T}$ estimators relying on:

- a decomposition of the total variance of allele or read count frequencies in an analysis-of-variance framework (Weir and Cockerham 1984) which is the default procedure of the functions (as specified by the argument method="Anova"). The implemented estimators are derived in WEIR (1996) (eq. 5.2) (see also Akey et al. 2002) for allele count data (i.e., countdata objects, see 2.1); and in Hivert et al. (2018) (eq. 9) for (Pool-Seq) read count data (i.e., pooldata objects, see 2.2).
- unbiased estimators $\widehat{Q_{1}}$ and $\widehat{Q_{2}}$ of the IIS probabilities $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ (as specified by the argument method="Identity"). For allele count data (i.e., countdata objects, see 2.1) this estimator actually correspond to the one used by Karlsson et al. (2007). For Pool-Seq read count data (i.e., pooldata objects, see 2.2 ), the $\widehat{Q_{1}}$ of the $\widehat{Q_{2}}$ estimators are described in equations A39 and A43 respectively in Hivert et al. (2018) Supplementary Materials.

Note that multi-locus estimates (i.e., genome-wide estimates or sliding windows estimates) are derived as the sum of locus-specific numerators over the sum of locus-specific denominators of the different quantities (see, e.g., Hivert et al. 2018 and references therein).

### 3.1 Estimating genome-wide $F_{S T}$ across all the populations

### 3.1.1 The computeFST function

The computeFST function automatically uses the appropriate estimator given the input object class (either allele count for countdata objects or Pool-Seq read count data for pooldata objects). For instance with the simulated example data, we obtain the following estimates of $F_{S T}$ with:

- allele count data:

```
sim6p.allelecount.fst<-computeFST(sim6p.allelecount)
sim6p.allelecount.fst$FST #genome-wide Fst over all populations
```

[1] 0.132319

- Pool-Seq read count data:

```
sim6p.readcount30X.fst<-computeFST(sim6p.readcount30X)
sim6p.readcount30X.fst$FST #genome-wide Fst over all populations
```

[1] 0.1324199
Note that by default, the method Anova is implemented in the computeFST function which may be changed with the method argument of the function (see section 3).

### 3.1.2 Block-Jackknife estimation of $\hat{F}_{S T}$ standard-error and confidence intervals:

Standard-error of the $F_{S T}$ estimates can be estimated using a block-jackknife sampling approach (see Appendix A.1) by specifying the number of consecutive SNPs defining a block with the argument nsnp.per.bjack.block (by default nsnp.per.bjack.block=0, i.e., no block-jackknife is carried out) as illustrated below for:

- allele count data:

```
sim6p.allelecount.fst<-computeFST(sim6p.allelecount,nsnp.per.bjack.block = 1000)
Starting Block-Jackknife sampling
4 6 2 ~ J a c k k n i f e ~ b l o c k s ~ i d e n t i f i e d ~ w i t h ~ 4 6 2 0 0 0 ~ S N P s ~ ( o u t ~ o f ~ 4 7 2 4 1 0 ) . ~
    SNPs map to 20 different chrom/scaffolds
Average (min-max) Block Sizes: 4.232 ( 3.515 - 4.975 ) Mb
```

The resulting genome-wide $F_{S T}$ estimated as the mean over block-jackknife sample is stored in the mean.fst element of the output list. Note that mean.fst may slightly differ from the default genome-wide estimate of $F_{S T}$ (stored in the FST element of the output list, as above with no block-jackknife sampling is carried out) as it is only computed from the SNPs eligible for block-jackknife (see Appendix A.1):

```
sim6p.allelecount.fst$FST #genome-wide Fst over all populations
[1] 0.132319
sim6p.allelecount.fst$mean.fst #block-jacknife estimate of s.e.
[1] 0.1324889
```

The standard-error of the genome-wide $F_{S T}$ estimate is stored in the se.fst element of the output list and may be used to construct $95 \%$ confidence intervals (CI) intervals of the estimated values:

```
sim6p.allelecount.fst$se.fst #s.e. of the genome-wide Fst estimate
[1] 0.0007603234
#95% c.i. of the estimated genome-wide Fst
sim6p.allelecount.fst$mean.fst+c(-1.96,1.96)*sim6p.allelecount.fst$se.fst
[1] 0.1309987 0.1339791
- Pool-Seq read count data:
sim6p.readcount30X.fst<-computeFST(sim6p.readcount30X,nsnp.per.bjack.block = 1000,
                        verbose=FALSE)
sim6p.readcount30X.fst$FST #genome-wide Fst over all populations
[1] 0.1324199
sim6p.readcount30X.fst$mean.fst #block-jacknife estimate of s.e.
[1] 0.1326089
sim6p.readcount30X.fst$se.fst #s.e. of the genome-wide Fst estimate
[1] 0.0007620463
#95% c.i. of the estimated genome-wide Fst
sim6p.readcount30X.fst$mean.fst+c(-1.96,1.96)*sim6p.readcount30X.fst$se.fst
[1] 0.13111530 .1341025
```


### 3.1.3 Computing multi-locus $F_{S T}$ to scan the genome over sliding-windows of SNPs

The sliding.window.size argument allows computing multi-locus $F_{S T}$ for sliding windows over the different chromosomes (or scaffolds/contigs), e.g., to carry out genome-scans for adaptive differentiation. Each sliding
window includes a number of consecutive SNPs specified by the sliding.window.size argument. This is illustrated below for the Pool-Seq read count example data (similar results would be obtained with allele count data):

```
sim6p.readcount30X.fst<-computeFST(sim6p.readcount30X,sliding.window.size=50)
Start sliding-window scan
20 chromosomes scanned (with more than 50 SNPs)
Average (min-max) Window Sizes 207.4 ( 90.1 - 453.2 ) kb
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fst$sliding.windows.fst$CumulatedPosition/1e6,
    sim6p.readcount30X.fst$sliding.windows.fst$MultiLocusFst,
    xlab="Cumulated Position (in Mb)",ylab="Muli-locus Fst",
    col=as.numeric(sim6p.readcount30X.fst$sliding.windows.fst$Chr),pch=16)
abline(h=sim6p.readcount30X.fst$FST,lty=2)
```



Figure 2: Manhattan plot of the multi-locus $F_{S T}$ computed over sliding-windows of 50 SNPs on the PoolSeq example data. The dashed line indicates the estimated overall genome-wide $F_{S T}$. The 20 simulated chromosomes are represented by alternate colors.

As expected (since the data set was simulated under neutrality), no clear outlier signal of adaptive differentiation (like e.g., a tower of overly differentiated windows) shows up (Figure 2).

### 3.2 Estimating and visualizing pairwise-population $F_{S T}$

### 3.2.1 The compute.pairwiseFST and the heatmap functions

The compute.pairwiseFST function allows to estimate the genome-wide $F_{S T}$ for all the $\frac{n p o p(n p o p-1)}{2}$ pairs of populations from data stored in either a countdata or a pooldata object. As for the computeFST function (section 3), the compute.pairwiseFST function automatically uses the appropriate estimation procedure for the type of the input data (either allele count for countdata objects or Pool-Seq read count data for pooldata objects). The function returns an S 4 object of class pairwisefst whose elements (slots) are detailed in the documentation page that can be accessed with the following command (or with the ? operator):

```
help(pairwisefst)
```

The pairwise-population $F_{S T}$ may then be visualized using the generic heatmap function directly applied on the obtained pairwisefst object as illustrated below for Pool-Seq example results (similar results are obtained with the allele count data):
sim6p.pairwisefst<-compute.pairwiseFST(sim6p.readcount30X, verbose=FALSE)
Overall Analysis Time: 0 h 0 m 3 s
heatmap(sim6p.pairwisefst)


Figure 3: Heatmap representing the pairwise-population $F_{S T}$ matrix of the six populations of the 30X Pool-Seq example data set

The resulting heat map (Figure 3) is consistent with the simulated scenario (Figure 1). Note that the population P3 is the closest to the admixed population $P 6$ (leading to their early clustering in the binary tree representation) as expected from the high contribution of the $P 3$ ancestor $(1-\alpha=75 \%)$ to the admixed ancestor of $P 6$ and the short timing of admixture ( $\tau=\frac{t}{2 N_{e}}=0.0125$ ).

### 3.2.2 Block-Jackknife estimation of $\hat{F}_{S T}$ standard-error and visualisation of confidence intervals

As with the computeFST function, standard-error of the pairwise-population $F_{S T}$ estimates may be estimated using a block-jackknife sampling approach (see Appendix A.1) by specifying the number of consecutive SNPs forming each block with the argument nsnp.per.bjack.block (by default nsnp.per.bjack.block=0, i.e., no block-jackknife is carried out). The resulting estimated standard-errors may directly be used to derive confidence intervals (see above) that can also be plotted with the plot fstats function (or directly using the plot command that calls plot_fstats for pairwisefst objects). This is illustrated below with the allele count example data (similar results are obtained with the Pool-Seq read count data):

```
sim6p.pairwisefst<-compute.pairwiseFST(sim6p.allelecount,
    nsnp.per.bjack.block = 1000,verbose=FALSE)
```

```
Overall Analysis Time: 0 h 0 m 7 s
#Estimated pairwise Fst are stored in the slot values:
#5 first estimated pairwise
head(sim6p.pairwisefst@values)
```

|  | Fst Estimate Fst bjack mean Fst bjack s.e. Q2 Estimate Q2 | bjack mean | Q2 bjack s.e. Nsnp |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| P1;P2 | 0.04946710 | 0.04936227 | 0.0007453263 | 0.8323244 | 0.8323799 | 0.0005895541472410 |
| P1;P3 | 0.09478083 | 0.09499692 | 0.0010947422 | 0.8243978 | 0.8244107 | 0.0005931841472410 |
| P1;P4 | 0.17816331 | 0.17843286 | 0.0015418662 | 0.8095896 | 0.8096142 | 0.0006251644472410 |
| P1;P5 | 0.17716282 | 0.17716008 | 0.0015559078 | 0.8094495 | 0.8096229 | 0.0006214764472410 |
| P1;P6 | 0.07039455 | 0.07055328 | 0.0009233910 | 0.8265288 | 0.8265487 | 0.0005869646472410 |
| P2;P3 | 0.09543866 | 0.09560477 | 0.0011336466 | 0.8236410 | 0.8236723 | 0.0005878641472410 |
| plot (sim6p.pairwisefst) |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 4: Estimated pairwise-population $F_{S T}$ with their $95 \%$ confidence intervals for allele count example data set

The resulting estimated pairwise-population $F_{S T}$ displayed in Figure 4 are consistent with the simulated scenario (Figure 1). The lowest level of differentiation is observed for the $P 3$ and $P 6$ population pair as expected from the high contribution of the $P 3$ ancestor $(1-\alpha=75 \%)$ to the admixed ancestor of $P 6$ and the short timing of admixture $\left(\tau=\frac{t}{2 N_{e}}=0.0125\right)$.

## 4 Estimating and visualizing $f$-statistics $\left(f_{2}, f_{3}, f_{3}^{\star}, f_{4}\right.$ and $\left.\mathbf{D}\right)$

The $f_{2}, f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ statistics were introduced in a seminal paper by Reich and co-workers (REICH et al. 2009) retracing the history of Indian human population and forms the core components of a general framework for demographic history inference detailed in Patterson et al. (2012; see also Lipson et al. 2013; Peter 2016; LIPSON 2020). These statistics measure (expected) covariance in allele frequencies among sets of two ( $F_{2}$ ), three $\left(F_{3}\right)$ or four $\left(F_{4}\right)$ populations and are formally defined as follows (denoting $p_{i}$ the SNP reference allele frequency in population $i$ ):

- $\mathrm{F}_{2}(A ; B) \quad \equiv \mathbb{E}\left[\left(p_{A}-p_{B}\right)^{2}\right]$
- $\mathrm{F}_{3}(A ; B, C) \equiv \mathbb{E}\left[\left(p_{A}-p_{B}\right)\left(p_{A}-p_{C}\right)\right] \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}(A ; B)+\mathrm{F}_{2}(A ; C)-\mathrm{F}_{2}(B ; C)\right)$
- $\mathrm{F}_{4}(A, B ; C, D) \equiv \mathbb{E}\left[\left(p_{A}-p_{B}\right)\left(p_{C}-p_{D}\right)\right] \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{2}(A ; D)+\mathrm{F}_{2}(B ; C)-\mathrm{F}_{2}(A ; C)-\mathrm{F}_{2}(B ; D)\right)$

The definitions of the F parameters are not depending on the reference allele choice since $\left(\left(1-p_{A}\right)-\left(1-p_{B}\right)\right)^{2}=$ $\left(p_{B}-p_{A}\right)^{2}=\left(p_{A}-p_{B}\right)^{2}$. As a consequence, $F_{2}$ and all the other $F$ parameters may also be defined in terms of IIS within and between pairs of population as $\mathrm{F}_{2}(A ; B)=Q_{1}-Q_{2}$ (see section 3 ) which allows deriving unbiased estimators for both Pool-Seq read count and standard allele count data (GAUTIER et al. 2022).

