Package 'multinomineq'

August 22, 2022

Type Package

Title Bayesian Inference for Multinomial Models with Inequality Constraints

Version 0.2.4

Date 2022-08-21

Maintainer Daniel W. Heck <dheck@uni-marburg.de>

Description Implements Gibbs sampling and Bayes factors for multinomial models with linear inequality constraints on the vector of probability parameters. As special cases, the model class includes models that predict a linear order of binomial probabilities (e.g., p[1] < p[2] < p[3] < .50) and mixture models assuming that the parameter vector p must be inside the convex hull of a finite number of predicted patterns (i.e., vertices). A formal definition of inequality-constrained multinomial models and the implemented computational methods is provided in: Heck, D.W., & Davis-Stober, C.P. (2019). Multinomial models with linear inequality constraints: Overview and improvements of computational methods for Bayesian inference. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 91, 70-87. <doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2019.03.004>. Inequality-constrained multinomial models have applications in the area of judgment and decision making to fit and test random utility models (Regenwetter, M., Dana, J., & Davis-Stober, C.P. (2011). Transitivity of preferences. Psychological Review, 118, 42-56, <doi:10.1037/a0021150>) or to perform outcome-based strategy classification to select the decision strategy that provides the best account for a vector of observed choice frequencies (Heck, D.W., Hilbig, B.E., & Moshagen, M. (2017). From information processing to decisions: Formalizing and comparing probabilistic choice models. Cognitive Psychology, 96, 26–40. <doi:10.1016/j.cogpsych.2017.05.003>).

License GPL-3

URL https://github.com/danheck/multinomineq

Encoding UTF-8

LazyData true

Depends R (>= 4.0.0)

Imports Rcpp (>= 0.12.11), parallel, Rglpk, quadprog, coda, RcppXPtrUtils Suggests knitr, testthat, covr LinkingTo Rcpp, RcppArmadillo, RcppProgress VignetteBuilder knitr RoxygenNote 7.2.1 NeedsCompilation yes Author Daniel W. Heck [aut, cre] (<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6302-9252>) Repository CRAN Date/Publication 2022-08-21 23:40:02 UTC

R topics documented:

multinomineq-package
Ab_drop_fixed
Ab_max
Ab_multinom
Ab_sort
bf_binom
bf_equality
bf_nonlinear
binom_to_multinom
count_binom
count_multinom
count_to_bf
drop_fixed
find_inside
heck2017
heck2017_raw
hilbig2014
inside
inside_binom
karabatsos2004
ml_binom
model_weights
nirt_to_Ab
population_bf
postprob
ppp_binom
regenwetter2012
rpbinom
rpdirichlet
sampling_multinom
sampling_nonlinear
stochdom_Ab
stochdom_bf
strategy_marginal

2

		50
V_to_Ab	 	
swop5	 	56
strategy_unique	 	
strategy_to_Ab	 	54
strategy_postprob	 	53
strategy_multiattribute	 	

Index

multinomineq-package multinomineq: Bayesian Inference for Inequality-Constrained Multinomial Models

Description



Implements Gibbs sampling and Bayes factors for multinomial models with convex, linear-inequality constraints on the probability parameters. This includes models that predict a linear order of binomial probabilities (e.g., p1 < p2 < p3 < .50) and mixture models, which assume that the parameter vector p must be inside the convex hull of a finite number of vertices.

Details

A formal definition of inequality-constrained multinomial models and the implemented computational methods for Bayesian inference is provided in:

• Heck, D. W., & Davis-Stober, C. P. (2019). Multinomial models with linear inequality constraints: Overview and improvements of computational methods for Bayesian inference. Manuscript under revision. https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.07140

Inequality-constrained multinomial models have applications in multiple areas in psychology, judgement and decision making, and beyond:

- Testing choice axioms such as transitivity and random utility theory (Regenwetter et al., 2012, 2014). See regenwetter2012
- Testing deterministic axioms of measurement and choice (Karabatsos, 2005; Myung et al., 2005).
- Multiattribute decisions for probabilistic inferences involving strategies such as Take-thebest (TTB) vs. weighted additive (WADD; Bröder & Schiffer, 2003; Heck et al., 2017) See heck2017 and hilbig2014
- Fitting and testing nonparametric item response theory models (Karabatsos & Sheu, 2004). See karabatsos2004
- Statistical inference for order-constrained contingency tables (Klugkist et al., 2007, 2010). See bf_nonlinear

- Testing stochastic dominance of response time distributions (Heathcote et al., 2010). See stochdom_bf
- Cognitive diagnostic assessment (Hoijtink et al., 2014).

Author(s)

Daniel W. Heck

References

Bröder, A., & Schiffer, S. (2003). Bayesian strategy assessment in multi-attribute decision making. Journal of Behavioral Decision Making, 16(3), 193-213. doi:10.1002/bdm.442

Bröder, A., & Schiffer, S. (2003). Take The Best versus simultaneous feature matching: Probabilistic inferences from memory and effects of reprensentation format. Journal of Experimental Psychology: General, 132, 277-293. doi:10.1037/00963445.132.2.277

Heck, D. W., Hilbig, B. E., & Moshagen, M. (2017). From information processing to decisions: Formalizing and comparing probabilistic choice models. Cognitive Psychology, 96, 26-40. doi:10.1016/j.cogpsych.2017.05.003

Hilbig, B. E., & Moshagen, M. (2014). Generalized outcome-based strategy classification: Comparing deterministic and probabilistic choice models. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 21(6), 1431-1443. doi:10.3758/s1342301406430

Regenwetter, M., & Davis-Stober, C. P. (2012). Behavioral variability of choices versus structural inconsistency of preferences. Psychological Review, 119(2), 408-416. doi:10.1037/a0027372

Regenwetter, M., Davis-Stober, C. P., Lim, S. H., Guo, Y., Popova, A., Zwilling, C., ... Messner, W. (2014). QTest: Quantitative testing of theories of binary choice. Decision, 1(1), 2-34. doi:10.1037/dec0000007

Karabatsos, G. (2005). The exchangeable multinomial model as an approach to testing deterministic axioms of choice and measurement. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 49(1), 51-69. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2004.11.001

Myung, J. I., Karabatsos, G., & Iverson, G. J. (2005). A Bayesian approach to testing decision making axioms. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 49, 205-225. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2005.02.004

Karabatsos, G., & Sheu, C.-F. (2004). Order-constrained Bayes inference for dichotomous models of unidimensional nonparametric IRT. Applied Psychological Measurement, 28(2), 110-125. doi:10.1177/0146621603260678

Hoijtink, H. (2011). Informative Hypotheses: Theory and Practice for Behavioral and Social Scientists. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.

Hoijtink, H., Béland, S., & Vermeulen, J. A. (2014). Cognitive diagnostic assessment via Bayesian evaluation of informative diagnostic hypotheses. Psychological Methods, 19(1), 21–38. doi:10.1037/a0034176

Klugkist, I., & Hoijtink, H. (2007). The Bayes factor for inequality and about equality constrained models. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 51(12), 6367-6379. doi:10.1016/ j.csda.2007.01.024

Klugkist, I., Laudy, O., & Hoijtink, H. (2010). Bayesian evaluation of inequality and equality constrained hypotheses for contingency tables. Psychological Methods, 15(3), 281-299. doi:10.1037/ a0020137

Ab_drop_fixed

Heathcote, A., Brown, S., Wagenmakers, E. J., & Eidels, A. (2010). Distribution-free tests of stochastic dominance for small samples. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 54(5), 454-463. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2010.06.005

See Also

Useful links:

https://github.com/danheck/multinomineq

Ab_drop_fixed Drop fixed columns in the Ab-Representation

Description

Often inequalities refer to all probability parameters of a multinomial distribution. This function allows to transform the inequalities into the appropriate format A * x < b with respect to the free parameters only.

Usage

Ab_drop_fixed(A, b, options)

Arguments

A	a matrix defining the convex polytope via $A*x \le b$. The columns of A do not include the last choice option per item type and thus the number of columns must be equal to sum(options-1) (e.g., the column order of A for $k = c(a1,a2,a2,a)$
	b1,b2) is c(a1,a2, b1)).
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.

