The postnotes package

User manual

gusbrs

https://github.com/gusbrs/postnotes
https://www.ctan.org/pkg/postnotes

Version v0.5.0 – 2024-12-03

Abstract

postnotes is an endnotes package for LaTeX. Its user interface provides means to print multiple sections of notes along the document, and to subdivide them either automatically – by chapter, by section – or at manually specified places, thus being able to easily handle both numbered and unnumbered headings. The package also provides infrastructure for setting up contextual running headers for printed notes. The default is a simple but useful one, in the form "Notes to pages N–M", but more elaborate ones can be built. When hyperref is loaded, postnotes provides hyperlinked notes, including back links.

Contents

1 Introduction

postnotes is an endnotes package for LaTeX. Its user interface provides means to print multiple sections of notes along the document, and to subdivide them either automatically – by chapter, by section – or at manually specified places, thus being able to easily handle both numbered and unnumbered headings. The package also provides infrastructure for setting up contextual running headers for printed notes. The default is a simple but useful one, in the form "Notes to pages N–M", but more elaborate ones can be built. When hyperref is loaded, postnotes provides hyperlinked notes, including back links.

Though this feature set is mostly (albeit not completely) available in one or another of the existing endnotes packages for LaTeX, subsets of it exist in individual packages, not necessarily compatible with each other. postnotes brings these features together in one place, with no external dependencies except an up-to-date kernel.

On the technical side, postnotes is peculiar among existing LaTeX packages in this area of functionality by the fact that it does not use an external file to store the notes. Both the notes' contents and its metadata are stored in variables which are later retrieved at the time of printing. In particular, the content of the note is stored and retrieved with "no manipulation" (as in expl3's N/n function signatures) and only gets to be expanded at the time it is meant to be typeset. The .aux file is leveraged to set page labels for the notes, since that particular information has to be retrieved asynchronously but, other than that, variables are employed to pass information around.

This has some advantages. First, as is well known, sending arbitrary content to a file to be read later is not a noiseless process in LaTeX. Thus, not doing so makes things smoother. Second, the external file approach is strictly linear: the notes which were written to the file get printed as such, in the order they were written. Having the notes available as a set of variables allows for some more flexibility than that, through the possibility of pre-processing the notes before printing. It also brings some extra degrees of freedom in storing note metadata, and in restoring part of the environment where the note is called to where the note's content is printed.

2 Loading the package

postnotes can be loaded with the usual:

    \usepackage{postnotes}

The package does not accept load-time options, package options must be set using \postnotesetup (see Section 4, Package options).

3 User interface

\postnote   \postnote[⟨options⟩]{⟨text⟩}

Sets a postnote with content ⟨text⟩. A note "mark" is typeset at the place \postnote is called, and ⟨text⟩ is stored to be typeset later, on the next call to \printpostnotes.

The mark is usually determined by the printed representation of the main counter, postnote, but can be manually set too. \postnote can receive a number of ⟨options⟩, which are presented in Section 4, Note options.

\postnotesection

\postnotesection[⟨options⟩]{⟨text⟩}

Sets a postnote section with content ⟨text⟩. This is the basic interface for making notes subdivisions, and it places ⟨text⟩ between the notes where it occurs, at the point the notes are printed by \printpostnotes. For more details and some examples, see Section 5. Its ⟨options⟩ are presented in Section 4, Section options.

\printpostnotes

\printpostnotes

Prints the \postnotes set since the last call of \printpostnotes, or since the beginning of the document. For two basic usage illustrations, see Examples 1 and 7.

\postnoteref

\postnoteref⟨*⟩{⟨label⟩}

Typesets a postnote reference to ⟨label⟩. Of course, ⟨label⟩ must have been set to a particular postnote, which can be done by the standard \label command. The starred version of the command inhibits hyperlinking. When the zref-user package is loaded, a corresponding \postnotezref is also provided, and if zref-hyperref is also loaded, it is hyperlinked as its counterpart.

4   Options

Package options

\postnotesetup

\postnotesetup{⟨options⟩}

Package options can be configured by means of \postnotesetup, which receives options and values in key=value fashion.

heading
\pnheading

The heading option sets the heading for the printed notes or, more generally put, that which is printed at the beginning of \printpostnotes. Its default value depends on the document class in use. If \chapter is defined, the default is:

    \chapter*{\pntitle}
    \@mkboth{\pnheaderdefault}{\pnheaderdefault}

but otherwise, it is:

    \section*{\pntitle}
    \@mkboth{\pnheaderdefault}{\pnheaderdefault}

where \pntitle is localizable string, which by default contains "Notes" (see Section 8), and \pnheaderdefault is a function which takes no arguments, but processes a number of variables, to set a contextual running header for the printed notes (see Section 6). \pnheaderdefault produces a header in the form "Notes to pages N–M", according to

4

the notes in each page. If you prefer, you can redefine \pnheading instead of using the heading option, to the same effect.

format   The format option stores formatting instructions for printing the notes. It is called at \printpostnotes, every time a block of notes is about to start. The default value is \small.

listenv   The listenv option sets the list environment inside which the notes are printed in \printpostnotes. This must be the name of an existing list environment, and postnotes provides two suitable ones for convenience: postnoteslist, which is the default, and postnoteslisthang which typesets the notes with a hanging indent. You can also create your own, with enumitem or otherwise, of course. listenv can also receive the special value none, in which case the notes blocks are not wrapped in a list environment, but rather typeset as plain paragraphs. listenv=none already sets postprintnote to \par for that reason but, when using none, you'll probably also want to set maketextmark, pretextmark, or posttextmark to values different than the defaults.