## Notice

With $I$ populations, there are $\binom{I}{2}=\frac{1}{2} I(I-1)$ possible $F_{2}$ (i.e., 15 with $I=6$ populations); $3\binom{I}{3}=\frac{1}{2} I(I-1)(I-2)$ possible $F_{3}$ (i.e., 60 with $I=6$ populations); and $3\binom{I}{4}=\frac{1}{8} I(I-1)(I-2)(I-3)$ possible $F_{4}$ (i.e., 45 with $I=6$ populations). However, due to their underlying linear dependency (see the above definitions), these $\frac{1}{8} I(I-1)\left(I^{2}-I+2\right)$ F-statistics form a vector space of dimension $\frac{1}{2} I(I-1)$ the basis of which may be specified by the set of all the $\binom{I}{2}$ possible $F_{2}$ statistics or, given a reference population $i$ (randomly chosen among the I ones) the set of all the $I-1 F_{2}$ statistics of the form $F_{2}(i ; j)$ (with $j \neq i$ ) and the $\binom{I-1}{2} F_{3}$ statistics of the form $F_{3}(i ; j, k)$ (with $j \neq i ; k \neq i$ and $j \neq k$ ) (Patterson et al. 2012; Lipson 2020). The resulting basis is informative about population history and may be used to fit admixture graph (see section 5 ).

Moreover, although $f_{2}$ statistics are difficult to interpret or to compare across pairs of populations (see the notice below), formal tests of population admixture ("3-populations" test) and tests of treeness of population quadruplets ("4-populations" test) can directly be performed using $f_{3}$ (see e.g., section 4.1.2) and $f_{4}$ (see e.g., section 4.1.3) statistics respectively (PATTERSON et al. 2012). Indeed, if $f_{3}(A ; B, C)<0$, we can conclude that population A originates from a population that is admixed between two source populations related to populations B and C respectively (although the signal may vanish if population A has drifted too much drifted since admixture or the admixture rates are too close to 0 or 1 ). Conversely, if $f_{4}(A, B ; C, D)=0$, the populations $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D are related by a bifurcating tree with the unrooted topology ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ ) although some may be admixed (if the paths connecting the ( $A, B$ ) and ( $C, D$ ) population pairs are not overlapping, see section 4.1.3 for an example). Finally, under certain circumstances, proportions of ancestry that contributed to a given admixed population can be estimated with ratios of $f_{4}$ statistics (see e.g., 4.3) for set of carefully related populations (Patterson et al. 2012).

## Notice

The parameters $F_{2}, F_{3}$ and $F_{4}$ are not scaled with respect to the distribution of marker information content (i.e., heterozygosities). As a consequence, their resulting estimates may strongly depend on the chosen set of genetic markers (Patterson et al. 2012). The well-known $F_{S T}$ parameter and the two parameters $\mathrm{F}_{3}^{\star}$ and $D$ introduced by Patterson et al. (2012) correspond to scaled versions of $F_{2}$, $F_{3}$ and $F_{4}$ expected to be less sensitive to the SNP ascertainment and thus more comparable across data sets. As shown in Gautier et al. (2022), these can be defined in terms of IIS probabilities as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { - } \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ST}}(A ; B) & \equiv \frac{\mathrm{F}_{2}(A ; B)}{1-Q_{2}^{A, B}} & =\frac{Q_{1}^{A}+Q_{1}^{B}-2 Q_{2}^{A, B}}{2\left(1-Q_{2}^{A, B}\right)} \\
\text { - } \mathrm{F}_{3}^{\star}(A ; B, C) & \equiv \frac{\mathrm{F}_{3}(A ; B, C)}{1-Q_{1}^{A}} & =\frac{Q_{1}^{A}+Q_{2}^{B, C}-Q_{2}^{A, B}-Q_{2}^{A, C}}{2\left(1-Q_{1}^{A}\right)} \\
\text { - } \mathrm{D}(A, B ; C, D) & \equiv \frac{\mathrm{F}_{4}(A, B ; C, D)}{\left(1-Q_{2}^{A, B}\right)\left(1-Q_{2}^{C, D}\right)} & =\frac{Q_{2}^{A, C}+Q_{2}^{B, D}-Q_{2}^{A, D}-Q_{2}^{B, C}}{2\left(1-Q_{2}^{A, B}\right)\left(1-Q_{2}^{C, D}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Three-population and Four-population tests naturally extend to $F_{3}^{\star}$ and $D$ statistics respectively. An advantage of $D$ over $F_{4}$ is that it is constrained to the $[-1,1]$ interval and may thus be interpreted as the magnitude of deviation to treeness of the tested quadruplet (PATTERSON et al. 2012).

### 4.1 The compute.fstats function and fstats objects

The compute.fstats function implements unbiased estimators of the parameters $F_{2}\left(\right.$ and $\left.F_{S T}\right), F_{3}$ (and $F_{3}^{\star}$ ), $F_{4}$ and $D$ defined above for allele count data (stored in countdata objects, see section 2.1) or Pool-Seq read
count data (stored in pooldata objects, see section 2.2) as described in GaUtier et al. (2022) ${ }^{12}$. The function also allows estimating within-population heterozygosities (defined as $1-Q_{1}$ ) which is needed to scale branch lengths of admixture graphs in drift units (see section 5) or for rooting neighbor-joining trees of unadmixed populations (see section 6.1). Block-jackknife estimates of standard errors of the different estimators (needed for "Three-populations" and "Four-populations" tests, see below; and to fit admixture graph, see section 5) and their covariance (needed to fit admixture graph, see section 5) may also be performed.
As for the computeFST (section 3.1.1) and compute.pairwiseFST (section 3.2), the compute.fstats functions automatically detects which estimator to implement according to the class of the input object (either countdata or pooldata). The function estimates by default all the within population heterozygosities, the $F_{2}$ (and its scaled version $F_{S T}{ }^{13}$ ), $F_{3}$ (and its scaled version $F_{3}^{\star}$ ) $F_{4}$ statistics (and the scaled version $\left.F_{S T}\right)^{14}$. Computation of $D$ statistics (i.e., scaled $F_{4}$ ) is not carried out by default (as specified with the computeDstat argument set to $F A L S E$ by default) since this may add some non negligible additional computation time for data with a large number of populations due to the extra computation of the $F_{4}$ scaling factor ${ }^{15}$ although in the example below (with only 6 populations) the difference in running time is negligible.
The results are then stored in an object of class fstats whose elements (slots) are detailed in the documentation page accessible with the following command (or the ? operator):

```
help(fstats)
```

The underlying f-statistics may then be easily accessible or visualized with the plot fstats function (or directly using the plot command that calls plot_fstats for fstats objects) as illustrated below for the allele count (sim6p.allelecount) and Pool-Seq read count (sim6p.readcount30X) example data ${ }^{16}$.

```
##Estimation of f-statistics on count data
sim6p.allelecount.fstats<-compute.fstats(sim6p.allelecount,nsnp.per.bjack.block = 1000,
    computeDstat = TRUE)
```

```
Estimating Q1
Estimating Q2
Estimating within-population heterozygosities
Estimating F2
Estimating F3
Estimating F4
    Computing Dstat
Starting Block-Jackknife sampling
4 6 2 ~ J a c k k n i f e ~ b l o c k s ~ i d e n t i f i e d ~ w i t h ~ 4 6 2 0 0 0 ~ S N P s ~ ( o u t ~ o f ~ 4 7 2 4 1 0 ~ ) . ~
    SNPs map to 20 different chrom/scaffolds
Average (min-max) Block Sizes: 4.232 ( 3.515 - 4.975 ) Mb
    computing Q1 averages per blocks
    computing Q2 averages per blocks
    computing F2 averages per blocks
Starting computation of estimators s.e.
    within-pop heterozygosity s.e. estimation done
    F2 s.e. estimation done
    F3 and F3* s.e. estimation done
    estimating F4 and Dstat s.e. (may be long since require denominator averages per blocks)
    F4 and D s.e. estimation done
Overall Analysis Time: 0 h 0 m 3 s
```

[^3]```
sim6p.allelecount.fstats
* * * fstats Object * * *
Example of useful visualization functions are plot.fstats
##Estimation of f-statistics on Pool-Seq data (without computation of Dstat)
sim6p.readcount30X.fstats<-compute.fstats(sim6p.readcount30X,nsnp.per.bjack.block = 1000,
verbose=FALSE)
##Estimation of f-statistics on Pool-Seq data (with computation of Dstat)
sim6p.readcount30X.fstats<-compute.fstats(sim6p.readcount30X,nsnp.per.bjack.block = 1000,
    computeDstat = TRUE,verbose=FALSE)
```


### 4.1.1 $\quad f_{2}$ and $F_{S T}$ estimates (f2.values and fst.values slots of the fstat object)

```
# count data (3 first f2)
head(sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f2.values,3)
```

```
    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e.
P1,P2 0.008294424 0.008274108 0.0001298376
P1,P3 0.016643720 0.016680443 0.0002108642
P1,P4 0.033924154 0.033971079 0.0003456714
# 30X Pool-Seq data (3 first f2)
head(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f2.values,3)
```

    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e.
    Pool1,Pool2 0.00829429 0.008275487 0.0001351787
Pool1,Pool3 0.016658180 .0166963230 .0002159221
Pool1,Pool4 0.03398383 0.034045968 0.0003525136
\# count data (3 first Fst)
head(sim6p.allelecount.fstats@fst.values,3)
Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e.
P1,P2 0.04946710 0.04936227 0.0007453263
P1,P3 0.094780830 .094996920 .0010947422
P1,P4 0.178163310 .178432860 .0015418662
\# 30X Pool-Seq data (3 first Fst)
head(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@fst.values,3)
Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e.
Pool1,Pool2 0.049473200 .049372530 .000774369
Pool1,Pool3 0.094870480 .095086260 .001119595
Pool1,Pool4 0.17839940 0.17872795 0.001572758

Notice that the pairwise $F_{S T}$ estimates are the same as those obtained with the compute.pairwiseFST function (see section 3.2) run with method=Identity (which are here actually equal with estimates obtained by the default Anova method because there is no variation in the total allele counts for all SNPs).

## Notice

By construction $F_{2}(A ; B)=F_{2}(B, A)$ (and $F_{S T}(A ; B)=F_{S T}(B, A)$ ). If $i_{P}$ is the index of population $P$ in the popnames or poolnames slots of the countdata or pooldata objects (i.e., the column order in the corresponding allele or read count data matrices) used to obtain the fstats object, the $F_{2}(A, B)$ (resp. $\left.F_{S T}(B, A)\right)$ configurations reported in the slot $f 2 . v a l u e s$ (resp. $f$ st.values) satisfy $i_{A}<i_{B}$.

### 4.1.2 $f_{3}$ and $f_{3}^{\star}$ estimates (f3.values and f3star.values slots of the fstat object) and 3-Population tests:

```
# count data (3 first f3)
head(sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f3.values,3)
    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
P1;P2,P3 0.004053338 0.004048392 0.0001176972 34.39668
P1;P2,P4 0.004089298 0.004087474 0.0001326605 30.81155
P1;P2,P5 0.004155216 0.004149524 0.0001341405 30.93416
# 30X Pool-Seq data (3 first f3)
head(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f3.values,3)
Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
Pool1;Pool2,Pool3 0.004057570 0.004053794 0.0001247034 32.50750
Pool1;Pool2,Pool4 0.004114142 0.004114670 0.0001365234 30.13893
Pool1;Pool2,Pool5 0.004157049 0.004154896 0.0001411765 29.43051
# count data (3 first f3*)
head(sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f3star.values,3)
```

```
    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
```

    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
    P1;P2,P3 0.02552286 0.02549608 0.0007467871 34.14102
P1;P2,P3 0.02552286 0.02549608 0.0007467871 34.14102
P1;P2,P4 0.02574929 0.02574221 0.0008480235 30.35554
P1;P2,P4 0.02574929 0.02574221 0.0008480235 30.35554
P1;P2,P5 0.02616436 0.02613299 0.0008581050 30.45430
P1;P2,P5 0.02616436 0.02613299 0.0008581050 30.45430

# 30X Pool-Seq data (3 first f3*)

# 30X Pool-Seq data (3 first f3*)

head(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f3star.values,3)
head(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f3star.values,3)
Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
Pool1;Pool2,Pool3 0.02555404 0.02553297 0.0007888059 32.36915
Pool1;Pool2,Pool3 0.02555404 0.02553297 0.0007888059 32.36915
Pool1;Pool2,Pool4 0.02591032 0.02591640 0.0008722134 29.71337
Pool1;Pool2,Pool4 0.02591032 0.02591640 0.0008722134 29.71337
Pool1;Pool2,Pool5 0.02618054 0.02616977 0.0009009973 29.04533

```
Pool1;Pool2,Pool5 0.02618054 0.02616977 0.0009009973 29.04533
```


## Notice

By construction $F_{3}(A ; B, C)=F_{3}(A ; C, B)$ (and $\left.F_{3}^{\star}(A ; B, C)=F_{3}^{\star}(A ; C, B)\right)$. If $i_{P}$ is the index of population $P$ in the popnames or poolnames slots of the countdata or pooldata objects (i.e., the column order in the corresponding allele or read count data matrices) used to obtain the fstats object, the $F_{3}(A ; B, C)$ (and $\left.F_{3}^{\star}(A ; B, C)\right)$ configurations reported in the slot $f 3$.values satisfy $i_{B}<i_{C}$.

As shown in the above example, activation of block-jackknife estimation of standard errors (i.e., argument nsnp.per.bjack.block $>0$ ) results in the computation of Z-scores (i.e., ratio of the block-jackknife estimated mean and standard-error) which quantifies the deviation of the estimated $f_{3}$-statistics from 0 (in units of s.e.). This gives a simple decision criterion for three-population tests of admixture (i.e., negative $f_{3}$ or negative $f_{3}^{\star}$ ). For instance a Z-score $<-1.65$ provides evidence for admixture (i.e., significantly negative $f_{3}$ ) at the $95 \%$ significance threshold:

```
# count data (F3-based 3-pop test)
tst.sel<-sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f3.values$`Z-score`< -1.65
sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f3.values[tst.sel,]
Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
P6;P2,P3 -0.0002671143-0.0002623528 8.638581e-05 -3.036989
# 30X Pool-Seq data (F3-based 3-pop test)
tst.sel<-sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f3.values$`Z-score`< -1.65
sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f3.values[tst.sel,]
```

    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
    ```
Pool6;Pool2,Pool3 -0.0002417509 -0.0002304611 8.851744e-05 -2.603567
# count data (F3*-based 3-pop test)
tst.sel<-sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f3star.values$`Z-score`< -1.65
sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f3star.values[tst.sel,]
```

    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
    P6;P2,P3 -0.001631657-0.001603205 0.0005274779-3.039379
\# 30X Pool-Seq data (F3*-based 3-pop test)
tst.sel<-sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f3star.values\$`Z-score` < -1. 65
sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f3star.values[tst.sel,]
Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
Pool6;Pool2,Pool3 -0.001475866 -0.001407435 0.0005402774-2.605024

In agreement with the simulated scenario (Figure 1), both the allele count and Pool-Seq read count data support an admixed origin for population $P 6$ with ancestral sources related to $P 2$ and $P 3$.