Examples

drop the fixed column for: p4 = (1-p1-p2-p3)
Ab_drop_fixed(A4, b4, options = c(4))

Ab_max

Description

Constructs the matrix A and vector b of the Ab-representation A*x < b for common inequality constraints such as "the probability j is larger than all others (Ab_max)" or "the probabilities are ordered (Ab_monotonicity)").

Usage

```
Ab_max(
  which_max,
  options,
  exclude = c(),
  exclude_fixed = FALSE,
  drop_fixed = TRUE
)
```

Arguments

which_max	vector of indices refering to probabilities that are assumed to be larger than the remaining probabilities (e.g., which_max=c(1, 2) means that p1>p3, p1>p4,, p2>p3,). Note that the indices refer to <i>all</i> probabilities/categories (including one fixed probability within each multinomial distribution).
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., $c(3,2)$ for a ternary and binary item.
exclude	vector of indices refering to probabilities that are excluded from the construction of the order constraints (including the fixed probabilities).
exclude_fixed	whether to exclude the fixed probabilities (i.e., the last probability within each multinomial) from the construction of the order constraints. For example, if $options=c(2,2,3)$ then the probabilities/columns 2, 4, and 7 are dropped (which is equivalent to $exclude=c(2,4,7)$). This option is usually appropriate for binomial probabilities (i.e., if $options = c(2,2,2,)$), e.g., when the interest is in the probability of correct responding across different item types.
drop_fixed	whether to drop columns of A containing the fixed probabilities (i.e., the last probability within each multinomial). <i>after</i> construction of the inequalities.

Value

a list with the matrix A and the vectors b and options

Ab_multinom

Examples

```
# Example 1: Multinomial with 5 categories
# Hypothesis: p1 is larger than p2,p3,p4,p5
Ab_max(which_max = 1, options = 5)
# Example 2: Four binomial probabilities
# Hypothesis: p1 is larger than p2,p3,p4
Ab_max(which_max = 1, options = c(2,2,2,2), exclude_fixed = TRUE)
```

Ab_multinom

```
Get Constraints for Product-Multinomial Probabilities
```

Description

Get or add inequality constraints (or vertices) to ensure that multinomial probabilities are positive and sum to one for all choice options within each item type.

Usage

```
Ab_multinom(options, A = NULL, b = NULL, nonneg = FALSE)
```

Arguments

options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.
A	a matrix defining the convex polytope via $A*x \le b$. The columns of A do not include the last choice option per item type and thus the number of columns must be equal to sum(options-1) (e.g., the column order of A for $k = c(a1,a2,a2, b1,b2)$ is $c(a1,a2,b1)$).
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
nonneg	whether to add constraints that probabilities must be nonnegative

Details

If A and b are provided, the constraints are added to these inequality constraints.

See Also

add_fixed

```
# three binary and two ternary choices:
options <- c(2,2,2, 3,3)
Ab_multinom(options)
Ab_multinom(options, nonneg = TRUE)</pre>
```

Ab_sort

Description

Uses samples from the prior/posterior to order the inequalities by the acceptance rate.

Usage

Ab_sort(A, b, k = 0, options, M = 1000, drop_irrelevant = TRUE)

Arguments

A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
k	optional: number of observed frequencies (only for posterior sampling).
options	optional: number of options per item type/category system. Uniform sampling on $[0,1]$ for each parameter is used if omitted.
М	number of samples.
drop_irrelevant	
	whether to drop irrelevant constraints for probabilities such as theta[1] >= 0, theta[1] <= 1, or sum(theta) <= 1.

Details

Those constraints that are rejected most often are placed at the first positions. This can help when computing the encompassing Bayes factor and counting how many samples satisfy the constraints (e.g., count_binom or bf_multinom). Essentially, it becomes more likely that the while-loop for testing whether the inequalities hold can stop earlier, thus making the computation faster.

The function could also be helpful to improve the efficiency of the stepwise sampling implemented in count_binom and count_multinom. First, one can use accept-reject sampling to test the first few, rejected inequalities. Next, one can use a Gibbs sampler to draw samples conditional on the first constraints.

```
### Binomial probabilities
b <- c(0,0,.30,.70, 1)
A <- matrix(c(-1,1,0, # p1 >= p2
            0,1,-1, # p2 <= p3
            1,0,0, # p1 <=.30
            0,1,0, # p2 <= .70
            0,0,1), # p3 <= 1 (redundant)
            ncol = 3, byrow = 2)
```

bf_binom

```
Ab_sort(A, b)
### Multinomial probabilities
# prior sampling:
Ab_sort(A, b, options = 4)
# posterior sampling:
Ab_sort(A, b, k = c(10,3, 2, 14), options = 4)
```

```
bf_binom
```

Bayes Factor for Linear Inequality Constraints

Description

Computes the Bayes factor for product-binomial/-multinomial models with linear order-constraints (specified via: A*x <= b or the convex hull V).

Usage

```
bf_binom(k, n, A, b, V, map, prior = c(1, 1), log = FALSE, ...)
bf_multinom(
    k,
    options,
    A,
    b,
    V,
    prior = rep(1, sum(options)),
    log = FALSE,
    ...
)
```

Arguments

k	vector of observed response frequencies.
n	the number of choices per item type. If $k=n=0$, Bayesian inference is relies on the prior distribution only.
A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
V	a matrix of vertices (one per row) that define the polytope of admissible parameters as the convex hull over these points (if provided, A and b are ignored). Similar as for A, columns of V omit the last value for each multinomial condition (e.g., a1,a2,a3,b1,b2 becomes a1,a2,b1). Note that this method is comparatively slow since it solves linear-programming problems to test whether a point is inside a polytope (Fukuda, 2004) or to run the Gibbs sampler.

map	optional: numeric vector of the same length as k with integers mapping the frequencies k to the free parameters/columns of A/V, thereby allowing for equality constraints (e.g., map=c(1,1,2,2)). Reversed probabilities 1-p are coded by negative integers. Guessing probabilities of .50 are encoded by zeros. The default assumes different parameters for each item type: map=1:ncol(A)
prior	a vector with two positive numbers defining the shape parameters of the beta prior distributions for each binomial rate parameter.
log	whether to return the log-Bayes factor instead of the Bayes factor
	further arguments passed to count_binom or count_multinom (e.g., M, steps).
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.

Details

For more control, use count_binom to specify how many samples should be drawn from the prior and posterior, respectively. This is especially recommended if the same prior distribution (and thus the same prior probability/integral) is used for computing BFs for multiple data sets that differ only in the observed frequencies k and the sample size n. In this case, the prior probability/proportion of the parameter space in line with the inequality constraints can be computed once with high precision (or even analytically), and only the posterior probability/proportion needs to be estimated separately for each unique vector k.

Value

a matrix with two columns (Bayes factor and SE of approximation) and three rows:

- `bf_0u`: constrained vs. unconstrained (saturated) model
- `bf_u0`: unconstrained vs. constrained model
- `bf_00'`: constrained vs. complement of inequality-constrained model (e.g., pi>.2 becomes pi<=.2; this assumes identical equality constraints for both models)

References

Karabatsos, G. (2005). The exchangeable multinomial model as an approach to testing deterministic axioms of choice and measurement. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 49(1), 51-69. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2004.11.001

Regenwetter, M., Davis-Stober, C. P., Lim, S. H., Guo, Y., Popova, A., Zwilling, C., ... Messner, W. (2014). QTest: Quantitative testing of theories of binary choice. Decision, 1(1), 2-34. doi:10.1037/dec0000007

See Also

count_binom and count_multinom for for more control on the number of prior/posterior samples and bf_nonlinear for nonlinear order constraints.

bf_equality

Examples

```
k <- c(0, 3, 2, 5, 3, 7)
n <- rep(10, 6)
# linear order constraints:
#
             p1 <p2 <p3 <p4 <p5 <p6 <.50
A <- matrix(c(1, -1, 0, 0, 0, 0,
             0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0,
             0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0,
             0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0,
             0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1,
             0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1),
           ncol = 6, byrow = TRUE)
b <- c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, .50)
# Bayes factor: unconstrained vs. constrained
bf_binom(k, n, A, b, prior=c(1, 1), M=10000)
bf_binom(k, n, A, b, prior=c(1, 1), M=2000, steps=c(2,4,5))
bf_binom(k, n, A, b, prior=c(1, 1), M=1000, cmin = 200)
```

bf_equality

```
Bayes Factor with Inequality and (Approximate) Equality Constraints
```

Description

To obtain the Bayes factor for the equality constraints C*x = d, a sequence of approximations abs(C*x - d) < delta is used.