makemark   The makemark and maketextmark options control how the mark is to be type-
maketextmark   set, at the point \postnote is called and at the point the note's text is printed at
\pnthepage   \printpostnotes, respectively. They both can receive three arguments: #1 is the mark itself, and arguments #2 and #3 are, respectively, the start and the end of the hyperlink (hence they must be used in this order, and always in pair). Their default values are:

```
makemark = {#2\hbox{\@textsuperscript{\normalfont#1}}#3} ,
maketextmark = {#2#1.#3} ,
```

At the point maketextmark gets typeset, the \pnthepage variable contains the value of \thepage where its corresponding note was set.

pretextmark   The options pretextmark, posttextmark, and postprintnote allow to insert addi-
posttextmark   tional material in \printpostnotes, respectively, right before the mark, right after the
postprintnote   mark, and after the note's content. All in all, when listenv is not none, each note in the list is laid out in the form:

\item[⟨pretextmark⟩⟨mark⟩⟨posttextmark⟩]⟨note content⟩⟨postprintnote⟩

and when listenv is none, each note is laid out in the form:

⟨pretextmark⟩⟨mark⟩⟨posttextmark⟩⟨note content⟩⟨postprintnote=\par⟩

style   style is just a convenience "meta" option which sets a number of "base" options – such as listenv, format, maketextmark, etc. – in order to emulate known styles of printing the notes. It accepts the values endnotes or pagenote so that \printpostnotes works as its counterparts in each of these packages.

multiple   Just as for footnotes, when two or more \postnotes are called in imediate sequence,
multisep   the marks typeset in superscript give impression of being one large number, instead of the sequence of smaller ones they were supposed to convey. Enabling the multiple option makes postnotes typeset a separator between marks occurring in sequence. The separator can be configured with the multisep option, which has a comma as initial value. multiple is a boolean option, and has false as initial value.

hyperref   The hyperref option controls the use of hyperref by postnotes and takes values auto,
backlink   true or false. The default value, auto, makes postnotes use hyperref if it is loaded. true does the same thing, but warns if hyperref is not loaded (hyperref is never loaded for you). false means not to use hyperref regardless of its availability. The backlink option controls whether only a link from the note's mark to its respective text at

\printpostnotes is created, or if a back link from the text at \printpostnotes back to where the note's mark is placed is also made available. It is a boolean option, defaults to true, and is only operational if hyperref is not false. These are both preamble only options.

sort   The sort option controls whether the notes queue is sorted or not at \printpostnotes. Normally, the order the notes should be printed is the one in which the notes were placed along the document. However, in cases where some manual intervention was required, sorting the notes can be quite useful, and difficult to handle in its absence. Two typical examples are: a note inside a float which disturbed the sequence of the postnote counter (see Example 8 in Section 9 for an illustration) and a manually set mark, in which case postnotes also allows to manually set a sort value with the sortnum option of \postnote. Sorting does not cross boundaries of notes sections, as set by \postnotesection, in other words, if notes sections exist, sorting is only ever carried out within the boundaries of each section. This may be a restriction for cases in which floats cross sections' boundaries, but it's the only reasonable thing to do. sort is a boolean option, and defaults to true.

checkduplicates   Issue warnings in case of duplicate notes resulting from measuring/trial passes
checkfloats   and from mismatches in the sequence of notes resulting from floats, respectively. These checks are only expected to be meaningful in a document for which the compilation has stabilized: with labels and floats already in place. See Section 10 for discussion. Note that the checkfloats option does not test "if my notes are in numeric order" (that's the job of sort), but rather whether the order the marks are typeset along the document and the order the notes are printed in \printposnotes are the same. These options are booleans. checkduplicates is initially enabled, since whether a place performs measuring passes does not really change, and it is easy to deal with a note in this situation with the maybemulti option. checkfloats, on the other hand, is initially disabled. Float placement (and its implications for postnotes) is something one should not worry about until the very last stages of the document. At that time, I expect it to be useful, but during the writing phase, such a warning would only be distracting. Think of if as something to use like you would use the widows-and-orphans package, for example.

maybemulti   A \postnote set while maybemulti is true is checked at \printposnotes and only kept in the printing queue if its corresponding (internal) page label exists. See Section 10 for discussion. The drawback is that, since it requires the label for the check, a new note set with maybemulti will need one more compilation run than otherwise. This is a boolean option, and the initial value is false.

counteraux   (Experimental) Build the printing queue based on the (internal) page labels set in the
\pnsetcounteraux{⟨int⟩}   .aux file, and also handle the counter stepping at the same time. This should provide us
\pnaddtocounteraux{⟨int⟩}   with a fully automated sequence of notes which is both exempt from duplicates resulting from measuring passes and from notes order issues caused by floats. See Section 10 for discussion. Since the counter sequence is determined during the input of the .aux file, the regular counter commands will not work as intended. \pnsetcounteraux and \pnaddtocounteraux take an integer number as argument, and do what their names imply, but at the time they can actually affect the sequence used by counteraux. The option is a boolean, is initially disabled, and is preamble only. Enabling counteraux also disables sort at begindocument/before.[1]

---

[1]The truth is, I have not yet thought it through how these options may interact. So, this is done out of