### 4.1.3 $\quad f_{4}$ and $D$ estimates ( $f 4 . v a l u e s$ and Dstat.values slots of the $f$ stat object) and 4-Population tests:

```
# count data (3 first f4)
head(sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f4.values,3)
    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
P1,P2;P3,P4 3.595944e-05 3.908225e-05 1.154193e-04 0.3386111
P1,P2;P3,P5 1.018776e-04 1.011325e-04 1.197917e-04 0.8442359
P1,P2;P3,P6 5.594310e-04 5.622762e-04 6.138658e-05 9.1595941
# 30X Pool-Seq data (3 first f4)
head(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f4.values,3)
```

    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
    Pool1, Pool2;Pool3, Pool4 5.657166e-05 6.087576e-05 1.194004e-04 0.5098456
Pool1, Pool2;Pool3, Pool5 9.947887e-05 1.011014e-04 1.253135e-04 0.8067878
Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool6 5.653400e-04 5.621051e-04 6.409453e-05 8.7699380
\# count data (3 first D)
head(sim6p.allelecount.fstats@Dstat.values,3)

```
    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
P1,P2;P3,P4 0.0006651386 0.0007230774 0.002135688 0.3385688
P1,P2;P3,P5 0.0018826436 0.0018705234 0.002216171 0.8440338
P1,P2;P3,P6 0.0107508025 0.0108152267 0.001182842 9.1434253
# 30X Pool-Seq data (3 first D)
head(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@Dstat.values,3)
```

    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e. Z-score
    Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool4 0.001045743 0.001125524 0.002208046 0.5097373
Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool5 0.001837431 0.001868847 0.002316956 0.8065961
Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool6 0.0108536730 .0107998730 .0012351368 .7438717

## Notice

When comparing two pairs of populations $(A, B)$ and $(C, D)$, the $f_{4}$ statistics for the 8 quadruplets (A,B;C,D); (B,A;C,D); (A,B;D,C); (B,A;D,C); (C,D;A,B); (C,D;B,A); (D,C;A,B) and (D,C;B,A) have the same absolute value by definition of the $F_{4}$ parameter:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{4}(A, B ; C, D) & =F_{4}(B, A ; D, C)=F_{4}(C, D ; A, B)=F_{4}(D, C ; A, B) \\
-F_{4}(A, B ; C, D) & =F_{4}(B, A ; C, D)=F_{4}(C, D ; B, A)=F_{4}(D, C ; B, A)=F_{4}(A, B ; D, C)
\end{aligned}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(A, B ; C, D) & =D(B, A ; D, C)=D(C, D ; A, B)=D(D, C ; A, B) \\
-D(A, B ; C, D) & =D(B, A ; C, D)=D(C, D ; B, A)=D(D, C ; B, A)=D(A, B ; D, C)
\end{aligned}
$$

If $i_{P}$ is the index of population $P$ in the popnames or poolnames slots of the countdata or pooldata objects (i.e., the column order in the corresponding allele or read count data matrices) used to obtain the fstats object, the $F_{4}(A, B ; C, D)$ (and $D(A, B ; C, D)$ ) configurations reported in the slot $f 4 . v a l u e s$ (and Dstat.values) satisfy $i_{A}<i_{B} ; i_{A}<i_{C}$ and $i_{C}<i_{D}$.

As for $f_{3}$ and $f_{3}^{\star}$ (section 4.1.2), activating block-jackknife estimation of standard errors (i.e., the argument nsnp.per.bjack.block $>0$ ) results in the computation of Z-scores (i.e., ratio of the block-jackknife estimated mean and standard-error) which quantifies the deviation of the estimated $f_{4}$-statistics from 0 (in units of s.e.). This gives a simple decision criterion for four-population tests of treeness (i.e., non null $F_{4}$ or $D$ ). For instance a Z-score lower than 1.96 in absolute value provides no evidence against the null-hypothesis of treeness for the tested population configuration at the $95 \%$ significance threshold:

```
# count data
tst.sel<-abs(sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f4.values$`Z-score`)<1.96
sim6p.allelecount.fstats@f4.values[tst.sel,]
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
& Estimate & bjack mean & bjack s.e. & Z-score \\
P1,P2;P3,P4 & \(3.595944 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(3.908225 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(1.154193 \mathrm{e}-04\) & 0.3386111 \\
P1,P2;P3,P5 & \(1.018776 \mathrm{e}-04\) & \(1.011325 \mathrm{e}-04\) & \(1.197917 \mathrm{e}-04\) & 0.8442359 \\
P1,P2;P4,P5 & \(6.591816 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(6.205022 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(9.313675 \mathrm{e}-05\) & 0.6662270 \\
P1,P3;P4,P5 & \(1.309202 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(1.464589 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(1.268061 \mathrm{e}-04\) & 0.1154983 \\
P1,P6;P4,P5 & \(4.031413 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(4.458874 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(1.058348 \mathrm{e}-04\) & 0.4213050 \\
P2,P3;P4,P5 & \(-5.282615 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(-4.740433 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(1.302898 \mathrm{e}-04\) & -0.3638376 \\
P2,P6;P4,P5 & \(-2.560403 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(-1.746147 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(1.085089 \mathrm{e}-04\) & -0.1609221 \\
P3,P6;P4,P5 & \(2.722212 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(2.994286 \mathrm{e}-05\) & \(7.650662 \mathrm{e}-05\) & 0.3913760 \\
\# 30X Pool-Seq data & & & \\
tst.sel<-abs(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f4.values\$`Z-score-)<1.96 \\
as.data.frame(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@f4.values)[tst.sel,]
\end{tabular}
```

|  | Estimate | bjack mean | bjack s.e. | Z-score |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool4 | $5.657166 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $6.087576 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.194004 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.50984558 |
| Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool5 | $9.947887 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.011014 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.253135 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.80678780 |
| Pool1,Pool2;Pool4,Pool5 | $4.290721 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $4.022566 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $9.502690 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0.42330817 |
| Pool1,Pool3;Pool4,Pool5 | $3.769747 \mathrm{e}-07$ | $-3.302134 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.277902 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -0.02584028 |
| Pool1,Pool6;Pool4,Pool5 | $4.073843 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $4.734750 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.053345 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.44949661 |
| Pool2,Pool3;Pool4,Pool5 | $-4.253023 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $-4.352780 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $1.336022 \mathrm{e}-04$ | -0.32580156 |
| Pool2,Pool6;Pool4,Pool5 | $-2.168779 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $7.121841 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $1.102031 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 0.06462467 |
| Pool3,Pool6;Pool4,Pool5 | $4.036145 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $5.064964 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $8.000836 \mathrm{e}-05$ | 0.63305432 |

In other words, both the allele count and Pool-Seq read count data only provide no evidence against the null hypothesis of treeness at the $95 \%$ threshold for quadruplets involving i) non-admixed populations ( $P 1, P 2$, $P 3, P 4$ and $P 5$ ) for configurations consistent with the simulated scenario; and $i i$ ) the admixed population $P 6$ for configurations of the form $(P 6, X ; P 4, P 5)$ where $P 4$ and $P 5$ are the two outgroup populations and $\mathrm{X}=P 1$,
$P 2$ or $P 3$. This is actually expected since for these latter quadruplets, the path connecting $P 4$ and $P 5$ is not overlapping with either of the paths connecting P6 to P1, P2 or $P 3$ in the simulated graph (Figure 1).

### 4.1.4 Population heterozygostity estimates (heterozygosities slot of the fstat object)

```
# count data (3 first populations)
head(sim6p.allelecount.fstats@heterozygosities,3)
    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e.
P1 0.1588121 0.1587849 0.0005972556
P2 0.1599502 0.1599070 0.0006057583
P3 0.1591048 0.1590328 0.0005900926
# 30X Pool-Seq data (3 first populations)
head(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats@heterozygosities,3)
```

    Estimate bjack mean bjack s.e.
    Pool1 0.1587839 0.15876700 .0006012812
Pool2 0.1599319 0.15990830 .0006096762
Pool3 0.15907710 .15902300 .0006024449

### 4.2 The plot_fstats function for visualization of $f_{2}$ (and pairwise $F_{S T}$ ), $f_{3}$ (and $f_{3}^{\star}$ ) and $f_{4}$ (and $D$ ) estimates and their confidence intervals

The plot_fstats function (that may be called directly using plot on fstats objects) allows plotting all or only some (using the pop.sel, pop.f3.target or value.range arguments) of the estimated $f_{2}, f_{S T}, f_{3}, f_{3}^{\star}, f_{4}$ or $D$ statistics from a $f_{s}$ tats object. In addition, for $f_{3}, f_{3}^{\star}, f_{4}$ and $D$ statistics, the highlight.signif argument allows highlighting in red significant (as defined with the ci.perc argument) three-population or four-population tests. Some example plots are shown in Figures 5, 6 and 7 which were generated with the following codes:

### 4.2.1 Example of $f_{2}$ statistics plot (Figure 5)

```
layout(matrix(1:2,2,1, byrow=T))
plot(sim6p.allelecount.fstats,main="F2 (Allele Count)")
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,main="F2 (30X Pool-Seq)")
```

Similar plots may be obtained for the scaled $f_{2}$ (i.e., pairwise $f_{S T}$ ) by specifying stat.name="Fst" (see also the compute.pairwiseFST functions described in section 3.2).

### 4.2.2 Example of $f_{3}$ statistics plot (Figure 6)

```
layout(matrix(1:4,2,2,byrow=T))
plot(sim6p.allelecount.fstats,stat.name="F3",main="F3 (Allele Count)")
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,stat.name="F3",main="F3 (30X Pool-Seq)")
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,stat.name="F3",pop.f3.target=c("Pool6","Pool1"),
    main="30X Pool-Seq (only F3 with P6 or P1 as target pops)")
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,stat.name="F3",value.range=c(NA,5e-3),
    main="30X Pool-Seq (only F3 < 5e-3)")
```

Similar plots may be obtained for the scaled $f_{3}$ (i.e., $f_{3}^{\star}$ ) by specifying stat.name="F3star".

### 4.2.3 Example of $f_{4}$ and $D$ statistics plot (Figure 7)

```
layout(matrix(1:6,3,2,byrow=T))
plot(sim6p.allelecount.fstats,stat.name="Dstat",main="D (Allele Count)")
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,stat.name="Dstat",main="D (30X Pool-Seq)")
plot(sim6p.allelecount.fstats,stat.name="F4",main="F4 (Allele Count)")
```


## F2 (Allele Count)




Figure 5: Estimated $f_{2}$ statistics with their $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the allele count and 30X Pool-Seq data sets

```
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,stat.name="F4",main="F4 (30X Pool-Seq)")
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,stat.name="F4",pop.sel=c("Pool1","Pool2"),
    main="30X Pool-Seq (only F4 with both P1 and P2)")
plot(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,stat.name="F4",value.range=c(-2e-3,2e-3),
    main="30X Pool-Seq (only -2e-3 < F4 < 2e-3)")
```


### 4.3 Estimating admixture proportions with $f_{4}$-ratios

Given an admixture graph (assumed to be correct), ratios of $f_{4}$-statistics (Patterson et al. 2012) may provide estimates of the relative contributions of the ancestral sources of a (two-way) admixed populations ( $P 6$ in our example) if outgroups ( $P 1$ and $P 4$ or $P 5$ in our example) for the two source population proxies (e.g., P2 and P3 in our example) have been sampled. For instance, the proportion $\alpha$ of $P 2$-related ancestry in population $P 6$ (Figure 1) is equal to:

$$
\alpha=\frac{F_{4}(P 1, P 4 ; P 3, P 6)}{F_{4}(P 1, P 4 ; P 2, P 3)}=\frac{F_{4}(P 1, P 5 ; P 3, P 6)}{F_{4}(P 1, P 5 ; P 2, P 3)}
$$



Figure 6: Estimated $f_{3}$ statistics with their $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the allele count and 30X Pool-Seq data sets

The compute.f4ratio function implements $f_{4}$-ratio based estimators of admixture proportion from an $f s$ tats object. It requires to specify both the numerator (num.quadruplet argument) and the denominator (den.quadruplet) $F_{4}$ quadruplets ${ }^{17}$. The following example illustrates how to use the function to the admixture proportion $\alpha\left(\alpha_{\text {simulated }}=0.25\right)$ from the P2-related source that contributed to the P6 ancestral population (Figure 1):
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Figure 7: Estimated $f_{4}$ and $D$ statistics with their $95 \%$ confidence intervals for the allele count and 30X Pool-Seq data sets

```
# count data (two possible estimates)
compute.f4ratio(sim6p.allelecount.fstats,num.quadruplet = "P1,P4;P3,P6",
                        den.quadruplet="P1,P4;P2,P3")
```

[1] -0. 2497209
compute.f4ratio(sim6p.allelecount.fstats,num.quadruplet = "P1,P5;P3, P6", den.quadruplet="P1,P5;P2,P3")
[1] -0.2462923

```
# 30X Pool-Seq data (two possible estimates)
compute.f4ratio(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,num.quadruplet = "Pool1,Pool4;Pool3,Pool6",
    den.quadruplet="Pool1,Pool4;Pool2,Pool3")
[1] -0.25285
compute.f4ratio(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,num.quadruplet = "Pool1,Pool5;Pool3,Pool6",
    den.quadruplet="Pool1,Pool5;Pool2,Pool3")
```


## [1] -0.24559

Standard errors of the estimated admixture proportions are automatically computed if the input fstats object was obtained by running the compute.fstats function with return.F4.blockjackknife.samples $=$ TRUE (and nsnp.per.bjack.block $>0$ ) to activate block-jackknife estimation of standrd errors) as illustrated below for the Pool-Seq read count data:

```
sim6p.readcount30X.fstats<-compute.fstats(sim6p.readcount30X,nsnp.per.bjack.block = 1000,
    verbose=FALSE,return.F4.blockjackknife.samples = TRUE)
alpha.est=compute.f4ratio(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,num.quadruplet = "Pool1,Pool4;Pool3,Pool6",
                                    den.quadruplet="Pool1,Pool4;Pool2,Pool3")
#95% c.i. of alpha derived from the se
alpha.est[2]+c(-1.96,1.96)*alpha.est [3]
[1] -0.3013463-0.2100506
#prediction error in units of s.e.
as.numeric(abs(0.25-alpha.est[2])/alpha.est[3])
```

[1] 21.71337
Note that the simulated value ( $\alpha=0.25$ ) is within the confidence interval and actually less than 0.25 standard error higher than the $F_{4}$-ratio estimate.