Usage

```
bf_equality(
    k,
    options,
    A,
    b,
    C,
    d,
    prior = rep(1, sum(options)),
    M1 = 1e+05,
    M2 = 20000,
    delta = 0.5^(1:8),
    return_Ab = FALSE,
    ...
)
```

Arguments

k	the number of choices for each alternative ordered by item type (e.g. $c(a1, a2, a3, b1, b2)$ for a ternary and a binary item type). The length of k must be equal to the sum of options. The default k=0 is equivalent to sampling from the prior.
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.
A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
С	a matrix specifying the equality constraints $C*x = d$ with columns referring to the free parameters (similar to A)
d	a vector with the same number of elements as the rows of C.
prior	the prior parameters of the Dirichlet-shape parameters. Must have the same length as k.
M1	number of independent samples from the encompassing model to test whether $A*x < b$.
M2	number of Gibbs-sampling iterations for each step of the approximation of $C*x = d$.
delta	a vector of stepsizes that are used for the approximation.
return_Ab	if TRUE, the function returns a list with the additional inequality constraints (specified via A, b, and steps) that are used in the stepwise approximation abs(C*x - d) < delta[i].
	further arguments passed to count_binom or count_multinom (e.g., M, steps).

Details

First, the encompassing Bayes factor for the equality constraint A*x < b is computed using M1 independent Dirichlet samples. Next, the equality constraint C*x=d is approximated by drawing samples from the model A*x < b and testing whether abs(C*x - d) < delta[1]. Similarly, the stepsize delta is reduced step by step until abs(C*x - d) < min(delta). Klugkist et al. (2010) show that this procedure provides a valid approximation of the exact equality constraints if the step size becomes sufficiently small.

References

Klugkist, I., Laudy, O., & Hoijtink, H. (2010). Bayesian evaluation of inequality and equality constrained hypotheses for contingency tables. Psychological Methods, 15(3), 281-299. doi:10.1037/ a0020137

```
# Equality constraints: C * x = d
d <- c(.5, .5, 0)
C <- matrix(c(1, 0, 0, 0,  # p1 = .50)</pre>
```

bf_nonlinear

```
0, 1, 0, 0, # p2 = .50
0, 0, 1, -1), # p3 = p4
ncol = 4, byrow = TRUE)
k <- c(3,7, 6,4, 2,8, 5,5)
options <- c(2, 2, 2, 2)
bf_equality(k, options, C = C, d = d, delta = .5^(1:5),
M1 = 50000, M2 = 5000) # only for CRAN checks
# check against exact equality constraints (see ?bf_binom)
k_binom = k[seq(1,7,2)]
bf_binom(k_binom, n = 10, A = matrix(0), b = 0,
map = c(0, 0, 1, 1))
```

bf_nonlinear Bayes Factor for Nonlinear Inequality Constraints

Description

Computes the encompassing Bayes factor for a user-specified, nonlinear inequality constraint. Restrictions are defined via an indicator function of the free parameters c(p11,p12,p13, p21,p22,...) (i.e., the multinomial probabilities).

Usage

```
bf_nonlinear(
 k,
  options,
  inside,
  prior = rep(1, sum(options)),
  log = FALSE,
  . . .
)
count_nonlinear(
  k = 0,
  options,
  inside,
  prior = rep(1, sum(options)),
 M = 5000,
  progress = TRUE,
  cpu = 1
)
```

Arguments

k	vector of observed response frequencies.
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., $c(3, 2)$ for a ternary and binary item.

inside	an indicator function that takes a vector with probabilities $p=c(p11, p12, p21, p22,)$ (where the last probability for each multinomial is dropped) as input and returns 1 or TRUE if the order constraints are satisfied and 0 or FALSE otherwise (see details).
prior	a vector with two positive numbers defining the shape parameters of the beta prior distributions for each binomial rate parameter.
log	whether to return the log-Bayes factor instead of the Bayes factor
	further arguments passed to count_binom or count_multinom (e.g., M, steps).
М	number of posterior samples drawn from the encompassing model
progress	whether a progress bar should be shown (if cpu=1).
сри	either the number of CPUs used for parallel sampling, or a parallel cluster (e.g., cl <- parallel::makeCluster(3)). All arguments of the function call are passed directly to each core, and thus the total number of samples is M*number_cpu.

Details

Inequality constraints are defined via an indicator function inside which returns inside(x)=1 (or 0) if the vector of free parameters x is inside (or outside) the model space. Since the vector x must include only free (!) parameters, the last probability for each multinomial must not be used in the function inside(x)!

Efficiency can be improved greatly if the indicator function is defined as C++ code via the function cppXPtr in the package RcppXPtrUtils (see below for examples). In this case, please keep in mind that indexing in C++ starts with 0,1,2... (not with 1,2,3,... as in R)!

References

Klugkist, I., & Hoijtink, H. (2007). The Bayes factor for inequality and about equality constrained models. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, 51(12), 6367-6379. doi:10.1016/ j.csda.2007.01.024

Klugkist, I., Laudy, O., & Hoijtink, H. (2010). Bayesian evaluation of inequality and equality constrained hypotheses for contingency tables. Psychological Methods, 15(3), 281-299. doi:10.1037/ a0020137

```
###### 2x2x2 continceny table (Klugkist & Hojtink, 2007)
#
# (defendant's race) x (victim's race) x (death penalty)
# indexing: 0 = white/white/yes ; 1 = black/black/no
# probabilities: (p000,p001, p010,p011, p100,p101, p110,p111)
# Model2:
# p000*p101 < p100*p001 & p010*p111 < p110*p011
# observed frequencies:
k <- c(19,132, 0,9, 11,52, 6,97)
model <- function(x)
x[1]*x[6] < x[5]*x[2] & x[3]*(1-sum(x)) < x[7]*x[4]</pre>
```

binom_to_multinom Converts Binary to Multinomial Frequencies

Description

Converts the number of "hits" in the binary choice format to the observed frequencies across for all response categories (i.e., the multinomial format).

Usage

```
binom_to_multinom(k, n)
```

Arguments

k	vector of observed response frequencies.
n	the number of choices per item type. If k=n=0, Bayesian inference is relies on
	the prior distribution only.

Details

In multinomineq, binary choice frequencies are represented by the number of "hits" for each item type/condition (the vector k) and by the total number of responses per item type/condition (the scalar or vector n).

In the multinomial format, the vector k includes all response categories (not only the number of "hits"). This requires to define a vector options, which indicates how many categories belong to one item type/condition (since the total number of responses per item type is fixed).

Examples

```
k <- c(1, 5, 8, 10)
n <- 10
binom_to_multinom(k, n)</pre>
```

count_binom

Count How Many Samples Satisfy Linear Inequalities (Binomial)

Description

Draws prior/posterior samples for product-binomial data and counts how many samples are inside the convex polytope defined by (1) the inequalities $A*x \le b$ or (2) the convex hull over the vertices V.

Usage

```
count_binom(
 k,
 n,
 Α,
 b,
  ۷,
 map,
 prior = c(1, 1),
 M = 10000,
 steps,
  start,
  cmin = 0,
 maxiter = 500,
 burnin = 5,
 progress = TRUE,
  cpu = 1
)
```

Arguments

k	vector of observed response frequencies.
n	the number of choices per item type. If $k=n=0$, Bayesian inference is relies on the prior distribution only.
A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.