Note options

The options accepted by \postnote[⟨*options*⟩]{⟨*text*⟩} are the following:

mark
markstr
By default, the mark generated by \postnote is determined by the printed representation of the postnote counter, \thepostnote, which is stepped when \postnote is called. But the mark and markstr options allow you to manually set it, in case you want, or need, to do so. When either mark or markstr is manually set, the postnote counter is not stepped. The difference between them is that mark must receive a number as value, and uses its value to also set the sortnum option, while markstr, differently from the optional argument of \footnote, can receive a string as value which is directly used as the mark, but it does not set sortnum.

sortnum
Normally, the sort value used for sorting the notes queue (see the sort package option above) is determined by the value of the postnote counter (that is, by \the\c@postnote, and not by its printed representation, \thepostnote). But you may specify this sort value manually with the sortnum option, typically, when you have also manually specified the mark. It receives a floating point number as value. So, for example, if one needed to insert a note between notes 2 and 3, without disturbing the numbering of other notes, one could use \postnote[markstr=2*,sortnum=2.5]{⟨*text*⟩}.

nomark
The nomark option makes \postnote inhibit the typesetting of the mark. Of course, normally, we do want the visual cue of the mark, but the intended use case for this option is for a \postnote with nomark to be paired with a \postnoteref, so as to be able to typeset a note in places where doing so directly may be problematic. For a practical example and an illustration on how to use it, see Example 9 in Section 9.

label
The label option sets a standard \label named with the value given to the option. When the zref-user package is loaded, a corresponding zlabel option is also provided. See Section 7 for details about cross-referencing.

maybemulti
Does the same thing as the corresponding package option, but is applied for a particular \postnote.

Section options

The options accepted by \postnotesection[⟨*options*⟩]{⟨*text*⟩} are the following:

name
For the purposes of building running headers, each \postnotesection can be identified by its name. This is mainly intended to support unnumbered headings, but its mechanism is general. The name of a note section is made available through the (lt)mark class postnotes/sectname, for details on how to use it, see Section 6 and, in particular, Example 6.

exp
By default, \postnotesection stores its ⟨text⟩ argument with "no manipulation". The exp option allows one to fully expand (\protected@edef expansion) ⟨text⟩ in place before storing it. It is a boolean option, and the option given with no value is equivalent to exp=true.

---

caution. I don't expect them to clash but, in principle, with counteraux, sort is not needed. You are not blocked from re-enabling it later but, if you do, be mindful of possible interactions.

5 Notes sections

As mentioned above, \postnotesection is the basic interface for subdividing the notes when printed. For those familiar with it, this command is postnotes' equivalent to endnotes' \addtoendnotes. It has the same intended use – to add text or commands along the notes' sequence at the point it is called – and the way it works is quite similar to \addtoendnotes. But there are some differences, prominently a \postnotesection is skipped at \printpostnotes if it contains no notes. In other words, if two (or more) calls of \postnotesection occur in immediate sequence, with no \postnote in between, the latter call takes precedence over the former, instead of being accumulated in the queue. This is intended to facilitate the automation of the subdivision of the notes. So, one can, for example, use a hook to \chapter and not have to worry if a chapter with no notes will generate an empty section inside \printpostnotes, e.g., by the call to \chapter* at the table of contents, and so on. Or, one can use the heading number for the automated case, but be able to override it manually for an occasional unnumbered one. For this reason, a more semantic name was chosen for it, instead of the generic "add to".

\pnthechapter
\pnthesection
\pnthechapternextnote
\pnthesectionnextnote
\pnidnextnote

    Just like with \postnote, the contents of \postnotesection are not expanded in place, but rather stored with "no manipulation" to be typeset later at \printpostnotes. For this reason, some contextual information is stored at the place \postnotesection is called, and made available at the point it's content gets expanded by means of some variables (you can use \postnotesection[exp] instead, in which case these variables are of little use). When the content of a notes section gets typeset, \pnthechapter contains the value of \thechapter where \postnotesection was originally called. Similarly, \pnthesection contains the value of \thesection. \pnthechapternextnote and \pnthesectionnextnote are meant to support the automation of the notes subdivision by using hooks to sectioning commands, which is a quite natural way to do this. However, since it may be problematic to hook *after* sectioning commands – \section, for example, figures prominently in the documentation of ltcmdhooks as a case of "look ahead" command for which the after hook is not supported – we will typically want to hook *before* them. But, at this point the values of the chapter or section counters have not yet been stepped, therefore \thechapter and \thesection do not correspond to what we would like to refer to. For this reason, \pnthechapternextnote contains the value of \thechapter at the point the "next note" is placed (a \postnote, that is), the first in the chapter, and already inside it, thus with an expected value of the chapter counter. Similarly, \pnthesectionnextnote contains the value of \thesection for the "next note". \pnidnextnote, in turn, stores the Id number of the "next note". Of course, the "next note" variables are *proxies*, but they are meant to support the automation of the subdivision of the notes through the use of before hooks to the document's sectioning commands, in which case the subdivision of the notes will correspond to the document's structure and, given empty notes sections are skipped, the values will be the ones we are interested in. But more complex use cases may require something different. Either way, it is up to the user to judge whether the *proxy* is a good one for their use case, the variables just do what they say, and contain the values of interest for the "next note".