## 5 Using f-statistics to estimate parameters of admixture graphs

The f-statistics can be used to estimate the parameters (branch lengths and/or admixture proportions) of trees or admixture graphs (i.e., trees including admixture edges) that summarize the demographic history of the surveyed populations. The approach implemented in poolfstat to fit admixture graphs from f-statistics is directly inspired (and actually highly similar) to the one used in the qp Graph software originally described by Patterson et al. (2012; see also Lipson 2020). The core functions used for admixture graph fitting consist of:

- the generate.graph.params function to define graph parameters specifying the candidate graph to fit and the underlying f-statistics provided as an $f$ stats object (section 4)
- the fit.graph function to estimate graph parameters using an optimization algorithm
- the compare.fitted.fstats function to assess model fit by comparing estimated and fitted f-statistics (Patterson et al. 2012; Lipson 2020)
- the add.leave function to evaluate all the possible admixture graphs (or trees) resulting from the addition of a new leave to an existing graph (connected with either non-admixed or admixed edges).


### 5.1 Generating a graph.params object with the generate.graph.params function

### 5.1.1 Specifying the structure of the admixture graph in a graph.params object

Admixture graph specification including the structure of the graph (i.e., topology consisting of edges and, if any admixture proportions) are defined in an object of class graph.params detailed in the documentation page accessible with the following command (or the ? operator):
help (graph.params)

The graph.params objects may be constructed with the generate.graph.params function from a user-defined (character) matrix specifying the structure of the admixture graph (or tree if no admixture edges are included) and consisting of three columns defining for each edge (whether admixed or not) i) the child node; ii) the parent node; iii) the admixture proportion (blank for non-admixed edges). As a result, in the input matrix, each admixture event is specified by two rows for the two admixture edges corresponding to the same admixed child node and two different source nodes as the parent node (i.e., source populations). Their third column elements contain the two underlying admixture proportions coded as $a$ and (1-a) (the parentheses are mandatory and an error message is printed if absent) where $a$ is the name of the admixture proportion (names of admixture proportions should not include space). The example below shows the construction of a graph.params object specifying the admixture graph for the scenario used to simulate the example data (Figure 1):

```
sim.graph<-rbind(c("P1","P7",""),c("P2","s1",""),c("P3","s2",""), c("P6","S",""),
    c("S","s1", "a"), c("S","s2","(1-a)"), c("s2", "P8", ""), c("s1", "P7", "") ,
    c("P4","P9",""),c("P5","P9",""),c("P7","P8",""),
    c("P8","R",""),c("P9","R",""))
sim.graph.params<-generate.graph.params(sim.graph)
sim.graph.params
    * * * graph.params Object * * *
Example of useful functions are:
    plot() to visualize the graph (interface for grViz() from the DiagrammeR package)
    fit.graph() to estimate graph parameter values
    ****************
```

The root is automatically identified by the generate.graph.params function (as the node only present in the parent node column) and several checks are made within the function. It is however recommended to check the graph by plotting using the plot function. The following code shows how to plot the graph stored in the example sim.graph.params object that was generated above (Figure 8):

```
plot(sim.graph.params)
```



Figure 8: Plot of the admixture graph specifying the simulated scenario

## Notice

The plot function applied to graph.params objects internally calls the grViz function from the DiagrammeR package (IANNONE 2020) which actually generates an object of class htmlwidget that will print itself into HTML in a browser. Also, if run within the Rstudio IDE, the graph will be plotted in the View pane ${ }^{a}$. Hence, graph plots may not be easily exported from R into a pdf (or other) device although the following trick may be useful to that end:

```
require(webshot,htmlwidgets,imager)
tmp<-plot(sim.graph.params) #plot the graph
saveWidget(tmp,"tmp.html") ; webshot("tmp.html","tmp.png")
load.image("tmp.png") %>% autocrop() %>% plot(axes=F)
```

To insert a graph plot into an external document or edit it (e.g., to change node or edge colors, node names, etc.), one may also directly rely on its dot coded definition ${ }^{b}$ stored in the dot.graph slot of the graph.params (or fitted.graph, see section 5.2) object outside R. A graph dot file may also be generated by specifying an output file name prefix with the outfileprefix argument of the generate.graph.params function ${ }^{c}$.

[^5]The graph.params object produced by the generate.graph.params function includes a symbolic representation of the graph incidence matrix (slot graph.matrix) which consists of a $n_{l}$ leaves by $n_{e}$ edges matrix containing the edges weight for the paths from each leave to the root ${ }^{18}$. Examples for the sim.graph.params object that specifies the simulation scenario are given below:

```
#names of the edges (automatically given)
sim.graph.params@edges.names
    [1] "P7<->P1" "s1<->P2" "s2<->P3" "S<->P6" "P8<->s2" "P7<->s1" "P9<->P4" "P9<->P5" "P8<->P7" "R<->P8" "R<->P9"
#names of the admixture proportions (automatically given)
sim.graph.params@adm.params.names
[1] "a"
#graph incidence matrix
sim.graph.params@graph.matrix
    P7<->P1 s1<->P2 s2<->P3 S<->P6 P8<->s2 P7<->s1 P9<->P4 P9<->P5 P8<->P7 R<->P8 R<->P9
P1 "1" "0" "0" "0" "0" "0" "0" "1" "1" "0"
P2 "0" "1" "0" "0" "1" "0" "0" "1" "0"
P3 "0" "0" "1" "1" "0" "0" "0" "0" "0"
P6 "0" "0" "0" "1" "1-a" "a" "0" "0" "a" "1" "0"
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 4 "0" & "0" & "0" & "0" & "0" & "0" & "1" & "0" & "0" & "0" & " \\
\hline "0" & "0" & "0" & "0" & "0" & "0" & "0" & "1" & "0" & 0 " & " \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```
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## Notice

As mentioned by Pickrell and Pritchard (2012), Patterson et al. (2012) and Lipson (2020), the three branch lengths surrounding an admixture event (e.g., edges s1 $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{S}$, s2 $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{P} 6$ connecting $s 1$ to $S$; s2 to $S$; and $S$ to $P 6$ respectively for the admixture event in Figure 8) are not identifiable and can only be estimated jointly in a single compound parameter (e.g., $\zeta=$ $a^{2} \times e_{\mathrm{s} 1 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~S}}+(1-a)^{2} \times e_{\mathrm{s} 2 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~S}}+e_{\mathrm{S} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{P} 6}$ in Figure 8) unless samples from the source populations (s1, s2 and/or $S$ ) or samples from different populations deriving from the same admixed source are available (Lipson 2020). Following Patterson et al. (2012) and Lipson (2020), this identifiability issue is solved by nullifying the length of admixture edges (i.e., setting $e_{\mathrm{s} 1 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~S}}=e_{\mathrm{s} 2 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~S}}=0$ in the above example) which may lead to some overestimation of the divergence (branch length) of the admixed population (here $P 6$ ) from its direct admixed ancestor (here $S$ ) if the two source populations (here $s 1$ and $s 2$ ) have experienced strong divergence since their separation from the graph connecting the other populations (which is not the case in the simulated example in which both $e_{\mathrm{s} 1 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~S}}$ and $e_{\mathrm{s} 2->\mathrm{S}}$ are equal to 0). This differs from the choice made by Pickrell and Pritchard (2012) in the Treemix model consisting of setting $e_{\mathrm{S} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{P} 6}=0$ and $e_{\mathrm{s} 2 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~S}}=0$ (if $a>0.5$ ) or $e_{\mathrm{s} 1 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{~S}}=0$ (if $a<0.5$ ).

The graph incidence matrix plays a pivotal role for graph fitting since the fit.graph function (see section 5.1.2) use it to build the model equations. A (simplified) symbolic representation of these model equations together with expression for the parameters $F_{2}, F_{3}$ and $F_{4}$ can also be generated from a graph.params object with the graph.params2symbolic.fstats function (see section 7.1).

### 5.1.2 Generating a graph.params object with f-statistics estimates for admixture graph fitting

The f-statistics estimates $\left(f_{2}\right.$ and $\left.f_{3}\right)$ need to be included within the graph.params object to allow further fitting of the admixture graph (i.e., estimation of its edge lengths and, if any, admixture proportions) with the fit.graph function (see section 5.2). This can be done by providing the generate.graph.params function with an $f$ stats object including all the $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ statistics involving the graph leaves (which is usually the case) and block-jackknife estimates of their standard errors and error covariance matrix (i.e., the compute.fstats function used to generate the fstats object must have been run with nsnp.per.bjack.block>0), otherwise an error message is returned ${ }^{19}$. If $n_{l}$ the number of leaves of the admixture graph and $A$ a "reference" population among the $n_{l}$ ones $^{20}$, the generate.graph.params function selects the estimates (block-jackknife means) for the $n_{l}-1 f_{2}$ statistics of the form $f_{2}(A ; B)$ (with $B$ a leave population other than $A$ ) and the $\binom{n_{l}-1}{2} f_{3}$ statistics of the form $F_{3}(A ; B, C)$ (with $B$ and $C$ two leave populations other than $A$ ) which form the basis of the f -statistics vector (see section 4). The basis f -statistics are stored in the f2.target and f3.target slots of the resulting graph.params object (with their corresponding names available in the f2.target.pops and f3.target.pops slots respectively). In addition, as required to further fit the admixture graph (see section 5.2 ), the $\frac{n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right)}{2}$ by $\frac{n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right)}{2}$ covariance matrix of the basis f-statistics is stored in the $f$. Qmat slot of the graph.params object. Optionally, if available in the fstats object, estimates of the leaves heterozygoties (needed to scale fitted branch lengths in drift units, see 5.2.1) are stored in the Het slot of the graph.params object. The following code shows how to generate a graph.params object for the example (allele count) data:

```
sim.graph.params<-generate.graph.params(sim.graph,fstats = sim6p.allelecount.fstats)
Total Number of Parameters: 11 (10 edges lengths + 1 adm. coeff.)
Total Number of Statistics: 15 (5 F2 and 10 F3)
```

As shown above, the functions returns the number of parameters $n_{\text {par }}=2 n_{l}+2 n_{a}-3$ of the admixture graph where $n_{a}$ and $n_{l}$ are the number of admixture events $n_{a}$ and the number of leaves $n_{l}$, respectively (LIPSON 2020). Note that the plotting properties of the graph.params object remain the same whether fstats information is included or not (i.e., the plot function may be used as above to generate the representation

[^7]displayed in Figure 8).

### 5.2 Fitting a graph with the fit.graph function

The fit.graph functions provides estimate of the parameters (i.e., edge length and admixture proportions) of an admixture graph stored in a graph.params object as detailed in GaUTIER et al. (2022) and directly inspired by Patterson et al. (2012). Briefly, let $\widehat{\mathbf{f}}$ represent the vector of length $\frac{n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right)}{2}$ (where $n_{l}$ is the number of graph leaves) of the estimated $f_{2}$ and $F_{3}$ basis f-statistics ${ }^{21}$ and $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e} ; \mathbf{a})=\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{a}) \times \mathbf{e}$ the vector of these expected basis f-statistics values given the vector of graph edges lengths $\mathbf{e}$ and the incidence matrix $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{a})$ that depends on the structure of the graph and the admixture rates $\mathbf{a}^{22}$. Let further $\mathbf{Q}$ represent the $\frac{n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right)}{2}$ by $\frac{n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right)}{2}$ covariance matrix of the basis F-statistics estimates estimated by block-jackknife and stored in the slot $f$. Qmat of the input graph.params object (see 5.1.2) ${ }^{23}$. The function attempts to find the graph parameter values ( $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ ) that minimize a cost (score of the model) defined as $S(\mathbf{e} ; \mathbf{a})=(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}-\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e} ; \mathbf{a}))^{\prime} \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbf{f}}-\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e} ; \mathbf{a}))$. As mentioned by Patterson et al. (2012), given admixture rates $\mathbf{a}, S(\mathbf{e} ; \mathbf{a})$ is actually quadratic in the edge lengths e allow the fit.graph function to rely on the Lawson-Hanson non-negative linear least squares algorithm implemented in the $n n l s$ function ( $n n l s$ package) to estimate the vector $\hat{\mathbf{e}}$ that minimizes $S(\mathbf{e} ; \mathbf{a})$ (subject to the constraint of positive edge lengths). Full minimization of $S(\mathbf{e} ; \mathbf{a})$ is thus reduced to the identification of the admixture rates a which is performed using the L-BFGS-B method ${ }^{24}$ implemented in the optim function (stats package). The eps.admix.prop argument allows specifying the lower and upper bound of the admixture rates to eps.admix.prop and 1-eps.admix.prop respectively. In addition, assuming $\widehat{\mathbf{f}} \sim \mathrm{N}(g(\hat{\mathbf{e}} ; \hat{\mathbf{a}}), \mathbf{Q})^{25}, S(\hat{\mathbf{e}} ; \hat{\mathbf{a}})=-2 \log (L)-K$ where $L$ is the likelihood of the fitted graph and $K=n \log (2 \pi)+\log (|\mathbf{Q}|)$ allowing to almost directly derive a BIC (Bayesian Information Criterion) of the fitted graph from the optimized score $S(\hat{\mathbf{e}} ; \hat{\mathbf{a}})^{26}$. The BIC may be used for comparison of different admixture graphs (see section 5.3) providing they were all fitted based on the same vector of f-statistics (i.e., they include the same set of populations). Indeed, when comparing two graphs $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ with $B I C$ equal to $B I C_{1}$ and $B I C_{2}, \Delta_{12}=B I C_{2}-B I C_{1} \simeq 2 \log \left(B F_{12}\right)$ where $B F_{12}$ is the Bayes Factor associated to $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ graph comparison (eq. 9, Kass and Raftery 1995). The (slightly) modified Jeffreys' rule proposed by Kass and Raftery (1995) might further be used to evaluate to which extent the data support $\mathcal{G}_{1}$ or $\mathcal{G}_{2}$ with e.g., $\Delta_{12}>6$ (respectively $\Delta_{12}>10$ ) providing "strong" (respectively "very strong") evidence in favor of $G_{1}{ }^{27}$.

The fit.graph function returns an object of class fitted.graph detailed in the documentation page accessible with the following command (or the ? operator):
help(fitted.graph)
The following code shows how to fit the example graph stored in the graph.params object sim.graph.params generated above and some features of the resulting fitted.graph object:

```
sim.fittedgraph<-fit.graph(sim.graph.params)
Starting estimation of admixture rates (LBFGS score optimisation)
    Initial score= 316.0469
Estimation ended in 0 m 0 s
    Final Score: 1.004106
    BIC: 276.6086
```

[^8]```
#Estimated edge lengths
sim.fittedgraph@edges.length
#Estimated admixture proportion
sim.fittedgraph@admix.prop
[1] 0.2478947
#Final Score
sim.fittedgraph@score
[1] 1.004106
#BIC
sim.fittedgraph@bic
[1] 276.6086
```

```
#optim function results (list)
```

\#optim function results (list)
sim.fittedgraph@optim.results
sim.fittedgraph@optim.results
\$par
\$par
[1] 0.2478947
[1] 0.2478947
\$value
\$value
[1] 1.004106
[1] 1.004106
\$counts
\$counts
function gradient
function gradient
8
8
\$convergence
\$convergence
[1] 0
[1] 0
\$message
\$message
[1] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"

```
[1] "CONVERGENCE: REL_REDUCTION_OF_F <= FACTR*EPSMCH"
```

    P7<->P1 s1<->P2 s2<->P3 S<->P6 P8<->s2 P7<->s1 P9<->P4 P9<->P5 P8<->P7 R<->P8 R<->P9
    $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllll}0.004079551 & 0.001970516 & 0.002148837 & 0.002111818 & 0.006334944 & 0.002228311 & 0.012858490 & 0.012529775 & 0.004160468 & 0.0064459430 .006445943\end{array}$

The fitted.graph object also stores the output results from the optim optimization function in the slot optim.results. For instance, in the example below, convergence was reached without any issue $(\text { convergence }=0)^{28}$ :

As for graph.params objects (see section 5.1.1), the plot function may be directly applied to fitted.graph objects to plot the admixture graph with estimated parameter values ${ }^{29}$. The admix.fact and edge.fact argument of the fit.graph function allow to apply a multiplying factor to the printed branch lengths and admixture proportions (by default admix.fact=100, i.e., admixture proportions are printed in \%; and edge.fact=1000, i.e. edge lengths are printed in $\%$ ). Figure 9 shows the fitted example graph and was generated with the following command:

```
plot(sim.fittedgraph)
```
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Figure 9: Plot of the admixture graph specifying the simulated scenario with fitted parameter values (x1000 for edge lengths)

## Notice

The two edges from the root node of the graph are not identifiable and only their joint lengths can be estimated. The root position is arbitrarily set in the mid position (i.e., the two root edges have the same length by construction as shown in Figure 9).

### 5.2.1 Scaling of branch lengths in drift units

The estimated edge lengths are in the same scale as the other f-statistics which limits their interpretation since they strongly depend on the SNP ascertainment process (see section 4). Lipson et al. (2013) showed however that the lengths may be approximately scaled in genetic drift units (i.e., in units of $\tau=\frac{t}{2 N_{e}}$ where $t$ is a number of generations and $N_{e}$ is the diploid effective population along the branch) using estimates of overall marker heterozygosities within (i.e., $1-Q_{1}$ ) or across (i.e., $1-Q_{2}$ ) population (GaUTIER et al. 2022). Briefly, for a given edge $\mathrm{P} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}$ relating a child node $C$ to its parent node $P$ with an (unscaled) estimated branch length $\hat{e}_{\mathrm{P} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}}, \hat{\tau}_{\mathrm{P} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}}=2 \frac{\hat{e}_{\mathrm{P} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}}}{\hat{h}_{P}}$ where $\hat{\tau}_{\mathrm{P} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}}$ is the estimated branch length scaled in drift units and $\widehat{h_{P}}$ is the estimated heterozygosity in the (parent) node $P$. The parent node heterozygosities can be estimated from leaves to root by using the property $h_{P}=h_{C}+2 e_{\mathrm{P} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}}=0$ that relate the child $C$ and parent $P$ node heterozygosities ( $h_{C}$ and $h_{P}$ respectively) and $e_{\mathrm{P} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}}$ (LIPSON et al. 2013). When the drift.scaling argument is set to $T R U E^{30}$, the fit.graph function returns the edge lengths scaled in drift units in a slot named edges.length.scaled together with the estimated node heterozygosities (nodes.het slot) as shown below with the example data:

```
sim.fittedgraph.scaled<-fit.graph(sim.graph.params,drift.scaling = TRUE,verbose=FALSE)
```

Note that the obtained results are the same as above with no drift scaling since the latter is a post-processing step independent of admixture graph parameters estimation

```
#Estimated edge lengths
sim.fittedgraph.scaled@edges.length.scaled
    P7<->P1 s1<->P2 s2<->P3 S<->P6 P8<->s2 P7<->s1 P9<->P4 P9<->P5 P8<->P7 R<->P8 R<->P9
0.04964054 0.02405296 0.02631275 0.02516072 0.07378969 0.02711439 0.14321071 0.13954967 0.04846131 0.07700936 0.07700936
```

When plotting the resulting fitted.graph objects, branch lengths are displayed in drift scaled units as shown in the Figure 10 below obtained with the following command:

[^10]```
plot(sim.fittedgraph.scaled)
```



Figure 10: Plot of the admixture graph specifying the simulated scenario with fitted edge lengths scaled in drift units (x1,000)

The estimated branch lengths are close to the simulated ones (Figure 1) as represented below (see Gautier et al. 2022 for a more in-depth exploration of the accuracy of the estimates):

```
Estimated 0.04964054 0.02405296 0.02631275 0.02516072 0.07378969 0.02711439 0.1432107 0.1395497 0.04846131-10, 0.1540187
Simulated 0.05000000 0.02500000 0.02500000 0.02500000 0.07500000 0.02500000 0.1500000 0.1500000 0.05000000}
```


### 5.2.2 Estimating 95\% confidence intervals of the estimated parameters values

Calling the fit.graph function with the compute.ci argument set to TRUE allows deriving (rough) 95\% confidence intervals for the admixture graph parameter estimates. The procedure considers each parameter in turn (the other parameters being set to their estimated values) and consists of interpreting the score difference $S_{\nu}-S^{\star}$ (where $S^{\star}$ is the fitted graph score associated with estimated parameter value $\nu^{\star}$ and $S_{\nu}$ is the score associated with a parameter value $\nu \neq \nu^{\star}$ ) as a likelihood-ratio test statistics following a $\chi^{2}$ distribution with one degree of freedom (see Gautier et al. 2022 for details). The lower and upper boundaries $\nu_{\text {min }}$ and $\nu_{\max }$ of the $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ (such $S_{\nu}-S^{\star}<3.84$ for all $\nu_{\min }<\nu<\nu_{\max }$ ) are then simply computed using a bisection method (with a $10^{-4}$ precision threshold).

```
sim.fittedgraph.with.ci<-fit.graph(sim.graph.params,compute.ci=TRUE,
                                    drift.scaling = TRUE,verbose = FALSE)
#95% CI for the admixture proportion
sim.fittedgraph.with.ci@admix.prop.ci
    95% Inf. 95% Sup.
a 0.2354879 0.2604726
#95% CI for edge length (including drift scaled as drift.scaling=TRUE)
sim.fittedgraph.with.ci@edges.length.ci
    95% Inf. 95% Sup. 95% Inf. (drift scaled) 95% Sup. (drift scaled)
P7<->P1 0.003888322 0.004322695 0.04731364 0.05259916
s1<->P2 0.001785780 0.002153260 0.02179800 0.02628362
s2<->P3 0.001947384 0.002392453 0.02384593 0.02929586
S<->P6 0.001913835 0.002294537 0.02280190 0.02733768
P8<->S2 0.006037994 0.006638187 0.07033080 0.07732187
P7<->s1 0.002019406 0.002471907 0.02457242 0.03007851
P9<->P4 0.012406433 0.013340492 0.13817596 0.14857899
P9<->P5 0.012040331 0.013011938 0.13409851 0.14491974
```

| P8<->P7 | 0.003835432 | 0.004464375 | 0.04467527 | 0.05200122 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| R<->P8 | 0.006219328 | 0.006686937 | 0.07430200 | 0.07988849 |
| R<->P9 | 0.006219328 | 0.006686937 | 0.07430200 | 0.07988849 |

The simulated values are all within the estimated $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ of the estimated value except for the long $e_{\mathrm{P} 9 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{P} 4}$ and $e_{\mathrm{P} 9 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{P} 5}$ branches that are slightly higher than the upper boundary. Note also that if the $95 \%$ CI for the admixture proportion $a$ contains the simulated value of 0.25 , it is narrower than the one that may be derived from the block-jackknife estimate of the $F_{4}$-ratio standard error (see section 4.3 and Gautier et al. 2022 for a more thorough evaluation of the estimated $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ ).

### 5.2.3 Assessing the fit of the graph with the compare.fitted.fstats function

As outlined by Patterson et al. (2012) and Lipson (2020), a straightforward but highly informative approach to assess the fit of the graph is to compare the f-statistics derived from the fitted admixture graph parameters to the estimated ones, i.e., to evaluate to which extent the fitted F-statistics lie within the confidence intervals of the estimated ones. This may be summarized by computing a Z-score of the residuals for each f-statistics as $Z=\frac{f-g}{\sigma_{g}^{2}}$ where $f$ and $g$ stand for the fitted and estimated values respectively and $\sigma_{g}^{2}$ is the standard error of $g$. This information is available for the basis f-statistics in the fitted.outstats slot of the fitted.graph object generated by the fit.graph functions, as illustrated below:

```
#Fitted basis F-stats
sim.fittedgraph.scaled@fitted.outstats
\begin{tabular}{llrr} 
& Stat. value Fitted Value & Z-score \\
P1,P2 & 0.008274108 & 0.008278377 & 0.03287705 \\
P1,P3 & 0.016680443 & 0.016723801 & 0.20561876 \\
P1,P4 & 0.033971079 & 0.033990395 & 0.05587989 \\
P1,P5 & 0.033727224 & 0.033661681 & -0.18890298 \\
P1,P6 & 0.012237561 & 0.012265163 & 0.16197672 \\
P1;P2,P3 & 0.004048392 & 0.004079551 & 0.26473869 \\
P1;P2,P4 & 0.004087474 & 0.004079551 & -0.05972586 \\
P1;P2,P5 & 0.004149524 & 0.004079551 & -0.52164304 \\
P1;P2,P6 & 0.004610668 & 0.004631937 & 0.19288766 \\
P1;P3,P4 & 0.008230291 & 0.008240019 & 0.05210483 \\
P1;P3,P5 & 0.008244937 & 0.008240019 & -0.02672913 \\
P1;P3,P6 & 0.011937638 & 0.011973206 & 0.20637614 \\
P1;P4,P5 & 0.021148713 & 0.021131906 & -0.06164584 \\
P1;P4,P6 & 0.007189623 & 0.007208661 & 0.11673856 \\
P1;P5,P6 & 0.007234212 & 0.007208661 & -0.16250690
\end{tabular}
```

However, the fit should be evaluated for all the f-statistics (not only those forming the f-statistics vector-space basis used to fit the admixture graph) with the compare.fitted.fstats function. This may in turn provide insights into those populations (or graph edges) leading to poor fit (LIPSON 2020). As shown below, the function requires the original fstats object (that may contain f-statistics for additional populations not represented in the admixture graph) and the fitted.graph object. It then produces a matrix with information on all the fitted stats and the n.worst.stats (by default n.worst.stats=5) f-statistics, i.e. with the lowest absolute Z-score, are directly printed in the console:

```
sim.fitted.fstats.comp<-compare.fitted.fstats(sim6p.allelecount.fstats,sim.fittedgraph)
5 Worst fit for:
            Estimated Fitted Z-score
P1,P2;P3,P5 1.011325e-04 0.000000e+00 -0.8442359
P1,P2;P5,P6 4.611437e-04 5.523863e-04 0.7459512
P1,P2;P4,P5 6.205022e-05 3.469447e-18 -0.6662270
P2;P1,P5 4.124584e-03 4.198826e-03 0.5401737
P1;P2,P5 4.149524e-03 4.079551e-03 -0.5216430
#Information on the last five fitted F-statistics
tail(sim.fitted.fstats.comp)
```

```
    Estimated Fitted Z-score
P2,P6;P3,P4 -4.787097e-03 -4.764545e-03 0.16513037
P2,P6;P3,P5 -4.804558e-03 -4.764545e-03 0.27257790
P2,P6;P4,P5 -1.746147e-05 0.000000e+00 0.16092207
P3,P4;P5,P6 -1.765179e-02 -1.765643e-02 -0.01671976
P3,P5;P4,P6 -1.762185e-02 -1.765643e-02 -0.12418834
P3,P6;P4,P5 2.994286e-05 6.938894e-18 -0.39137603
```

As shown above, no outlying fitted f-statistics (e.g., with $|Z|>2$ ) is observed on the example providing strong support for the fitted admixture graph.

### 5.3 Adding a new leaf to an existing graph

The add.leaf function allows to perform iterative calls to the fit.graph function in order to evaluate all possible connections of a given leaf (population) to an existing graph with non-admixed and/or admixed edges. Three input arguments are required:

- a graph specified within a graph.params object (obtained with the generate.graph.params function, see section 5.1.1) or a fitted.graph object (obtained with the fit.graph, add.leaf or graph.builder functions, see sections 5.2 and 6.2 below allowing more convenient exploration of the admixture graph space via recursive calls)
- the name of the leaf to add (leaf.to.add argument)
- an fstats object (see 4) containing a minima estimates of all the $f_{2}$ and $f_{3}$ statistics (and their standard errors) involving the leaves of the input graph and the leaf to add
By default the function tests all the possible positions of the candidate leaf (leaf.to.add) to the graph with non-admixed (including a new rooting with the candidate leaf as an outgroup) or admixed edges. If $n_{e}$ is the number of non-admixed edges in the original graph, the number of tested graphs equals $n_{e}+1$ for non-admixed candidate edges ${ }^{31}$ and $\frac{1}{2} n_{e}\left(n_{e}-1\right)$ for admixed candidate edges ${ }^{32}$. Optional arguments may allow disabling the evaluation of non-admixed (by setting the only.test.non.admixed.edges argument to TRUE) or admixed (by setting the only.test.admixed.edges argument to TRUE) candidate edges.

The object returned by the add.leaf function is a list consisting of:

- an element named n.graphs corresponding to the number of tested graphs
- an element named fitted.graphs.list which consists of a list of fitted.graph objects (indexed from 1 to n.graphs and in the same order as the list "graphs") containing the fit.graph function results for each candidate graph
- an element named best.fitted.graph which is the fitted.graph object associated to the candidate graph with minimal BIC (see 5.2) among all the n.graphs graphs within fitted.graphs.list.
- an element named bic which is a vector consisting of the n.graphs BIC (indexed from 1 to n.graphs and in the same order as the fitted.graphs.list list).

Use of the add.leaf function is illustrated below on the example data by evaluating the connection of the $P 6$ population on the graph (actually tree) connecting the five other populations ( $P 1, P 2, P 3, P 4$ and $P 5$ ) specified according to the simulated topology in a graph.params object (5.1.1) named sim5p.tree.params and plotted in Figure 11:

```
sim5p.tree<-sim.graph<-rbind(c("P1","P7",""), c("P2", "P7",""), c("P3", "P8",""),
    c("P7","P8","") , c("P4","P9","") , c("P5", "P9",""),
    c("P8","R",""), c("P9","R",""))
sim5p.tree.params<-generate.graph.params(sim5p.tree)
#Note: fstats object is not necessary at this stage
plot(sim5p.tree.params)
```

[^11]

Figure 11: Plot of the five-population graph on which to add the $P 6$ population with the add.leaf function

All the possible positions of the $P 6$ population on the sim5p.tree graph (here using the f-statistics estimated on the simulated allele count data, see section 4), are tested as follows:

```
add.P6<-add.leaf(sim5p.tree.params,leaf.to.add="P6",
    fstats=sim6p.allelecount.fstats,
    verbose=FALSE,drift.scaling=TRUE)
```

Note that the verbose option set to $F A L S E$ allows disabling the printing of the progress and timing of each analysis (which may be useful in practice) and the drift.scaling option set to TRUE allows passing it to each fit.graph call to obtained estimates of branch lengths in drift units (see section 5.2).

The graph with the lowest $B I C$ among all the tested graphs may then be plotted by calling the plot function on the corresponding fitted.graph object stored in the best.fitted.graph element of the add.P6 output list with the following command that generates Figure 12:

```
plot(add.P6$best.fitted.graph)
```



Figure 12: Plot of the graph with the lowest BIC among all the possible graphs connecting $P 6$ to the five-population tree tested by the add.leaf. The fitted edge lengths are in drift units (x1000) since drift.scaling argument was set to TRUE when calling add.leaf.

The best fitting graph based on BIC criterion (stored in the best.fitted.graph slot of the output list) is in perfect agreement with the simulated scenario (Figure 1). It actually corresponds to the one directly fitted using the simulated scenario which was represented in Figure 10 above (only the names of the nodes involved in the admixture events differ since automatically given by the add.leaf function). In addition, comparisons of the BIC of the different graphs provide strong support in favor of this best fitting graph, the second lowest $B I C$ being more than 86 units larger i.e., far above the threshold of 10 for very strong evidence (see section 5.2):

```
#D_BIC w.r.t. best fitted BIC
D_BIC=add.P6$bic-add.P6$best.fitted.graph@bic
#5 First lowest DeltaBIC (the first value of zero corresponding to the best fitted graph)
head(sort(D_BIC))
```

[1] $0.00000 \quad 86.31675 \quad 86.31675 \quad 279.48967 \quad 284.90577 \quad 284.90577$

## 6 Building admixture graph from scratch

Lipson et al. (2013) proposed a two-step approach (implemented in the MixMapper software ${ }^{33}$ ) to build admixture graph when prior knowledge about history and relationships of investigated population is limited (which is usually the case). It consists of first building a scaffold tree of unadmixed populations and then adding the remaining populations successively on the graph. Such a supervised approach nevertheless requires to carefully assess at each step the graph fit and possibly try different ordering in the inclusion of populations (or removal of some populations). The poolfstat package provides functions to help building scaffold trees that may further be used as input tree for the add.leaf function previously described above (5.3) to implement the LIPSON et al. (2013) two-step approach.

### 6.1 Building scaffold trees of unadmixed populations

In the absence of admixture, the $f_{2}$ statistics among all pairs of populations are expected to be additive along the paths of the (binary) tree summarizing the history of the populations (Lipson et al. 2013). As a result, the (unrooted) tree topology and branch lengths connecting unadmixed populations may be inferred with a neighbor-joining algorithm to derive a scaffold tree for further admixture graph construction. Based on the estimated f-statistics stored in a fstats object, the functions described below allows to $i$ ) identify candidate sets of unadmixed populations among the genotyped ones (find.tree.popset function); ii) infer a neighbor-joining scaffold tree from a candidate set of unadmixed populations (rooted.njtree.builder function); and $i i i$ ) to infer root position based on the consistency of within population heterozygosities between the two resulting partitions of rooted trees (see p1799 in LiPSON et al. 2013).

### 6.1.1 The find.tree.popset function to identify sets of candidate scaffold populations

The find.tree.popset function selects maximal sets of unadmixed populations from an $f_{s t a t s}$ object ${ }^{34}$. The procedure involves $i$ ) discarding all the populations showing a significantly negative $f_{3}$ at a significance theshold specified with the f3.zcore.threshold argument (equal to -1.65 by default, i.e., $95 \%$ significance threshold, see section 4.1.2); and $i i$ ) keeping only sets of populations with all possible quadruplets passing the $f_{4}$-based test of treeness i.e., with an absolute $f_{4}$ Z-score lower than a threshold specified with the f4.zcore.threshold argument (equal 2 by default, i.e., $95 \%$ significance threshold, see section 4.1.3). The latter step is implemented via a greedy algorithm (that may be run in parallel by specifying a number of threads with the nthreads argument) consisting of trying to extend the size of the population sets from all sets of four populations after adding candidate populations one at a time. If the number of populations is large, this algorithm may take some times. Note that increasing (respectively decreasing) f3.zcore.threshold toward value closer to 0 may allow decreasing (respectively increasing) the number of initial candidate populations to be tested for inclusion in a set. Similarly, increasing (respectively decreasing) f4.zcore.threshold may allow increasing (respectively decreasing) the size of the sets. When applied to the example allele count and read count data, a single set of 5 unadmixed populations ( $P 1, P 2, P 3, P 4$ and $P 5$ ) is retrieved as expected from the simulated scenario (Figure 1):

```
# count data
scaf.pops<-find.tree.popset(sim6p.allelecount.fstats,verbose=FALSE)
scaf.pops$pop.sets
```

[^12]```
    [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]
PopSet1 "P1" "P2" "P3" "P4" "P5"
# 30X Pool-Seq data
scaf.pops<-find.tree.popset(sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,verbose=FALSE)
scaf.pops$pop.sets
[,\mp@code{[,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]}
```

As previously mentioned (section 4), for a given set consisting of n populations, a total of $3\binom{n}{4}=\frac{1}{8} n(n-$ $1)(n-2)(n-3)$ quadruplets can be formed. In other words, a given set of four populations $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D is actually represented by only three quadruplets representative of the three possible unrooted tree topologies ${ }^{35}$ i) $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}) ;$ ii) $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D})$; and iii) $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C})$. Among these, only a single quadruplet is expected to pass the treeness test (i.e., if the correct unrooted tree topology is ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{D}$ ), then the absolute value of the Z-scores associated to $f 4(A, B ; C, D)$ and $f 4(A, D ; B, C)$ or their equivalent will be high). For each of identified sets of presumably unadmixed populations, the list of the $\binom{n}{4}$ quadruplets passing the treeness test is given in the passing.quadruplets element of the output list as illustrated below:

```
# list of the 15 quadruplets passing the treeness test for the identified set
scaf.pops$passing.quaduplets
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & [,1] & [,2] & [,3] \\
\hline PopSet1 & "Pool1, Pool3;Pool4, Pool5" & "Pool2, Pool3;Pool4, Pool5" & "Pool1, Pool2;Pool4,Pool5" \\
\hline & [,4] & [,5] & \\
\hline PopSet1 & "Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool4" & "Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool5" & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

In addition, for each of the identified sets, the range of variation of the passing quadruplets is given in the Z_f4.range element of the output list:

```
scaf.pops$Z_f4.range
Min. |Zscore| Max. |Zscore|
OopSet1 0.02584028
```

When several sets are identified, this information may be useful to prioritize the sets of unadmixed populations (e.g., via a minimax criterion consisting of choosing the set of populations that has the lowest maximal absolute Z-score for its underlying quadruplets that pass the treeness test).

### 6.1.2 The rooted.njtree.builder to building (and root) a tree of candidate scaffold populations

The rooted.njtree.builder allows first building a Neighbor-Joining ${ }^{36}$ of a set of presumably unadmixed populations (as obtained e.g., from the find.tree.popset functions) given as a vector of population names in the pop.sel argument based on the matrix of their pairwise $f_{2}$ stored in the provided fstats object (fstats argument). The resulting (unrooted) tree is then rooted by relying on the property that root $R$ heterozygosity $h_{R}=1-Q_{2}^{A, B}$ estimated from all the possible pairs of populations $A$ and $B$ that satisfies the property of being only connected through R in the tree (i.e., $A$ and $B$ each belong to one of the two tree partitions defined by the $R$ ) should be consistent (Lipson et al. 2013). In other words, the most likely rooted tree among the $\left(2 n_{l}-3\right)$ possible ones should be the one displaying the narrower range of variation of the $h_{R}$ estimates. Note that the root position is always placed in the mid-position of the candidate branch.

The object returned by the rooted.njtree.builder function is a list consisting of:

- an element named n.rooted.trees corresponding to the number of possible rooted binary trees that were evaluated
- an element named fitted.rooted.trees.list which consists of a list of fitted.graph objects (indexed from 1 to $n$.rooted.trees).

[^13]- an element named best.rooted.tree which corresponds to the fitted.graph object associated with the most likely rooted tree (among all the fitted.rooted.trees.list ones) identified as the one displaying the minimal standard deviation over the $h_{R}$ estimates
- an element named root.het.est.var consisting of a matrix of n.rooted.trees rows and 4 columns with i) the average estimated root heterozygosity $h_{R}$ across all the pairs of leaves that are relevant for estimation (see above); ii) the size of the range of variation; iii) the standard deviation of the $h_{R}$ estimates, and iv) the number of population pairs relevant for estimation
- if $n$.edges $>3$, an element named $n j$.tree.eval that gives for each evaluated rooted tree, the five $f$-statistics configuration displaying the worst fit, i.e., with the five highest absolute Z -score for the predicted value (obtained by internally calling the compare.fitted.fstats function). Note that these are independent of the rooting (so cannot be used to infer the root position).

Use of the rooted.njtree.builder function is illustrated below to build the scaffold tree (using Pool-Seq read count data) based on the set of unadmixed populations (identified with find.tree.popset) and plotted with the plot function applied to the fitted.graph object of the resulting list (best.rooted.tree element) to generate Figure 13:

```
scaf.tree<-rooted.njtree.builder(fstats=sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,
    pop.sel=scaf.pops$pop.sets[1,],plot.nj=FALSE)
```

```
Score of the NJ tree: 0.6884542
Construction of all the 7 possible rooted tree from the NJ tree
(stored as graph in the rooted.graph object of the output list)
plot(scaf.tree$best.rooted.tree)
```



Figure 13: Plot of the rooted scaffold tree of unadmixed populations inferred by rooted.njtree.builder. The fitted edge lengths are in a drift scale (x1000).

The fit of the Neighbor-Joining tree can be checked by inspecting the nj.tree.eval element:

```
scaf.tree$nj.tree.eval
    Estimated Fitted Z-score
Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool5 1.011014e-04 0.000000000 -0.8067878
Pool1,Pool2;Pool3,Pool4 6.087576e-05 0.000000000 -0.5098456
Pool2;Pool1,Pool5 4.120591e-03 0.004181198 0.4330143
Pool1;Pool2,Pool5 4.154896e-03 0.004094289 -0.4293005
Pool1,Pool2;Pool4,Pool5 4.022566e-05 0.000000000 -0.4233082
```

The following range of variation of the $h_{R}$ estimates were obtained for the different possible root position:

```
scaf.tree$root.het.est.var
    Mean Range sd ncomps
Tree1 0.1814754 0.0234940763 0.0115371318 4
```

```
Tree2 0.1810025 0.0228772762 0.0113327886 4
Tree3 0.1857808 0.0155159278 0.0076153034 6
Tree4 0.1907099 0.0007923822 0.0002825614 6
Tree5 0.1880369 0.0113894828 0.0055518382 4
Tree6 0.1878868 0.0111334494 0.0054505348 4
Tree7 0.1833542 0.0152408946 0.0085429545 4
```

The "best" inferred rooted scaffold tree (i.e., the fourth one with both lowest range of $h_{R}$ variation) is consistent with the simulated scenario. It may further be used as a reference graph to construct the complete admixture graph after adding Pool6 population using the add.leaf function (see 5.3). The obtained graph plotted in Figure 14 with the commands below is very close to the one previously inferred with allele count data (Figure 12) and very strongly supported by the data:

```
add.pool6<-add.leaf(scaf.tree$best.rooted.tree,leaf.to.add="Pool6",
    fstats=sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,verbose=FALSE,drift.scaling=TRUE)
plot(add.pool6$best.fitted.graph)
```



Figure 14: Plot of the graph with the lowest BIC among all the possible graphs connecting Pool6 to the scaffold tree of unadmixed population tested by the add.leaf. The fitted edge lengths are in drift units (x1000) since drift.scaling argument was set to $T R U E$ when calling add.leaf.

```
#D_BIC w.r.t. best fitted BIC
D_BIC=add.pool6$bic-add.pool6$best.fitted.graph@bic
#5 First lowest DeltaBIC (the first value of zero corresponding to the best fitted graph)
head(sort(D_BIC))
[1] 0.00000 78.75611 78.75611 254.27229 259.68839 259.68839
```


## Notice

In practice, the rooted.njtree.builder function should be used with caution since both the NeighborJoining tree construction and the heterozygosity-based rooting of the tree may be sensitive to long-branch attraction (most particularly if some highly diverged populations are included). The inferred topology may even violate treeness test for some of the quadruplets (see e.g., the empirical example detailed in the Supplementary Vignette V2 by Gautier et al. 2022).

### 6.2 Extending an initial tree (or graph) with the graph.builder function

The graph.builder function implements an heuristic to carry out a larger exploration of the space of possible graphs (but usually still not exhaustive) obtained from the joint addition of several populations (leaves) given as an input vector (leaves.to.add argument) to an existing graph (as generated using the rooted.njtree.builder
function described above or included in a graph.params or fitted.graph object) or a list of graphs. The algorithm consists of adding the leaves in the order of the input vector to each of the graphs stored in a heap via successive calls to the add.leaf function (section 5.3). More precisely, the heap first consists of the initial input graph (or list of graph) and at each iteration, the function add.leaf is used to evaluate all the possible connections of each candidate leaf (with non-admixed or admixed edges) to all the graphs of the heap. For each of the latter, the newly fitted graphs displaying a BIC less than heap.dbic units (set to 6 by default) away from the best fitting graph (i.e., the one with the lowest $B I C$ ) included in the new heap. Once all the graphs have been evaluated, if the heap contains more than max.heap.size (set to 25 graphs by default) graphs, only the max.heap.size graphs with the lowest BIC are kept in the heap. Finally, after testing the latest candidate leaf, only the graphs with a BIC less than heap.dbic units away from the graph with lowest $B I C$ in the heap are retained in the final list of graphs.

The object returned by the function is a list consisting of:

- an element named n.graphs corresponding to the final number of graphs
- an element named fitted.graphs.list which consists of a list of fitted.graph objects (indexed from 1 to n.graphs) containing the fit.graph function results for each graph
- an element named best.fitted.graph which is the fitted.graph object associated to the graph with the lowest BIC among all the n.graphs graphs included in fitted.graphs.list.
- an element named bic which is a vector containing the BIC of the n.graphs BIC (indexed from 1 to n.graphs and in the same order as fitted.graphs.list).

Use of the graph.builder function is illustrated below on the PoolSeq example data by starting from an initial rooted tree constructed with the rooted.njtree.builder for the three populations Pool1, Pool3, Pool4 and Pool5. This tree is extended by successively adding the two remaining populations Pool2 and Pool6:

```
#build an initial 3 population trees with "Pool1","Pool3", "Pool4" and "Pool5"
init.tree<-rooted.njtree.builder(fstats=sim6p.readcount30X.fstats,
    pop.sel=c("Pool1", "Pool3", "Pool4", "Pool5"), plot.nj=FALSE)
```

Score of the NJ tree: 0.0006790273
Construction of all the 5 possible rooted tree from the NJ tree
(stored as graph in the rooted.graph object of the output list)
\#adding the three remaining pops
final.graphs<-graph.builder(x=init.tree\$best.rooted.tree,leaves.to.add=c("Pool2", "Pool6"),
fstats=sim6p.readcount30X.fstats)
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
Adding: Pool2
21 graphs evaluated in 0 h 0 m 1 s
6 graphs stored in the heap
\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#\#
Adding: Pool6
261 graphs evaluated in 0 h 0 m 5 s
7 graphs stored in the heap
Final Number of graphs: 7
(min. $\mathrm{BIC}=275.8408$ )
Overall Analysis Time: 0 h 0 m 6 s ( 282 graphs evaluated)
\#D_BIC w.r.t. to the "true" graph as identified previously (object add.pool6\$best.fitted.graph)
D_BIC=final.graphs\$bic-add.pool6\$best.fitted.graph@bic
\#5 First lowest DeltaBIC (the first value of zero corresponding to the best fitted graph)
head (sort(D_BIC))
[1] $0.0000004 .6676884 .9233484 .9233484 .923348 \quad 5.416100$
Among the 7 final graphs, the one with the lowest $B I C$ is exactly the same as the one plotted in Figure 14 and corresponds to the simulated scenario. It should however be noticed that other alternative graphs are also
identified with a good support. Moreover, starting with other population trees (e.g., a three population tree consisting of Pool1, Pool2 and Pool5) could result in several graphs with the same support (i.e., $\Delta_{B I C}=0$ ) but with a different positioning of the root (not shown). In practice, it may be important to start with scaffold trees that are as large as possible and representative of the structuring of diversity of the represented populations (i.e., not too unbalanced with respect to the leaves to be added). Some prior knowledge about the relationships of some of the populations may also be helpful to that respect. As examplified in the Supplementary Vignette V2 of Gautier et al. (2022), it is also highly recommended to test different orders of inclusion (possibly all) of the leaves (as specified in the vector leaves.to.add).

## 7 Other utilities

### 7.1 Symbolic representation of the $F$ parameters, admixture graph equations and the scaled covariance matrix $\Omega$ with graph.params2symbolic.fstats

Given a graph topology relating the populations stored in a graph.params object, the graph.params2symbolic.fstats functions provide symbolic representation of the model equations used to fit the underlying admixture graph and all the $F_{2}, F_{3}$ and $F_{4}$ parameters together with the scaled covariance matrix of population allele frequencies called $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ after GaUtier (2015). Such representation may be useful for a closer examination of graph properties (or education purposes). The output objects consists of a list with the following elements:

- a character matrix named model.matrix consisting of the matrix $\mathbf{M}$ relating the parameters underlying the basis f-statistics and graph edge lengths in the model equations defined as $\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{M b}$ where $\mathbf{f}$ is the vector of the basis f-statistics (row names of the model.matrix $\mathbf{M}$ ) and $\mathbf{b}$ is the vector of graph edges (column names of model.matrix $\mathbf{M}$ ).
- a character matrix named omega consisting of the the scaled covariance matrix of population allele frequencies $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ (see e.g., Gautier 2015).
- a character vector FQ.equations consisting of the symbolic representations of all the $\frac{1}{2} n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right) F_{2}$ parameters (with edge and admixture proportion parameter names as defined in the graph.params object)
- a character vector F3.equations consisting of the symbolic representations of all the $\frac{1}{2} n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right)\left(n_{l}-2\right)$ $F_{3}$ parameters (with edge and admixture proportion parameter names as defined in the graph.params object)
- a character vector F4.equations consisting of the symbolic representations of all the $\frac{1}{8} n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right)\left(n_{l}-\right.$ $2)\left(n_{l}-3\right) F_{4}$ parameters (with edge and admixture proportion parameter names as defined in the graph.params object)
These different equations can also be printed in an output text file (with name specified by the outfile argument). The following example shows results obtained using the graph.params object sim.graph.params generated in 5.1.1 (Figure 8) that specifies the simulation scenario (Figure 1):

```
sim.fstats.sym<-graph.params2symbolic.fstats(sim.graph.params,outfile = "Fstats_equations")
#Model equations matrix
sim.fstats.sym$model.matrix
```

|  | P7<->P1 | s1<->P2 | s2<->P3 | S<->P6 | P8<->s2 | P7<->s1 | P9<->P4 | P9<->P5 | P8<->P7 | R<->P8 | R<->P9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F2 (P1, P2) | "1" | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" |
| F2 (P1, P3) | "1" | "0" | "1" | "0" | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1" | "0" | "0" |
| F2 (P1, P4) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1" | "0" | "1" | "1" | "1" |
| F2 (P1, P5) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1" | "1" | "1" | "1" |
| F2 (P1,P6) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "1" | "a-2-2*a+1" | "a-2" | "0" | "0" | "a^2-2*a+1" | "0" | "0" |
| F3 (P1;P2,P3) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" |
| F3 (P1;P2,P4) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" |
| F3 (P1;P2,P5) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" |
| F3 (P1;P2,P6) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "a" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" |
| F3 (P1;P3,P4) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1" | "0" | "0" |
| F3 (P1;P3, P5) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1" | "0" | "0" |
| F3 (P1; P3, P6) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1-a" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1-a" | "0" | "0" |
| F3 (P1;P4,P5) | "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1" | "1" | "1" |


| F3(P1;P4,P6) "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1-a" | "0" | "0" |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F3(P1;P5,P6) "1" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "0" | "1-a" | "0" | "0" |
| \#scaled covariance matrix of allele frequencies (Omega) sim.fstats.sym\$omega |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| P1 | P2 | P3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 "P7<->P1+P8<->P7+R<->P8" | "P8<->P7+R<->P8" | "R<->P8" |  |  |
| P2 "P8<->P7+R<->P8" | "s1<->P2+P7<->s1+P8<->P7+R<->P8" | "R<->P8" |  |  |
| P3 "R<->P8" | "R<->P8" | "s2<->P3+P8<->s2+R<->P8" |  |  |
| P6 "P8<->P7*a+R<->P8" | "(P7<->s1+P8<->P7)*a+R<->P8" | "P8<->s2+R<->P8-P8<->s2*a" |  |  |
| P4 "0" | "0" | "0" |  |  |
| P5 "0" | "0" | "0" |  |  |
| P6 |  |  | P4 | P5 |
| P1 "P8<->P7*a+R<->P8" |  |  | "0" | "0" |
| P2 "(P7<->s1+P8<->P7)*a+R<-> | P8" |  | "0" | "0" |
| P3 "P8<->s2+R<->P8-P8<->s2* |  |  | "0" | "0" |
| P6 "S<->P6+(P8<->s2+P7<->s1 | +P8<->P7) *a^2-2*P8<->s2*a+P8<->s2+ | +R<->P8" | "0" | "0" |
| P4 "0" |  |  | "P9<->P4+R<->P9" | "R<->P9" |
| P5 "0" |  |  | "R<->P9" | "P9<->P5+R<->P9" |
| \#F2 statistics (first five) |  |  |  |  |