16

V	a matrix of vertices (one per row) that define the polytope of admissible param- eters as the convex hull over these points (if provided, A and b are ignored). Similar as for A, columns of V omit the last value for each multinomial condition (e.g., a1,a2,a3,b1,b2 becomes a1,a2,b1). Note that this method is comparatively slow since it solves linear-programming problems to test whether a point is in- side a polytope (Fukuda, 2004) or to run the Gibbs sampler.
map	optional: numeric vector of the same length as k with integers mapping the fre- quencies k to the free parameters/columns of A/V, thereby allowing for equality constraints (e.g., map=c(1,1,2,2)). Reversed probabilities 1-p are coded by negative integers. Guessing probabilities of .50 are encoded by zeros. The de- fault assumes different parameters for each item type: map=1:ncol(A)
prior	a vector with two positive numbers defining the shape parameters of the beta prior distributions for each binomial rate parameter.
М	number of posterior samples drawn from the encompassing model
steps	an integer vector that indicates the row numbers at which the matrix A is split for a stepwise computation of the Bayes factor (see details). M can be a vector with the number of samples drawn in each step from the (partially) order-constrained models using Gibbs sampling. If cmin>0, samples are drawn for each step until count[i]>=cmin.
start	only relevant if steps is defined or cmin>0: a vector with starting values in the interior of the polytope. If missing, an approximate maximum-likelihood estimate is used.
cmin	if cmin>0: minimum number of counts per step in the automatic stepwise procedure. If steps is not defined, $steps=c(1,2,3,4,)$ by default.
maxiter	if cmin>0: maximum number of sampling runs in the automatic stepwise proce- dure.
burnin	number of burnin samples per step that are discarded. Since the maximum- likelihood estimate is used as a start value (which is updated for each step in the stepwise procedure in count_multinom), the burnin number can be smaller than in other MCMC applications.
progress	whether a progress bar should be shown (if cpu=1).
сри	either the number of CPUs used for parallel sampling, or a parallel cluster (e.g., cl <- parallel::makeCluster(3)). All arguments of the function call are passed directly to each core, and thus the total number of samples is M*number_cpu.

Details

Counts the number of random samples drawn from beta distributions that satisfy the convex, linearinequality constraints. The function is useful to compute the encompassing Bayes factor for testing inequality-constrained models (see bf_binom; Hojtink, 2011).

The stepwise computation of the Bayes factor proceeds as follows: If the steps are defined as steps=c(5,10), the BF is computed in three steps by comparing: (1) Unconstrained model vs. inequalities in A[1:5,]; (2) use posterior based on inequalities in A[1:5,] and check inequalities A[6:10,]; (3) sample from A[1:10,] and check inequalities in A[11:nrow(A),] (i.e., all inequalities).

Value

a matrix with the columns

- count: number of samples in polytope / that satisfy order constraints
- M: the total number of samples in each step
- steps: the "steps" used to sample from the polytope (i.e., the row numbers of A that were considered stepwise)

with the attributes:

- proportion: estimated probability that samples are in polytope
- se: standard error of probability estimate
- const_map: logarithm of the binomial constants that have to be considered due to equality constraints

References

Hoijtink, H. (2011). Informative Hypotheses: Theory and Practice for Behavioral and Social Scientists. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.

Fukuda, K. (2004). Is there an efficient way of determining whether a given point q is in the convex hull of a given finite set S of points in Rd? Retrieved from https://www.cs.mcgill.ca/~fukuda/soft/polyfaq/node22.html

See Also

bf_binom, count_multinom

Examples

```
### a set of linear order constraints:
### x1 < x2 < .... < x6 < .50
0, 1, -1, 0, 0, 0,
             0, 0, 1, -1, 0, 0,
             0, 0, 0, 1, -1, 0,
             0, 0, 0, 0, 1, -1,
             0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1),
           ncol = 6, byrow = TRUE)
b <- c(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, .50)
### check whether a specific vector is inside the polytope:
A %*% c(.05, .1, .12, .16, .19, .23) <= b
### observed frequencies and number of observations:
k <- c(0, 3, 2, 5, 3, 7)
n <- rep(10, 6)
### count prior samples and compare to analytical result
```

prior <- count_binom(0, 0, A, b, M = 5000, steps = 1:4)

count_multinom

```
prior # to get the proportion: attr(prior, "proportion")
(.50)^6 / factorial(6)
### count posterior samples + get Bayes factor
posterior <- count_binom(k, n, A, b, M=2000, steps=1:4)
count_to_bf(posterior, prior)
### automatic stepwise algorithm
prior <- count_binom(0, 0, A, b, M = 500, cmin = 200)
posterior <- count_binom(k, n, A, b, M = 500, cmin = 200)
count_to_bf(posterior, prior)</pre>
```

count_multinom Count How Many Samples Satisfy Linear Inequalities (Multinomial)

Description

Draws prior/posterior samples for product-multinomial data and counts how many samples are inside the convex polytope defined by (1) the inequalities $A*x \le b$ or (2) the convex hull over the vertices V.

Usage

```
count_multinom(
 k = 0,
  options,
  Α,
  b,
  ۷,
  prior = rep(1, sum(options)),
 M = 5000,
  steps,
  start,
  cmin = 0,
 maxiter = 500,
  burnin = 5,
  progress = TRUE,
  cpu = 1
)
```

Arguments

k	the number of choices for each alternative ordered by item type (e.g. c(a1, a2, a3,
	b1, b2) for a ternary and a binary item type). The length of k must be equal to
	the sum of options. The default k=0 is equivalent to sampling from the prior.
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial
	distribution, e.g., c(3, 2) for a ternary and binary item.

A	a matrix defining the convex polytope via $A*x \le b$. The columns of A do not include the last choice option per item type and thus the number of columns must be equal to sum(options-1) (e.g., the column order of A for $k = c(a1, a2, a2, b1, b2)$ is $c(a1, a2, b1)$).
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
V	a matrix of vertices (one per row) that define the polytope of admissible param- eters as the convex hull over these points (if provided, A and b are ignored). Similar as for A, columns of V omit the last value for each multinomial condition (e.g., $a1,a2,a3,b1,b2$ becomes $a1,a2,b1$). Note that this method is comparatively slow since it solves linear-programming problems to test whether a point is in- side a polytope (Fukuda, 2004) or to run the Gibbs sampler.
prior	the prior parameters of the Dirichlet-shape parameters. Must have the same length as k.
М	number of posterior samples drawn from the encompassing model
steps	an integer vector that indicates the row numbers at which the matrix A is split for a stepwise computation of the Bayes factor (see details). M can be a vector with the number of samples drawn in each step from the (partially) order-constrained models using Gibbs sampling. If cmin>0, samples are drawn for each step until count[i]>=cmin.
start	only relevant if steps is defined or cmin>0: a vector with starting values in the interior of the polytope. If missing, an approximate maximum-likelihood estimate is used.
cmin	if cmin>0: minimum number of counts per step in the automatic stepwise procedure. If steps is not defined, $steps=c(1,2,3,4,)$ by default.
maxiter	if cmin>0: maximum number of sampling runs in the automatic stepwise proce- dure.
burnin	number of burnin samples per step that are discarded. Since the maximum- likelihood estimate is used as a start value (which is updated for each step in the stepwise procedure in count_multinom), the burnin number can be smaller than in other MCMC applications.
progress	whether a progress bar should be shown (if cpu=1).
сри	either the number of CPUs used for parallel sampling, or a parallel cluster (e.g., cl <- parallel::makeCluster(3)). All arguments of the function call are passed directly to each core, and thus the total number of samples is M*number_cpu.

Value

a list with the elements

a matrix with the columns

- count: number of samples in polytope / that satisfy order constraints
- M: the total number of samples in each step
- steps: the "steps" used to sample from the polytope (i.e., the row numbers of A that were considered stepwise)

with the attributes:

- proportion: estimated probability that samples are in polytope
- se: standard error of probability estimate

References

Hoijtink, H. (2011). Informative Hypotheses: Theory and Practice for Behavioral and Social Scientists. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.