    This is meant to be simple. Some examples might make things more concrete. At its most basic, \postnotesection can just be set manually:

<div align="center">Example 1: Basic usage</div>

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
\chapter{First chapter}
\postnotesection{\section*{Notes to chapter \pnthechapter}}
Foo.\postnote{Foo note.}
Bar.\postnote{Bar note.}
\chapter{Second chapter}
\postnotesection{\section*{Notes to chapter \pnthechapter}}
\setcounter{postnote}{0}
Baz.\postnote{Baz note.}
Boo.\postnote{Boo note.}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

The document in Example 1 resets the postnote counter for each chapter, and manually sets notes sections by chapter, which results in \printpostnotes being correspondingly subdivided. But it is easy to make this automatic:

<div align="center">Example 2: Automating notes subdivision with a hook</div>

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\AddToHook{cmd/chapter/before}{%
  \postnotesection{\section*{Notes to chapter \pnthechapternextnote}}}
\counterwithin*{postnote}{chapter}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
\tableofcontents
\chapter{First chapter}
Foo.\postnote{Foo note.}
Bar.\postnote{Bar note.}
\chapter{Second chapter}
Baz.\postnote{Baz note.}
Boo.\postnote{Boo note.}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

Example 2 uses the cmd/chapter/before hook, and thus \pnthechapternextnote to retrieve the correct chapter number for \postnotesection, as explained above. The counter is reset every chapter by means of \counterwithin*. Note that the call to \chapter* inside \tableofcontents does not generate a spurious notes section at \printpostnotes (as long as you don't place a note in a sectioning command with no short argument, which you shouldn't do anyway). But what if we have, among mostly numbered chapters, an ocasional unnumbered one?[2] \pnthechapternextnote wouldn't possibly work in this case. Since immediately successive calls to \postnotesection override the previous ones, it is straightforward to just manually adjust the exception:

---

[2]The example here counts on the lucky circumstance of having only a single initial unnumbered section. But, in general, if that's not the case, \counterwithin* is insufficient and the resetting of the postnote counter at unnumbered sections must be handled somehow else.

Example 3: Fine-tuning automation

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\AddToHook{cmd/chapter/before}{%
  \postnotesection{\section*{Notes to chapter \pnthechapternextnote}}}
\counterwithin*{postnote}{chapter}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
\tableofcontents
\chapter*{Introduction}
\postnotesection{\section*{Notes to the introduction}}
Intro.\postnote{Intro note.}
\chapter{First chapter}
Foo.\postnote{Foo note.}
Bar.\postnote{Bar note.}
\chapter{Second chapter}
Baz.\postnote{Baz note.}
Boo.\postnote{Boo note.}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

If one wants to use section names/titles, the technique above (of using something similar to \pnthechapternextnote) is less useful, since if the first note in the section occurs within a subsection we would lose the information of interest. So we have a little more work to do in this case. Example 4 uses the cmd/chapter/before hook to store the value of \@currentlabelname in a dedicated variable after the next call to \NR@gettitle (presuming the use of nameref, through hyperref). We then store the value of this variable for each note using the postnotes/note/store hook and the note's Id number \l_postnotes_note_id_tl. Finally we can retrieve this stored value using \pnidnextnote inside \postnotesection. Indeed, this example is also meant to illustrate the general technique for storing/restoring variables of interest for this purpose.

Example 4: Section names

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\ExplSyntaxOn
\tl_new:N \g_my_currentname_tl
\prop_new:N \g_my_names_prop
\AddToHook{cmd/chapter/before}{
  \AddToHookNext{cmd/NR@gettitle/after}{
    \tl_gset:Nv \g_my_currentname_tl { @currentlabelname } } }
\AddToHook{postnotes/note/store}{
  \prop_gput:NeV \g_my_names_prop
    { \l_postnotes_note_id_tl } \g_my_currentname_tl }
\AddToHook{cmd/chapter/before}{
  \postnotesection{
    \section*{Notes~to~\prop_item:NV \g_my_names_prop \pnidnextnote}}}
\ExplSyntaxOff
\counterwithin*{postnote}{chapter}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
```

```
\chapter*{Introduction}
Intro.\postnote{Intro note.}
\chapter{First chapter}
Foo.\postnote{Foo note.}
Bar.\postnote{Bar note.}
\chapter{Second chapter}
Baz.\postnote{Baz note.}
Boo.\postnote{Boo note.}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

While postnotes goes through great lengths to avoid tampering with sectioning commands, the fact that in general we cannot use cmd hooks after \chapter or \section does complicate things. And Example 4 is indeed a good illustration of how a supposedly simple task can become quite convoluted if we are not allowed to observe the variables of interest *after* the sectioning commands. However, things are quite different from the perspective of a user, considering the problem at the document level. In this case, the definition of the sectioning commands is known, and unique, so that it may make sense to use of the traditional technique of storing the definition of the sectioning command, and then redefining it to do what it used to, plus something else.[3] In which case, we can set \postnotesections with full generality and flexibility.

Example 5: Redefining sections

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\counterwithin*{postnote}{chapter}
\NewCommandCopy\origlatexchapter\chapter
\RenewDocumentCommand{\chapter}{som}{%
  \IfBooleanTF{#1}{%
    \origlatexchapter*{#3}%
    \setcounter{postnote}{0}%
    \postnotesection{\section*{Notes to ``#3''}}%
  }{%
    \IfNoValueTF{#2}{%
      \origlatexchapter{#3}%
    }{%
      \origlatexchapter[#2]{#3}%
    }%
    \postnotesection{\section*{Notes to chapter \pnthechapter}}%
  }%
}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
\chapter*{Introduction}
Intro.\postnote{Intro note.}
\chapter{First chapter}
Foo.\postnote{Foo note.}
```

---

[3]'egreg' commonly applies the technique for the same purpose in answers using endnotes at TeX.SX. For example: `https://tex.stackexchange.com/a/62425`, `https://tex.stackexchange.com/a/109566`, and `https://tex.stackexchange.com/a/85001`. But things are somewhat simpler with postnotes, since there's no need to handle the case of sections with no notes, given that empty \postnotesections are already skipped.