```
[1] "F2(P1,P2) = P7<->P1+s1<->P2+P7<->s1"
[2] "F2(P1,P3) = P7<->P1+P8<->P7+s2<->P3+P8<->s2"
[3] "F2(P1,P6) = P7<->P1+(a^2-2*a+1)*P8<->P7+S<->P6+(a^2-2*a+1)*P8<->s2+a^2*P7<->s1"
[4] "F2(P1,P4) = P7<->P1+P8<->P7+R<->P8+P9<->P4+R<->P9"
[5] "F2(P1,P5) = P7<->P1+P8<->P7+R<->P8+P9<->P5+R<->P9"
[6] "F2(P2,P3) = s1<->P2+P7<->s1+P8<->P7+s2<->P3+P8<->s2"
#F3 statistics (first five)
head(sim.fstats.sym$F3.equations)
```

[1] "F3(P1;P2,P3) = P7<->P1"
[2] "F3(P1;P2,P6) = P7<->P1+a*P7<->s1"
[3] "F3 (P1;P2,P4) = P7<->P1"
[4] "F3(P1;P2,P5) = P7<->P1"
[5] "F3 (P1;P3,P6) = P7<->P1+(1-a)*P8<->P7+(1-a)*P8<->s2"
[6] "F3(P1;P3,P4) = P7<->P1+P8<->P7"
\#F4 statistics (first five)
head(sim.fstats.sym\$F4.equations)
[1] "F4(P1,P2;P3,P6) = P7<->s1*a"
[2] "F4 (P1,P2;P3,P4) = 0"
[3] "F4(P1,P2;P3,P5) $=0 "$
[4] "F4 (P1,P2;P6,P4) = -P7<->s1*a"
[5] "F4 (P1,P2;P6,P5) = -P7<->s1*a"
[6] "F4 (P1,P2;P4,P5) = 0"

### 7.2 Generating files for the $q p$ Graph software with graph.params2qpGraphFiles

The graph.params2qpGraphFiles function allows generating the files required by the qpGraph software (Patterson et al. 2012) from a graph.params object that includes estimates of f-statistics (see section 5.1.2). If $f$ is the prefix character specified with the outfileprefix argument of the function (by default $f=o u t$ ), these are:

- a file named "f.graph" that specifies the graph in qpGraph format
- a file named " $f$.fstats" with estimates of F-statistics (and their covariance) included in the input graph.params object
- a parameter file named " $f$.parqpGraph" to run $q p G r a p h$ (this file may be edited by hand if other options are needed).

The qpGraph software (v7365 and above to allow f-statistics estimates to be provided as input) may then be run on a terminal using the following options:

```
qpGraph -p f.parqpGraph -g f.graph -o out.ggg -d out.dot.input
```

The "f.fstats" f-statistics file must be in the same directory or its PATH should be explicitly specified by editing the "f.parqpGraph" parameter file. The following example runs qpGraph (providing appropriate install of the software) on the sim.graph.params object generated in 5.2 (see Figure 9 representing the fitted graph obtained with the fit.graph function):

```
graph.params2qpGraphFiles(sim.graph.params,outfileprefix = "sim.graph")
Fstats input file for qpGraph written in sim.graph.fstats
Graph input file for qpGraph written in sim.graph.graph
Parameter File for qpGraph with some default parameters written in sim.graph.parqpGraph
#running qpGraph (installed locally) outside R
system("qpGraph -p sim.graph.parqpGraph -g sim.graph.graph -o sim.graph.g -d sim.graph.dot")
#plotting the dot file generated by qpGraph with grViz (as done internally by poolfstat)
require(DiagrammeR)
grViz("sim.graph.dot")
```

$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { sim.graph.graph :: P1 P2 P3 P5 } & 0.000000 & 0.000101 & 0.000101 & 0.000120 & 0.840\end{array}$


Figure 15: Fitting results obtained by qpGraph on the same data as the one used to generate Figure 9.

Comparison of Figures 15 and 9 shows that the same results are obtained with the two fitting methods (note that edge lengths are not scaled in drift units on the two figures).
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## A Apprendix

## A. 1 Block-Jackknife estimation of standard errors

Standard-error of genome-wide estimates of $F_{S T}$ and other $f$-statistics can estimated using a block-jackknife sampling approach (see Patterson et al. 2012 and references therein). The algorithm implemented in poolfstat consists of dividing the genome into contiguous chunks of a pre-defined number of SNPs (specified by the argument nsnp.per.bjack.block of the computeFST, compute.pairwiseFST or compute.fstats functions, see sections $3.1 .1,3.2$ and 4 respectively) and then removing each block in turn to quantify the variability of the estimates. If $n_{b}$ blocks are available and $\widehat{f}_{i}$ is the estimate of the statistics when removing all the SNPs belonging to block $i$, the standard error $\widehat{\sigma_{f}}$ of the estimator $\widehat{f}$ of the statistics of interest is computed as:

$$
\widehat{\sigma_{f}}=\sqrt{\frac{n_{b}-1}{n_{b}} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n_{b}}\left(\widehat{f_{i}}-\widehat{\mu_{f}}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $\widehat{\mu_{f}}=\frac{1}{n b} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n b} \widehat{f}_{i}$ which may be slightly different from the estimator obtained with all the markers since the latter may include SNPs that are not eligible for block-jackknife sampling (e.g., those at the chromosome/scaffolds boundaries or those belonging to chromosome/scaffolds with less than nsnp.per.bjack.block SNPs). Finally, block-jackknife sampling may also be used to obtain estimates of the covariance between the estimates $\widehat{f^{a}}$ and $\widehat{f^{b}}$ as (using similar notations):

$$
\widehat{\operatorname{Cov}}\left(\widehat{f^{a}} ; \widehat{f^{b}}\right)=\frac{n_{b}-1}{n_{b}} \sum_{i=1}^{i=n_{b}}\left(\widehat{f_{i}^{a}}-\widehat{\mu_{f^{a}}}\right)\left(\widehat{f_{i}^{b}}-\widehat{\mu_{f^{b}}}\right)
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Following Patterson et al. (2012), we use $F$ to refer to the parameter and $f$ to the statistics estimated from the data
    ${ }^{2}$ See http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 4709728
    ${ }^{3}$ For instance, $\rho=4,000$ if the per-base and per-generation recombination rate $r=10^{-8}$ (i.e., it the cM per Mb ratio is equal to 1) in a population of constant diploid effective size $N_{e}=10^{3}$
    ${ }^{4}$ For instance, a nucleotide diversity of $\theta=4,000$ is expected at mutation-drift equilibrium in a population of constant diploid effective size $N_{e}=10^{3}$ if the per-base mutation rate is $\mu=10^{-8}$

[^1]:    ${ }^{5}$ MAF was estimated over all the 300 haploid individuals
    ${ }^{6}$ The simulated data being haploid this implicitly assumes Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium for the different populations
    ${ }^{7}$ Note that the read count for the alternate allele is simply $c_{i j}-r_{i j}$
    ${ }^{8}$ Such a coverage is actually in the lower limit of what is usually recommended for Pool-Seq experiment
    ${ }^{9}$ i.e., obtained from individual genotyping data

[^2]:    ${ }^{10}$ If the number of markers in the snp.pos object is not consistent with that of allele count file or the given matrix is not 2 -column, default value for marker positions are given and a warning message is printed in the console
    ${ }^{11}$ The format of the vcf file is automatically detected from the genotype format that includes i) both an AD and RD fields for VarScan vcf files; or ii) only an AD field (with comma-separated read counts for the different allele) other than VarScan vcf. Parsing of $v c f$ files has been substantially improved since poolfstat version 1.2 with computationally intensive text manipulation now implemented in $C++$ routines inspired by those of the $v c f R$ package (Knaus and Grünwald 2017)

[^3]:    ${ }^{12}$ Although not defined in the same way, estimator for allele count data are strictly equivalent to those by Patterson et al. (2012)
    ${ }^{13}$ The estimator is then actually exactly the same as the one implemented in the compute.pairwiseFST or computeFST functions when the argument method="Identity"
    ${ }^{14}$ The compute.fstats function is optimized in such a way that the computational cost for the estimation of pairwise $F_{S T}, F_{3}$, $F_{3}^{\star}$ and $F_{4}$ from the $F_{2}$-statistics and $Q_{2}$ estimates is negligible
    ${ }^{15}$ For instance on a tested allele count real data set consisting of 640,000 SNPs genotyped on 24 populations, compute.fstats ran in $2 \mathrm{~m} 56 \mathrm{~s}(6 \mathrm{~m} 50$ if nsnp.per.bjack.block $=5000)$ with computeDstat $=T R U E$ and only $7 \mathrm{~s}(16 \mathrm{~s})$ if computeDstat $=F A L S E$
    ${ }^{16}$ Note that estimates of the different statistics are highly similar between the allele count and the Pool-Seq read count data.

[^4]:    ${ }^{17}$ In practice, the required $f_{4}$ may not be directly available from the $f 4$.values slot of the $f$ stats since, as mentioned in section 4.1.3, only one out of the 8 equivalent quadruplet configurations is reported (the chosen one only depending on the ordering of the populations in the countdata or pooldata objects used for estimation). However, the function automatically computes the $f_{4}$ for the numerator and denominator configurations specified by the user from the estimator available in the input fstats object

[^5]:    ${ }^{a}$ Regularly clearing the View items using the broom icon is recommended
    ${ }^{b}$ From the open source graph visualization software graphviz (https://graphviz.org/). For instance, dot files can be converted into png file using the command dot - Tpng inputgraph.dot in a Linux terminal. Several online user-friendly implementations also allow very convenient manipulation of dot files from a web-browser, see e.g., https://dreampuf.github.io/GraphvizOnline
    ${ }^{c}$ Alternatively, one may also use the command writeLines( $\left.x @ \operatorname{dot} . g r a p h, c o n=o u t f i l e\right)$ where $x$ is the graph.params object and outfile is the desired name of the dot output file (e.g., "out.dot")

[^6]:    ${ }^{18}$ In the symbolic representation, the names for the graph edges and admixture proportions correspond to those stored in the edges.names and adm.params.names slots of the graph.params object respectively

[^7]:    ${ }^{19}$ Conversely, the fstats object may include f-statistics involving populations other than the graph leaves, the generate.graph.params function selecting only the f-statistics relevant for the fitting of the input graph
    ${ }^{20}$ by default, $A$ is the first population in the vector of leaves but, although of limited interest, another reference population may be specified with the popref argument

[^8]:    ${ }^{21}$ stored in the f2.target and f3.target slots of the input graph.params object, see section 5.1.2
    ${ }^{22}$ If there is no admixture in the graph, $\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{a})$ only contains only 0 or 1
    ${ }^{23}$ The argument $Q . l a m b d a$ of the fit.graph function may be used to add a small constant (e.g., $10^{-4}$ ) to all to the diagonal elements of $\mathbf{Q}$ (i.e., the variance of the basis f-statistics estimates) as proposed by Patterson et al. (2012; see also Lipson 2020) to avoid numerical problems. Note that Qmat.diag.adjust is always set 0 in the final estimate of the score $S$ or the $B I C$
    ${ }^{24}$ Limited-memory Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno algorithm with box constraints
    ${ }^{25}$ i.e., the observed vector of f-statistics is multivariate normal distributed around the expected $g(e ; a)$ vector specified by the admixture graph and the covariance structure empirically estimated
    ${ }^{26} \mathrm{BIC}=S+n_{\text {par }} \log \left(\frac{1}{2} n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right)\right)-\frac{1}{2} n_{l}\left(n_{l}-1\right) \log (2 \pi)-\log (|\mathbf{Q}|)$
    ${ }^{27}$ The two thresholds of 6 and 10 on $\Delta_{\text {BIC }}$ corresponds to thresholds of 13 and 21 deciban (units of $10 \log _{10}$ scale) on BF. The original Jeffreys' rule considered $B F_{12}$ thresholds of 10,15 and 20 decibans (corresponding to $\Delta_{\text {BIC }}$ thresholds of $4.6,6.9$ and 9.2 ) as "strong," "very strong" and "decisive" evidence in favor of model 1.

[^9]:    ${ }^{28}$ In case of convergence problem (i.e., convergence not equal to 0), a message detailing execution error in the optimization algorithm is stored in the optim.results component named message. For more details, see the documentation for the optim function with the command ?optim providing the package optim is loaded
    ${ }^{29}$ the graph is also coded in dot format with a character vector stored in the dot.graph slot of the resulting fitted.graph object. As for generate.graph.params, a dot file may also be printed out by specifying an output file name prefix with the outfileprefix argument of the fit.graph function (or using the command writeLines(x@dot.graph,con=outfile) where $x$ is the fitted.graph object and outfile is the desired named of the dot output file). See 5.1 .1 for more details on how to externally export and customize dot files

[^10]:    ${ }^{30}$ providing the input graph.params object includes estimates of within-population heterozygosities (see section 5.1.2)

[^11]:    ${ }^{31}$ The newly added node is named "N-"leaf.to.add
    ${ }^{32}$ The three added nodes are named "S-"leaf.to.add, "S1-"leaf.to.add and "S2-"leaf.to.add and the admixture proportions are named with a letter ( A to Z depending on the number of admixed nodes already present in the graph)

[^12]:    ${ }^{33}$ http://cb.csail.mit.edu/cb/mixmapper/
    ${ }^{34}$ providing it was Z-scores were estimated for $f_{3}$ and $f_{4}$ statistics, i.e., that block-jackknife estimates of s.e. were carried out, see section 4

[^13]:    ${ }^{35}$ for each of these quadruplets, seven other equivalent combinations formed by permuting populations within each pair can be defined as mentioned in the notice p16
    ${ }^{36}$ relying on the $n j$ function from the popular package ape (PARADIS et al. 2004)