See Also

bf_multinom, count_binom

Examples

```
### frequencies:
#
          (a1,a2,a3, b1,b2,b3,b4)
k <- c(1,5,9, 5,3,7,8)
options <- c(3,
                      4)
### linear order constraints
# a1<a2<a3 AND b2<b3<.50
# (note: a2<a3 <=> a2 < 1-a1-a2 <=> a1+2*a2 < 1)
# matrix A:
              (a1,a2, b1,b2,b3)
#
A <- matrix(c(1, -1, 0, 0, 0,
             1, 2, 0, 0, 0,
             0, 0, 0, 1, -1,
             0, 0, 0, 0, 1),
            ncol = sum(options-1), byrow = TRUE)
b <- c(0, 1, 0, .50)
# count prior and posterior samples and get BF
prior <- count_multinom(0, options, A, b, M = 2e4)</pre>
posterior <- count_multinom(k, options, A, b, M = 2e4)</pre>
count_to_bf(posterior, prior)
bf_multinom(k, options, A, b, M=10000)
bf_multinom(k, options, A, b, cmin = 5000, M = 1000)
```

count_to_bf

Compute Bayes Factor Using Prior/Posterior Counts

Description

Computes the encompassing Bayes factor (and standard error) defined as the ratio of posterior/prior samples that satisfy the order constraints (e.g., of a polytope).

Usage

```
count_to_bf(
  posterior,
  prior,
  exact_prior,
  log = FALSE,
  beta = c(1/2, 1/2),
  samples = 3000
)
```

Arguments

posterior	a vector (or matrix) with entries (or columns) count = number of posterior samples within polytope and M = total number of samples. See count_binom.
prior	a vecotr or matrix similar as for posterior, but based on samples from the prior distribution.
exact_prior	optional: the exact prior probabability of the order constraints. For instance, exact_prior=1/factorial(4) if pi1 <pi2<pi3<pi4 (and="" if="" ignored.<="" is="" prior="" provided,="" symmetric).="" td="" the=""></pi2<pi3<pi4>
log	whether to return the log-Bayes factor instead of the Bayes factor
beta	prior shape parameters of the beta distributions used for approximating the stan- dard errors of the Bayes-factor estimates. The default is Jeffreys' prior.
samples	number of samples from beta distributions used to compute standard errors.
	The unconstrained (encompassing) model is the saturated baseline model that assumes a separate, independent probability for each observable frequency. The Bayes factor is obtained as the ratio of posterior/prior samples within an order- constrained subset of the parameter space.
	The standard error of the (stepwise) encompassing Bayes factor is estimated by sampling ratios from beta distributions, with parameters defined by the posterior/prior counts (see Hoijtink, 2011; p. 211).

Value

a matrix with two columns (Bayes factor and SE of approximation) and three rows:

- `bf_0u`: constrained vs. unconstrained (saturated) model
- `bf_u0`: unconstrained vs. constrained model
- `bf_00'`: constrained vs. complement of inequality-constrained model (e.g., pi>.2 becomes pi<=.2; this assumes identical equality constraints for both models)

References

Hoijtink, H. (2011). Informative Hypotheses: Theory and Practice for Behavioral and Social Scientists. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman & Hall/CRC.

See Also

count_binom, count_multinom

22

drop_fixed

Examples

drop_fixed

Drop or Add Fixed Dimensions for Multinomial Probabilities/Frequencies

Description

Switches between two representation of polytopes for multinomial probabilities (whether the fixed parameters are included).

Usage

drop_fixed(x, options = 2)

add_fixed(x, options = 2, sum = 1)

Arguments

х	a vector (typically k, n, or prior) or a matrix (typically A or V), in which case the fixed dimensions are dropped/added column-wise.
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.
sum	a vector that determines the fixed sum in each multinomial condition. By default, probabilities are assumed that sum to one. If frequencies n are provided, use sum=n.

Examples

matrices with probabilities:

```
find_inside
```

Find a Point/Parameter Vector Within a Convex Polytope

Description

Finds the center/a random point that is within the convex polytope defined by the linear inequalities $A*x \le b$ or by the convex hull over the vertices in the matrix V.

Usage

find_inside(A, b, V, options = NULL, random = FALSE, probs = TRUE)

Arguments

A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
V	a matrix of vertices (one per row) that define the polytope of admissible param- eters as the convex hull over these points (if provided, A and b are ignored). Similar as for A, columns of V omit the last value for each multinomial condition (e.g., a1,a2,a3,b1,b2 becomes a1,a2,b1). Note that this method is comparatively slow since it solves linear-programming problems to test whether a point is in- side a polytope (Fukuda, 2004) or to run the Gibbs sampler.
options	optional: number of options per item type (only for $Ax \leq b$ representation). Necessary to account for sum-to-one constraints within multinomial distributions (e.g., $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 \ll 1$). By default, parameters are assumed to be independent.
random	if TRUE, random starting values in the interior are generated. If FALSE, the center of the polytope is computed using linear programming.
probs	only for A*x <b (nonnegative="" 1="" add="" and="" are="" constraints="" each="" inequality="" option)<="" probabilities="" representation:="" sum="" td="" that="" the="" to="" variables="" whether="" within="">

Details

If vertices V are provided, a convex combination of the vertices is returned. If random=TRUE, the weights are drawn uniformly from a Dirichlet distribution.

If inequalities are provided via A and b, linear programming (LP) is used to find the Chebyshev center of the polytope (i.e., the center of the largest ball that fits into the polytope; the solution may not be unique). If random=TRUE, LP is used to find a random point (not uniformly sampled!) in the convex polytope.

24

heck2017

Examples

```
# inequality representation (A*x <= b)</pre>
A <- matrix(c(1, -1, 0, 1, 0,
              0, 0, -1, 0, 1,
              0, 0, 0, 1, -1,
              1, 1, 1, 1, 0,
             1, 1, 1, 0, 0,
             -1, 0, 0, 0, 0),
            ncol = 5, byrow = TRUE)
b <- c(0.5, 0, 0, .7, .4, -.2)
find_inside(A, b)
find_inside(A, b, random = TRUE)
# vertex representation
V <- matrix(c(</pre>
  # strict weak orders
  0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, # a < b < c
  1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, \# b < a < c
  0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, # a < c < b
  0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, \# c < a < b
  1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, # c < b < a
  1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, # b < c < a
  0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, # a ~ b < c
  0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, # a ~ c < b
  1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, # c ~ b < a
  0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, # a < b ~ c
  1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, # b < a ~ c
  0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, # c < a ~ b
  0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 # a ~ b ~ c
), byrow = TRUE, ncol = 6)
find_inside(V = V)
find_inside(V = V, random = TRUE)
```

heck2017

Data: Multiattribute Decisions (Heck, Hilbig & Moshagen, 2017)

Description

Choice frequencies with multiattribute decisions across 4 item types (Heck, Hilbig & Moshagen, 2017).