```
Bar.\postnote{Bar note.}
\chapter{Second chapter}
Baz.\postnote{Baz note.}
Boo.\postnote{Boo note.}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

Things could easily get fancier, of course. But that's the basic structure.

6 Headers

postnotes' support for running headers comprises a basic header, enabled by default, generating headers in the form "Notes to pages N–M", but it is actually focused on generating mark data, using the ltmarks kernel module,[4] so that users can build their own headers, possibly more elaborate ones.

The default headers are generated by the function \pnheaderdefault which, as we saw in Section 4 is used to set the headers in option heading (with \@mkboth). So, the default headers are enabled through that particular setting, depending on the page style in use.

Examining a slightly simplified version of the definition of \pnheaderdefault is possibly the most direct way to explore how to use the mark data generated by the package.[5]

```
\ExplSyntaxOn
\NewDocumentCommand \pnheaderdefault {}
  {
    \tl_if_eq:eeTF
      { \FirstMark{postnotes/page} }
      { \LastMark{postnotes/page}  }
      { \pnhdnotes{}~\pnhdtopage{}~ \FirstMark{postnotes/page} }
      {
        \pnhdnotes{}~\pnhdtopages{}~
        \FirstMark{postnotes/page}--\LastMark{postnotes/page}
      }
  }
\ExplSyntaxOff
```

\pnhdnotes, \pnhdtopage, and \pnhdtopages are localizable strings, which by default respectively contain "Notes", "to page", and "to pages" (see Section 8). Let's replace them to examine the interesting part of the definition:

---

[4]Note that "mark" in the context of ltmarks is a completely different thing than what is usually meant in the context of an end notes package. I won't try to invent terminology here, and hopefully context is sufficient for the difference to be clear. But beware.

[5]The "slight simplification" is, namely, that I'm using here a L3 e-type expansion for comparing the equality between the marks, instead of expanding them with \protected@edef before comparing them. The contents of the marks set by postnotes are typically "safe" in the context of an e-type expansion, but not necessarily so. You know them: \thepage, \thechapter, \thesection, etc. So I won't overburden the User manual with this technical aspect. But if your marks may contain fragile content, you'd need to expand them with \protected@edef before comparing with \tl_if_eq:NNTF or equivalent, as \pnheaderdefault actually does.

```
\ExplSyntaxOn
\NewDocumentCommand \pnheaderdefault {}
  {
    \tl_if_eq:eeTF
      { \FirstMark{postnotes/page} }
      { \LastMark{postnotes/page}  }
      { Notes~to~page~\FirstMark{postnotes/page} }
      { Notes~to~pages~\FirstMark{postnotes/page}--\LastMark{postnotes/page} }
  }
\ExplSyntaxOff
```

What the definition of \pnheaderdefault does is to build a rule in the form: "if the page of the first and last notes are equal, write a singular form and just one value but, if they are different, write a plural form and a range of both values". The data used for this purpose, retrieved there by the \FirstMark/\LastMark calls, is provided by some ltmarks mark classes set by postnotes.

These mark classes class keep track of the information of interest, and can be accessed through ltmarks' user interface: particularly, but not only, \TopMark, \FirstMark, and \LastMark. Now, postnotes inserts the marks when the notes are being printed, but the values being tracked are those from where the respective note marks were originally placed, since that's what interests us for a purpose of building running headers for \printpostnotes. As their names suggest, the postnotes/page, postnotes/chapter, and postnotes/section classes keep track of \thepage, \thechapter, and \thesection, respectively. The postnotes/sectname class, in turn, tracks the name of the notes section, the one given with the name option of \postnotesection (and is empty in case no name was provided).

With that in hand, fancier headers can be built. For example, if we'd like headers in the form "Notes to chapters A–C, pages N–M", we could define:

```
\ExplSyntaxOn
\NewDocumentCommand \mypnheader {}
  {
    \tl_if_eq:eeTF
      { \FirstMark{postnotes/chapter} }
      { \LastMark{postnotes/chapter}  }
      { Notes~to~chapter~\FirstMark{postnotes/chapter},~ }
      {
        Notes~to~chapters~
        \FirstMark{postnotes/chapter}--\LastMark{postnotes/chapter},~
      }
    \tl_if_eq:eeTF
      { \FirstMark{postnotes/page} }
      { \LastMark{postnotes/page}  }
      { page~\FirstMark{postnotes/page} }
      { pages~\FirstMark{postnotes/page}--\LastMark{postnotes/page} }
  }
\ExplSyntaxOff
```

and then set heading to use \mypnheader instead of \pnheaderdefault. This definition should work well as long as all the chapters (containing notes) are numbered, but if unnumbered ones come into play, again we can fine-tune the automation, adjusting for

the exception. That's the purpose of the name option for \postnotesection, and of the postnotes/sectname mark class. Example 6 illustrates their use (of course, the use of lipsum is just for demonstration):

Example 6: Fancy headers

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\postnotesetup{
  heading = {%
    \chapter*{\pntitle}
    \markboth{\mypnheader}{\mypnheader}%
  } ,
}
\counterwithin*{postnote}{chapter}
\AddToHook{cmd/chapter/before}{%
  \postnotesection{\section*{Notes to chapter \pnthechapternextnote}}%
}
\ExplSyntaxOn
\NewDocumentCommand \mypnheader {}
  {
    \bool_case:nF
      {
        {
          \str_if_eq_p:ee { \FirstMark{postnotes/sectname} } { intro } &&
          \str_if_eq_p:ee { \LastMark{postnotes/sectname} } { intro }
        }
        { Notes~to~the~introduction,~ }
        {
          \str_if_eq_p:ee { \FirstMark{postnotes/sectname} } { intro } &&
          ! \str_if_eq_p:ee { \LastMark{postnotes/sectname} } { intro }
        }
        { Notes~to~the~introduction~and~chapter~\LastMark{postnotes/chapter},~ }
      }
      {
        \tl_if_eq:eeTF
          { \FirstMark{postnotes/chapter} }
          { \LastMark{postnotes/chapter}  }
          { Notes~to~chapter~\FirstMark{postnotes/chapter},~ }
          {
            Notes~to~chapters~
            \FirstMark{postnotes/chapter}--\LastMark{postnotes/chapter},~
          }
      }
    \tl_if_eq:eeTF
      { \FirstMark{postnotes/page} }
      { \LastMark{postnotes/page}  }
      { page~\FirstMark{postnotes/page} }
      { pages~\FirstMark{postnotes/page}--\LastMark{postnotes/page} }
  }
\ExplSyntaxOff
\usepackage{hyperref}
```

```
\usepackage{lipsum}
\ExplSyntaxOn
\NewDocumentCommand \demochapter { m }
  { \prg_replicate:nn { #1 } { \lipsum[1-2]\postnote{\lipsum[3]}\par } }
\ExplSyntaxOff
\begin{document}
\tableofcontents
\chapter*{Introduction}
\postnotesection[name=intro]{\section*{Notes to the introduction}}
\demochapter{12}
\chapter{Chapter 1}
\demochapter{15}
\chapter{Chapter 2}
\demochapter{15}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

Note that there's absolutely no "prescriptive" aspect in this particular way of setting the headers used in the examples above, by means of these functions then used as content for \markboth or similar. This is just a way to do things which is mostly independent of the document class in use and of the presence of other related packages. Indeed, if you just set the heading option removing call to \@mkboth, or simply use a page style which disables it, postnotes is completely "hands-off" in this area. The main effort of the package is to generate the data, by setting appropriate ltmarks, so that it is available. How to use this data is the business of the user. The facilities of your favorite document class, or fancyhdr, may well be be better options than this simple minded setup.

7 Cross-references

Cross-referencing with postnotes works in a pretty standard way: set a label, make references to it. However, there are two ways to set a label to a note. One can either set a label with the label option of \postnote, or one can directly set it with the standard \label as part of the note's content. They are both valid, but they are not equivalent, they have different meanings and, accordingly, behave differently.

The label set with the label option is set at the place where \postnote is. The label set with \label in the note's content, is just stored, and only gets expanded when this content gets to be typeset, at \printpostnotes. In short, the label option belongs to the "mark", while the \label set in the content belongs to the "text".

Of course, the data stored in each label will correspond to this difference. Even if the plain \ref to both will get the same value (the mark), a \pageref will be different, the links to either will point to different places, etc.

8 Localization

\pntitle
\pnhdnotes
\pnhdtopage
\pnhdtopages

postnotes uses a few localized strings, stored in the variables \pntitle, \pnhdnotes, \pnhdtopage, and \pnhdtopages. The first one is used in the default value of the heading option and defaults to "Notes". The remaining three are used in \pnheaderdefault (and

thus, indirectly also in the heading option) and their respective defaults are: "Notes", "to page", and "to pages". So, if you changed the default value of heading and is not using \pnheaderdefault, you don't have to worry about them.

These strings will automatically adjust to the document language, set either by babel or by polyglossia, *if* the language is supported by postnotes. Currently supported are English, German, French, and Portuguese. Either way, you can always change these variables to the values of your preference. If you are not using either babel or polyglossia, you can do so directly, for example, with:

> \renewcommand*{\pntitle}{My title}

However, with babel or polyglossia, and specially in a multi language document, you must use the appropriate hooks of your language package for this, otherwise, the next call to \selectlanguage will override your settings. For babel you should use:

> \addto\extras⟨language⟩{\renewcommand*{\pntitle}{My title}}

and for polyglossia:

> \gappto\captions⟨language⟩{\renewcommand*{\pntitle}{My title}}

9   Further examples

This section collects some further usage examples which did not fit into the previous sections, but might still be of interest.

Example 7 illustrates a basic procedure of how to obtain a note section for each chapter of a book, by calling \printpostnotes at the end of each chapter:

<div align="center">Example 7: Notes for each chapter</div>

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\postnotesetup{heading={\section*{\pntitle}}}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
\chapter{First chapter}
Foo.\postnote{Foo note.}
Bar.\postnote{Bar note.}
\printpostnotes
\chapter{Second chapter}
\setcounter{postnote}{0}
Baz.\postnote{Baz note.}
Boo.\postnote{Boo note.}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

Example 8 shows a case of a float which disturbs the order of the notes. It demonstrates a (traditional) technique to deal with the situation, by setting a manual mark and adjusting the counter where appropriate. It also illustrates the role the sorting of notes can have, by producing not only correctly ordered note marks (as a result of the manual adjustments), but also correctly ordered printed notes (as a result of sorting):

Example 8: Sorting and floats

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
\chapter{First chapter}
\postnote{1}
\postnote{2}
\begin{table}[p]
  \caption{Table}
  Table.\postnote[mark=5]{3}
\end{table}
\postnote{4}
\postnote{5}
\stepcounter{postnote}
\clearpage
\postnote{6}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