Usage

heck2017

Format

A data frame 4 variables:

- B1 Frequency of choosing Option B for Item Type 1
- B2 Frequency of choosing Option B for Item Type 2
- B3 Frequency of choosing Option B for Item Type 3
- B4 Frequency of choosing Option B for Item Type 4

Details

Each participant made 40 choices for each of 4 item types with four cues (with validities .9, .8, .7, and .6). The pattern of cue values of Option A and and B was as follows:

- Item Type 1: A = (-1, 1, 1, -1) vs. B = (-1, -1, -1, -1)
- Item Type 2: A = (1, -1, -1, 1) vs. B = (-1, 1, -1, 1)
- Item Type 3: A = (-1, 1, 1, 1) vs. B = (-1, 1, 1, -1)
- Item Type 4: A = (1, -1, -1, -1) vs. B = (-1, 1, 1, -1)

Raw data are available as heck2017_raw

References

Heck, D. W., Hilbig, B. E., & Moshagen, M. (2017). From information processing to decisions: Formalizing and comparing probabilistic choice models. Cognitive Psychology, 96, 26-40. doi:10.1016/j.cogpsych.2017.05.003

```
data(heck2017)
head(heck2017)
n <- rep(40, 4)
# cue validities and values
v <- c(.9, .8, .7, .6)
cueA <- matrix(c(-1, 1, 1, -1,
                  1, -1, -1, 1,
                 -1, 1, 1, 1,
                  1, -1, -1, -1
               ncol = 4, byrow = TRUE)
cueB <- matrix(c(-1, -1, -1, -1,
                 -1, 1 , -1, 1 ,
                 -1, 1, 1, -1,
                 -1, 1, 1, -1),
               ncol = 4, byrow = TRUE)
# get predictions
strategies <- c("baseline", "WADDprob", "WADD",</pre>
                "TTBprob", "TTB", "EQW", "GUESS")
strats <- strategy_multiattribute(cueA, cueB, v, strategies)</pre>
```

```
# strategy classification with Bayes factor
strategy_postprob(heck2017[1:4,], n, strats)
```

heck2017_raw

Data: Multiattribute Decisions (Heck, Hilbig & Moshagen, 2017)

Description

Raw data with multiattribute decisions (Heck, Hilbig & Moshagen, 2017).

Usage

heck2017_raw

Format

A data frame with 21 variables: vp ID code of participant trial Trial index pattern Number of cue pattern ttb Prediction of take-the-best (TTB) eqw Prediction of equal weights (EQW) wadd Prediction of weighted additive (WADD) logoddsdiff Log-odds difference (WADDprob) ttbsteps Number of TTB steps (TTBprob) itemtype Item type as in paper reversedorder Whether item is reversed choice Choice rt Response time choice.rev Choice (reversed) a1 Value of Cue 1 for Option A a2 Value of Cue 2 for Option A a3 Value of Cue 3 for Option A a4 Value of Cue 4 for Option A b1 Value of Cue 1 for Option B b2 Value of Cue 2 for Option B b3 Value of Cue 3 for Option B b4 Value of Cue 4 for Option B

Details

Each participant made 40 choices for each of 4 item types with four cues (with validities .9, .8, .7, and .6). Individual choice frequencies are available as heck2017

References

Heck, D. W., Hilbig, B. E., & Moshagen, M. (2017). From information processing to decisions: Formalizing and comparing probabilistic choice models. Cognitive Psychology, 96, 26-40. doi:10.1016/j.cogpsych.2017.05.003

See Also

heck2017 for the aggregated choice frequencies per item type.

```
data(heck2017_raw)
head(heck2017_raw)
# get cue values, validities, and predictions
cueA <- heck2017_raw[,paste0("a",1:4)]</pre>
cueB <- heck2017_raw[,paste0("b",1:4)]</pre>
v <- c(.9, .8, .7, .6)
strat <- strategy_multiattribute(cueA, cueB, v,</pre>
                      c("TTB", "TTBprob", "WADD",
                         "WADDprob", "EQW", "GUESS"))
# get unique item types
types <- strategy_unique(strat)</pre>
types$unique
# get table of choice frequencies for analysis
freq <- with(heck2017_raw,</pre>
              table(vp, types$item_type, choice))
freqB <- freq[,4:1,1] + # reversed items: Option A</pre>
         freq[,5:8,2] # non-rev. items: Option B
head(40 - freqB)
data(heck2017)
head(heck2017) # same frequencies (different order)
# strategy classification
pp <- strategy_postprob(freqB[1:4,], rep(40, 4),</pre>
                         types$strategies)
round(pp, 3)
```

hilbig2014

Description

Choice frequencies of multiattribute decisions across 3 item types (Hilbig & Moshagen, 2014).

Usage

```
hilbig2014
```

Format

A data frame 3 variables:

- B1 Frequency of choosing Option B for Item Type 1
- B2 Frequency of choosing Option B for Item Type 2
- B3 Frequency of choosing Option B for Item Type 3

Details

Each participant made 32 choices for each of 3 item types with four cues (with validities .9, .8, .7, and .6).

The pattern of cue values of Option A and and B was as follows:

- Item Type 1: A = (1, 1, 1, -1) vs. B = (-1, 1, -1, 1)
- Item Type 2: A = (1, -1, -1, -1) vs. B = (-1, 1, 1, -1)
- Item Type 3: A = (1, 1, 1, -1) vs. B = (-1, 1, 1, 1)

References

Hilbig, B. E., & Moshagen, M. (2014). Generalized outcome-based strategy classification: Comparing deterministic and probabilistic choice models. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 21(6), 1431-1443. doi:10.3758/s1342301406430

inside

```
inside
```

Check Whether Points are Inside a Convex Polytope

Description

Determines whether a point x is inside a convex polytope by checking whether (1) all inequalities $A*x \le b$ are satisfied or (2) the point x is in the convex hull of the vertices in V.

Usage

inside(x, A, b, V)

Arguments

x	a vector of length equal to the number of columns of A or V (i.e., a single point in D-dimensional space) or matrix of points/vertices (one per row).
A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
V	a matrix of vertices (one per row) that define the polytope of admissible parameters as the convex hull over these points (if provided, A and b are ignored). Similar as for A, columns of V omit the last value for each multinomial condition (e.g., a1,a2,a3,b1,b2 becomes a1,a2,b1). Note that this method is comparatively slow since it solves linear-programming problems to test whether a point is inside a polytope (Fukuda, 2004) or to run the Gibbs sampler.

See Also

Ab_to_V and V_to_Ab to change between A/b and V representation.

inside_binom

Examples

```
# linear order constraints: x1<x2<x3<.5</pre>
A <- matrix(c(1,-1, 0,
              0, 1,-1,
              0, 0, 1), ncol = 3, byrow = TRUE)
b <- c(0, 0, .50)
# vertices: admissible points (corners of polytope)
V <- matrix(c( 0, 0, 0,</pre>
               0, 0,.5,
               0,.5,.5,
               .5,.5,.5), ncol = 3, byrow = TRUE)
xin <- c(.1, .2, .45) # inside</pre>
inside(xin, A, b)
inside(xin, V = V)
xout <- c(.4, .1, .55) # outside</pre>
inside(xout, A, b)
inside(xout, V = V)
```

inside_binom

Check Whether Choice Frequencies are in Polytope

Description

Computes relative choice frequencies and checks whether these are in the polytope defined via (1) $A*x \le b$ or (2) by the convex hull of a set of vertices V.

Usage

inside_binom(k, n, A, b, V)

inside_multinom(k, options, A, b, V)

Arguments

k	choice frequencies. For inside_binom: per item type (e.g.: a1,b1,c1,) For inside_multinom: for all choice options ordered by item type (e.g., for ternary choices: a1,a2,a3, b1,b2,b3,)
n	only for inside_binom: number of choices per item type.
A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.

V	a matrix of vertices (one per row) that define the polytope of admissible param- eters as the convex hull over these points (if provided, A and b are ignored). Similar as for A, columns of V omit the last value for each multinomial condition (e.g., a1,a2,a3,b1,b2 becomes a1,a2,b1). Note that this method is comparatively slow since it solves linear-programming problems to test whether a point is in- side a polytope (Fukuda, 2004) or to run the Gibbs sampler.
options	only for inside_multinom: number of response options per item type.

See Also

inside

```
########### binomial
# x1<x2<x3<.50:
A <- matrix(c(1,-1,0,
              0,1,-1,
              0,0, 1), ncol=3, byrow=TRUE)
b <- c(0, 0, .50)
k <- c( 0, 1, 5)
n <- c(10,10,10)
inside_binom(k, n, A, b)
########### multinomial
# two ternary choices:
#
      (a1,a2,a3, b1,b2,b3)
k <- c(1,4,10,
                   5,9,1)
options <- c(3, 3)
# a1<b1, a2<b2, no constraints on a3, b3</pre>
A <- matrix(c(1,-1,0, 0,
              0, 0,1,-1), ncol=4, byrow=TRUE)
b <- c(0, 0)
inside_multinom(k, options, A, b)
# V-representation:
V <- matrix(c(0, 0, 0, 0,
              0, 0, 0, 1,
              0, 1, 0, 0,
              0, 0, 1, 1,
              0, 1, 0, 1,
              1, 1, 0, 0,
              0, 1, 1, 1,
              1, 1, 0, 1,
              1, 1, 1, 1), 9, 4, byrow = TRUE)
inside_multinom(k, options, V = V)
```

karabatsos2004

Description

The test was part of the 1992 Trial State Assessment in Reading at Grade 4, conducted by the National Assessments of Educational Progress (NAEP).