Example 9 illustrates a couple of techniques to handle long captions. Captions pose a problem to \postnote because, if you set a \postnote inside a standard caption whose text is long enough to require two lines, the content of the caption ends up being typeset twice: once to check if it would have fitted in a single line, the second to typeset the two lines since it didn't fit in one.[6] This triggers the postnote counter to be stepped twice (and any other counter that happens to be there too). One way to handle the situation is to use the pairing between a nomark \postnote and \postnoteref: place a note outside the caption (but close to it, since its position will determine the anchor for the backlink) with the nomark option, set a label inside it and, inside the caption make a \postnoteref to the label. Another method is to call \stepcounter{postnote} before the caption, then place a \postnote setting the mark option with \arabic{postnote}. In practice:

Example 9: Long caption

```
\documentclass{article}
\usepackage[textwidth=8cm]{geometry}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
\begin{figure}
Figure.
\postnote[nomark]{\label{en:1}A note.}%
\caption[Short caption]{A long caption, long enough to require two
lines\postnoteref{en:1}}
\end{figure}
\begin{figure}
Figure.
\stepcounter{postnote}
```

---

[6]This is the case for the \caption command provided by the kernel, but it may be different depending on the document class, packages and options in use.

```
\caption[Short caption]{A long caption, long enough to require two
lines\postnote[mark=\arabic{postnote}]{A note.}}
\end{figure}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

Arguably, the second method is more interesting for normal cases, since it does not offset the note's anchor. The first one would work for multiple notes as well, and it is also more robust to multiple passes of the content. postnotes controls for this in a number of known cases to avoid notes in duplicity, but obviously cannot cover every possibility, in which case nomark with \postnoteref has you covered. Caveat, these techniques assume the floats do not disturb the order of the notes, otherwise we are back to the case discussed in Example 8.

The (experimental) counteraux option, shown in Example 10, is an attempt to fully "automate away" the issues illustrated in Examples 8 and 9, so that there's no need to manipulate either the counter or the mark. See Section 10 for discussion.

Example 10: counteraux option

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage[textwidth=8cm]{geometry}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\postnotesetup{counteraux}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\begin{document}
\chapter{First chapter}
\postnote{one}
\postnote{two}
\begin{figure}[p]
Figure.%
\caption[Short caption]{A long caption, long enough to require two
lines\postnote{three}}
\end{figure}
\postnote{four}
\postnote{five}
\clearpage
\postnote{six}
\printpostnotes
\end{document}
```

Nested notes. To be honest, the design of the package was not made with this use case in mind. However, since postnotes does not use the method of writing the notes' contents to an external file and printing the notes by inputting it, the technical restriction of the traditional method does not apply. Hence, it mostly works. However, nested notes are not included in the ongoing \printpostnotes, but are left for the next call. So, if nesting, call \printpostnotes again to print the nested ones. Which is actually convenient, since different settings may be applied to each set. Also, expect limitations, particularly with regard to the context variables stored by the package: the context of a nested note is the notes section, not the one to which the parent note belongs to. Finally, be watchful of results, because what works does so more by luck than by design. Still, it is not too bad that postnotes can handle not only nesting but even split sections of nested notes as shown in Example 11.

Example 11: Nested notes

```
\documentclass{book}
\usepackage{postnotes}
\usepackage{hyperref}
\counterwithin*{postnote}{chapter}
\begin{document}
\chapter{First chapter}
\postnotesection{\section*{Notes to chapter 1}%
  \setcounter{postnote}{0}%
  \postnotesection{\section*{Editor's notes to chapter 1}}}
Foo.\postnote{Foo note.}\par
Bar.\postnote{Bar note.\postnote{Editor's note bar.}}\par
Baz.\postnote{A note.\postnote{Editor's note baz.}}
\chapter{Second chapter}
\postnotesection{\section*{Notes to chapter 2}%
  \setcounter{postnote}{0}%
  \postnotesection{\section*{Editor's notes to chapter 2}}}
Foo.\postnote{Foo note.}\par
Bar.\postnote{Bar note.\postnote{Editor's note bar.}}\par
Baz.\postnote{A note.\postnote{Editor's note baz.}}
\begingroup
\renewcommand*{\thepostnote}{\roman{postnote}}
\printpostnotes
\renewcommand*{\pntitle}{Editor's notes}
\printpostnotes
\endgroup
\end{document}
```

10   Thorny cases

Depending on where one places a \postnote, some undesirable side-effects may ensue. A note set somewhere which is subject to measuring/trial passes may be stored multiple times, thus appearing in duplicity at \printpostnotes.[7] Also, a note placed within a float may result in the sequence of notes being disturbed, depending on where the float ends up being typeset. These problems are usually not too frequent, but they come up occasionally and, when they do, they can be a pain.

postnotes offers some ways to deal with these issues. Sorting falls into this category. The traditional "counter juggling" around a float which disrupted the sequence of the notes could always be used to fix the sequence the marks got typeset (see Example 8), and the sort option handles the sequence at \printpostnotes.

Besides sorting, what the package does offer is, ultimately, based on the page labels each \postnote sets internally, the \post@notes in the .aux file. These labels are non-immediate writes (whatsits, in the jargon), as they must be, since we are interested in the page number. As a result, measuring passes don't get written, and they are also written in the order the document elements get shipped out. Hence, the labels set in the .aux file are free of duplicates resulting from measuring passes, and are also ordered the

---

[7]That would normally be the case, but postnotes already handles some known instances of this, avoiding the duplicates. It doesn't mean there are not places unknown to the package which do the same.

same as the typeset order of the document, floats considered. Based on the information gathered from these labels, we have a number of options.