Usage

karabatsos2004

Format

A list with 4 matrices:

- k.M: Number of correct responses for participants with rest scores j=0,...,5 (i.e., the sum score minus the score for item i)
- n.M: Total number of participants for each cell of matrix k.M
- k.IIO: Number of correct responses for participants with sum scores j=0,...,6
- n.IIO: Total number of participants for each cell of matrix k.IIO

References

Karabatsos, G., & Sheu, C.-F. (2004). Order-constrained Bayes inference for dichotomous models of unidimensional nonparametric IRT. Applied Psychological Measurement, 28(2), 110-125. doi:10.1177/0146621603260678

See Also

The polytope for the nonparametric item response theory can be obtained using (see nirt_to_Ab).

```
data(karabatsos2004)
head(karabatsos2004)
```

```
# posterior means (Table 4, p. 120)
post.mean <- matrix(apply(p, 2, mean), IJ[1],</pre>
                    dimnames = dimnames(karabatsos2004$k.M))
round(post.mean, 2)
# posterior predictive checks (Table 4, p. 121)
ppp <- ppp_binom(p, c(karabatsos2004$k.M), c(karabatsos2004$n.M),</pre>
                 by = 1:prod(IJ))
ppp <- matrix(ppp[,3], IJ[1], dimnames = dimnames(karabatsos2004$k.M))</pre>
round(ppp, 2)
****
##### Testing invariant item ordering (IIO)
                                                 #####
##### (Karabatsos & Sheu, 2004, Table 6, p. 122) #####
IJ <- dim(karabatsos2004$k.IIO)</pre>
iio <- nirt_to_Ab(IJ[1], IJ[2], axioms = "W2")</pre>
p <- sampling_binom(k = c(karabatsos2004$k.II0),</pre>
                    n = c(karabatsos2004$n.IIO),
                    A = iio$A, b = iio$b,
                    prior = c(.5, .5), M = 300)
# posterior predictive checks (Table 6, p. 122)
ppp <- ppp_binom(prob = p, k = c(karabatsos2004$k.IIO),</pre>
                 n = c(karabatsos2004$n.IIO), by = 1:prod(IJ))
matrix(ppp[,3], 7, dimnames = dimnames(karabatsos2004$k.IIO))
# for each item:
ppp <- ppp_binom(p, c(karabatsos2004$k.II0), c(karabatsos2004$n.II0),</pre>
                 by = rep(1:IJ[2], each = IJ[1])
round(ppp[,3], 2)
```

```
ml_binom
```

Maximum-likelihood Estimate

Description

Get ML estimate for product-binomial/multinomial model with linear inequality constraints.

Usage

```
ml_binom(k, n, A, b, map, strategy, n.fit = 3, start, progress = FALSE, ...)
```

ml_multinom(k, options, A, b, V, n.fit = 3, start, progress = FALSE, ...)

Arguments

k	vector of observed response frequencies.
n	the number of choices per item type. If k=n=0, Bayesian inference is relies on
	the prior distribution only.

A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
map	optional: numeric vector of the same length as k with integers mapping the frequencies k to the free parameters/columns of A/V, thereby allowing for equality constraints (e.g., map=c(1,1,2,2)). Reversed probabilities 1-p are coded by negative integers. Guessing probabilities of .50 are encoded by zeros. The default assumes different parameters for each item type: map=1:ncol(A)
strategy	a list that defines the predictions of a strategy, seestrategy_multiattribute.
n.fit	number of calls to constrOptim.
start	only relevant if steps is defined or cmin>0: a vector with starting values in the interior of the polytope. If missing, an approximate maximum-likelihood estimate is used.
progress	whether a progress bar should be shown (if cpu=1).
	<pre>further arguments passed to the function constrOptim. To ensure high accuracy, the number of maximum iterations should be sufficiently large (e.g., by setting control = list(maxit = 1e6, reltol=.Machine\$double.eps^.6), outer.iterations = 1000.</pre>
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.
V	a matrix of vertices (one per row) that define the polytope of admissible param- eters as the convex hull over these points (if provided, A and b are ignored). Similar as for A, columns of V omit the last value for each multinomial condition (e.g., a1,a2,a3,b1,b2 becomes a1,a2,b1). Note that this method is comparatively slow since it solves linear-programming problems to test whether a point is in- side a polytope (Fukuda, 2004) or to run the Gibbs sampler.

Details

First, it is checked whether the unconstrained maximum-likelihood estimator (e.g., for the binomial: k/n) is inside the constrained parameter space. Only if this is not the case, nonlinear optimization with convex linear-inequality constrained is used to estimate (A) the probability parameters θ for the Ab-representation or (B) the mixture weights α for the V-representation.

Value

the list returned by the optimizer constrOptim, including the input arguments (e.g., k, options, A, V, etc.).

- If the Ab-representation was used, par provides the ML estimate for the probability vector θ .
- If the V-representation was used, par provides the estimates for the (usually not identifiable) mixture weights α that define the convex hull of the vertices in V, while p provides the ML estimates for the probability parameters. Because the weights must sum to one, the α -parameter for the last row of the matrix V is dropped. If the unconstrained ML estimate is inside the convex hull, the mixture weights α are not estimated and replaced by missings (NA).

Examples

```
# predicted linear order: p1 < p2 < p3 < .50
# (cf. WADDprob in ?strategy_multiattribute)
A <- matrix(c(1, -1, 0,
             0, 1, -1,
             0, 0, 1),
            ncol = 3, byrow = TRUE)
b <- c(0, 0, .50)
ml_binom(k = c(4,1,23), n = 40, A, b)[1:2]
ml_multinom(k = c(4,36, 1,39, 23,17),
            options = c(2,2,2), A, b)[1:2]
# probabilistic strategy: A,A,A,B [e1<e2<e3<e4<.50]</pre>
strat <- list(pattern = c(-1, -2, -3, 4),
             c = .5, ordered = TRUE, prior = c(1,1))
ml_binom(c(7,3,1, 19), 20, strategy = strat)[1:2]
# vertex representation (one prediction per row)
V <- matrix(c(</pre>
  # strict weak orders
  0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \# a < b < c
  1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, \# b < a < c
  0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, # a < c < b
  0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, # c < a < b
  1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, # c < b < a
  1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, # b < c < a
  0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, # a ~ b < c
  0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, # a ~ c < b
  1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, # c ~ b < a
  0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, # a < b ~ c
  1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, # b < a ~ c
  0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, # c < a ~ b
  0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0 # a ~ b ~ c
  ), byrow = TRUE, ncol = 6)
ml_multinom(k = c(4,1,5, 1,9,0, 7,2,1), n.fit = 1,
            options = c(3,3,3), V = V)
```

model_weights Get Posterior/NML Model Weights

Description

Computes the posterior model probabilities based on the log-marginal likelihoods/negative NML values.

36

nirt_to_Ab

Usage

model_weights(x, prior)

Arguments

x	vector or matrix of log-marginal probabilities or negative NML values (if matrix: one model per column)
prior	vector of prior model probabilities (default: uniform over models). The vector is normalized internally to sum to one.

Examples

nirt_to_Ab Nonparametric Item Response The
--

Description

Provides the inequality constraints on choice probabilities implied by nonparametric item response theory (NIRT; Karabatsos, 2001).