For known cases of measuring/trial passes where it's possible to distinguish the measuring from the final pass, postnotes uses that information to inhibit the note and also handles the counter appropriately so that the measuring pass has the correct value to measure. Currently, such support is provided for amsmath display math environments, csquotes' \blockcquotes, tabularx, tabularray, and xltabular. For cases where it is not possible to distinguish the trial from the final pass, postnotes uses the page labels mentioned above, and for \postnotes flagged as potential duplicates, it checks whether the page label exists, and drops the (duplicate) note if it doesn't. Prominent example here is the kernel's \caption. This mechanism is exposed to users through the maybemulti option. Important distinction here between this method and the more controlled inhibition above is that there's little we can do about the counter. The counter itself can be adjusted manually if need be, to restore the sequence. But the value the measuring pass receives is out of our hands, hence it may be off. Of course, the expected difference should normally be small. But if the measuring is presumed to be exact, some mysterious slightly overfull \hboxes may occur.

postnotes also offers some checks, to issue warnings for cases of duplicates or sequence problems: the checkduplicates and checkfloats options. They use the information generated by the page labels to perform some consistency checks, and report problems. I expect them to be useful, since this is the kind of issue that may trick even a competent proofreader.

Finally, postnotes also provides the (experimental) counteraux option, which is an attempt to fully "automate away" these issues. The basic idea is that, since we are already using the data generated by the page labels to handle some of the issues and perform some checks, why not use that information directly? The page labels are already net of duplicates and in the order the document elements are typeset. Indeed, why not use them? That's what counteraux does: the queue which feeds \printpostnotes is built from the calls of \post@note in the .aux file and the counter is also stepped, as appropriate, at the same time. Technically, I should say "a counter", which is then used to locally set the value of the user facing counter, postnote, at the moment \postnote is called, with a cross-reference of sorts.

Some differences. In the standard behavior, a \postnote inside a float belongs where the float environment was set, because that's where the numbering of the note is defined. With counteraux, a \postnote inside a float belongs where the float ends up being typeset, and for the same reason. This means a note inside a float may float past a subsequent call of \printpostnotes, and will belong to the next call. That's actually neat, and I'd call it a feature. But you should be mindful of the warning for "stray notes" issued at enddocument by the package, and make sure to extinguish your floats before a final \printpostnotes. A limitation of the package's design is that the opposite cannot occur, if a note inside a float goes to the top of the page and happens to be typeset before a \printpostnotes which actually precedes it, the information to print the note is not available, so it's still left for the next call, but the numbering will be off.

Some inconveniences. You cannot set the counter directly, as you normally would. Also \counterwithin will not affect the counter being stepped in the .aux file. postnotes offers \pnsetcounteraux and \pnaddtocounteraux for the purpose. But, normally, all you should ever need is a \pnsetcounteraux{0} when splitting sections for your notes.

Also, the heavy reliance on labels will normally require one additional compilation run, and some possible transitory content swings.

Some caveats. I mentioned above that, in the case of multiple passes handled with the `maybemulti` method, it is hard to ensure the value of the counter is correct for the measuring pass(es). `counteraux` makes this issue worse, because even in the cases where we can identify the measuring pass, we can't ensure the correct value, since the sequence of the passes is lost in the `.aux` file. Indeed, the measuring passes do not even exist there, and we cannot, in general, reestablish the connection the original sequence offered. Fortunately, there is a reasonable way around it. If a `\postnote` sets a label (through the `label` option of the note, not inside the content), the connection can be reestablished. "Connection" is perhaps too much of a word for this, it's simpler. With the label, a cross-reference to the mark is available, which can then be fed to the measuring pass. And we know that value to be correct, because the label belongs to the pass which is actually typeset. In sum, if some measuring problems do occur, set a label for the note, even if you do not refer to it, postnotes will use it. Alas, if you are unlucky enough, you may even find yourself stuck in an infinite loop.[8] In this case, setting the label is no relief, and the alternatives I can think of are either using a manual mark with `\pnaddtocounteraux` or a `nomark` `\postnote` with `\postnoteref`.

11   Acknowledgments

Some people have kindly contributed to postnotes. Suggestions, ideas, insightful comments, solutions to problems, bug reports were generously provided by (in chronological order): Ulrike Fischer, David Carlisle, Moritz Wemheuer, Joseph Wright, 'SwitWu', Jonathan P. Spratte, Clea F. Rees, Romano Giannetti, and Frank Mittelbach.

The package's language support have been provided or improved thanks to: 'Pika78' (French) and Herbert Voß (German).

If I have inadvertently left anyone off the list I apologize, and please let me know, so that I can correct the oversight.

Thank you all very much!

12   Change history

A change log with relevant changes for each version, eventual upgrade instructions, and upcoming changes, is maintained in the package's repository, at `https://github.com/gusbrs/postnotes/blob/main/CHANGELOG.md`. The change log is also distributed with the package's documentation through CTAN upon release so, most likely, `texdoc postnotes/changelog` should provide easy local access to it. An archive of historical versions of the package is also kept at `https://github.com/gusbrs/postnotes/releases`.

---

[8] In a situation similar to varioref, but in the choice of which pass is the measuring and which is the final, instead of the reference crossing page boundaries. However, given the typical width of a mark, and the even smaller variations of those widths which may result from floating, I expect the likelihood of meeting such case in practice to be narrow. There is no attempt to warn about this here though, as varioref does, and probably no way to do it either.