Usage

```
nirt_to_Ab(N, M, options = 2, axioms = c("W1", "W2"))
```

Arguments

Ν	number of persons / rows in item-response table
Μ	number of items / columns in item-response table
options	number of item categories/response options. If options=2, a dichotomous NIRT for product-binomial data is returned.
axioms	which axioms should be included in the polytope representation $A * x \le b$? See details.

Details

In contrast to parametric IRT models (e.g., the 1-parameter-logistic Rasch model), NIRT does not assume specific parametric shapes of the item-response and person-response functions. Instead, the necessary axioms for a unidimensional representation of the latent trait are tested directly.

The axioms are as follows:

- "W1": Weak row/subject independence: Persons can be ordered on an ordinal scale independent of items.
- "W2": Weak column/item independence: Items can be ordered on an ordinal scale independent of persons
- "DC": Double cancellation: A necessary condition for a joint ordering of (person,item) pairs and an additive representation (i.e., an interval scale).

Note that axioms W1 and W2 jointly define the ISOP model by Scheiblechner (1995; isotonic ordinal probabilistic model) and the double homogeneity model by Mokken (1971). If DC is added, we obtain the ADISOP model by Scheiblechner (1999;).

References

Karabatsos, G. (2001). The Rasch model, additive conjoint measurement, and new models of probabilistic measurement theory. Journal of Applied Measurement, 2(4), 389–423.

Karabatsos, G., & Sheu, C.-F. (2004). Order-constrained Bayes inference for dichotomous models of unidimensional nonparametric IRT. Applied Psychological Measurement, 28(2), 110-125. doi:10.1177/0146621603260678

Mokken, R. J. (1971). A theory and procedure of scale analysis: With applications in political research (Vol. 1). Berlin: Walter de Gruyter.

Scheiblechner, H. (1995). Isotonic ordinal probabilistic models (ISOP). Psychometrika, 60(2), 281–304. doi:10.1007/BF02301417

Scheiblechner, H. (1999). Additive conjoint isotonic probabilistic models (ADISOP). Psychometrika, 64(3), 295–316. doi:10.1007/BF02294297

Examples

```
# 5 persons, 3 items
nirt_to_Ab(5, 3)
```

population_bf Aggregation of Individual Bayes Factors

Description

Aggregation of multiple individual (N=1) Bayes factors to obtain the evidence for a hypothesis in a population of persons.

postprob

Usage

population_bf(bfs)

Arguments

```
bfs a vector with individual Bayes factors, a matrix with one type of Bayes-factor
comparison per column, or a list of matrices with a named column "bf" (as
returned by bf_multinom/count_to_bf).
```

Value

a vector or matrix with named elements/columns:

- population_bf: the product of individual BFs
- geometric_bf: the geometric mean of the individual BFs
- evidence_rate: the proportion of BFs>1 (BFs<1) if geometric_bf>1 (<1). Values close to 1.00 indicate homogeneity.
- stability_rate: the proportion bfs>geometric_bf (<) if geometric_bf>1 (<). Values close to 0.50 indicate stability.

References

Klaassen, F., Zedelius, C. M., Veling, H., Aarts, H., & Hoijtink, H. (in press). All for one or some for all? Evaluating informative hypotheses using multiple N = 1 studies. Behavior Research Methods. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0992-5

Examples

postprob

Transform Bayes Factors to Posterior Model Probabilities

Description

Computes posterior model probabilities based on Bayes factors.

Usage

postprob(..., prior, include_unconstr = TRUE)

Arguments

	one or more Bayes-factor objects for different models as returned by the func- tions bf_binom, bf_multinom and count_to_bf (i.e., a 3x4 matrix containing a row "bf0u" and a column "bf"). Note that the Bayes factors must have been computed for the same data and using the same prior (this is not checked inter- nally).
prior	a vector of prior model probabilities (default: uniform). The order must be iden- tical to that of the Bayes factors supplied via If include_unconstr=TRUE, the unconstrained model is automatically added to the list of models (at the last position).
include_unconst	r
	whether to include the unconstrained encompassing model without inequality

whether to include the unconstrained, encompassing model without inequality constraints (i.e., the saturated model).

Examples

```
# data: binomial frequencies in 4 conditions
n <- 100
k <- c(59, 54, 74)
# Hypothesis 1: p1 < p2 < p3
A1 <- matrix(c(1, -1, 0,
               0, 1, -1), 2, 3, TRUE)
b1 <- c(0, 0)
# Hypothesis 2: p1 < p2 and p1 < p3</pre>
A2 <- matrix(c(1, -1, 0,
               1, 0, -1), 2, 3, TRUE)
b2 <- c(0, 0)
# get posterior probability for hypothesis
bf1 \le bf_binom(k, n, A = A1, b = b1)
bf2 \leq bf_binom(k, n, A = A2, b = b2)
postprob(bf1, bf2,
         prior = c(bf1=1/3, bf2=1/3, unconstr=1/3))
```

ppp_binom

Posterior Predictive p-Values

Description

Uses posterior samples to get posterior-predicted frequencies and compare the Pearson's X^2 statistic for (1) the observed frequencies vs. (2) the posterior-predicted frequencies.

ppp_binom

Usage

ppp_binom(prob, k, n, by)

ppp_multinom(prob, k, options, drop_fixed = TRUE)

Arguments

prob	vector with probabilities or a matrix with one probability vector per row. For rpbinom: probabilities of a success for each option. For rpmultinom: probabilities of all categories excluding the last category for each option (cf. drop_fixed). See also sampling_binom and sampling_multinom.
k	vector of observed response frequencies.
n	integer vector, specifying the number of trials for each binomial/multinomial distribution Note that this is the size argument in rmultinom, cf. Multinom.
by	optional: a vector of the same length as k indicating factor levels by which the posterior-predictive checks should be split (e.g., by item sets).
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.
drop_fixed	whether the output matrix includes the last probability for each category (which is not a free parameter since probabilities must sum to one).

References

Myung, J. I., Karabatsos, G., & Iverson, G. J. (2005). A Bayesian approach to testing decision making axioms. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 49, 205-225. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2005.02.004

See Also

sampling_binom/sampling_multinom to get posterior samples and rpbinom/rpmultinom to get
posterior-predictive samples.

```
# uniform samples: p<.10
prob <- matrix(runif(300*3, 0, .1), 300)
n <- rep(10, 3)
ppp_binom(prob, c(1,2,0), n) # ok
ppp_binom(prob, c(5,4,3), n) # misfit
# multinomial (ternary choice)</pre>
```

```
prob <- matrix(runif(300*2, 0, .05), 300)
ppp_multinom(prob, c(1,0,9), 3) # ok</pre>
```

regenwetter2012

Description

Raw data with choice frequencies for all 20 paired comparison of 5 gambles a, b, c, d, and e. Participants could either choose "Option 1", "Option 2", or "indifferent" (ternary choice). Each paired comparison (e.g., a vs. b) was repeated 45 times per participant. The data include 3 different gamble sets and aimed at testing whether people have transitive preferences (see Regenwetter & Davis-Stober, 2012).

Usage

regenwetter2012

Format

A matrix with 22 columns:

participant: Participant number

gamble_set: Gamble set

a>b: Number of times a preferred over b

b>a: Number of times b preferred over a

a=b: Number of times being indifferent between a and b

References

Regenwetter, M., & Davis-Stober, C. P. (2012). Behavioral variability of choices versus structural inconsistency of preferences. Psychological Review, 119(2), 408-416. doi:10.1037/a0027372

See Also

The substantive model of interest was the strict weak order polytope (see swop5).

Examples

```
data(regenwetter2012)
head(regenwetter2012)
```

```
# check transitive preferences: strict weak order polytope (SWOP)
data(swop5)
tail(swop5$A, 3)
# participant 1, gamble set 1:
p1 <- regenwetter2012[1,-c(1:2)]
inside_multinom(p1, swop5$options, swop5$A, swop5$b)</pre>
```

posterior samples

rpbinom

rpbinom

```
Random Generation for Independent Multinomial Distributions
```

Description

Generates random draws from independent multinomial distributions (= product-multinomial pmultinom).

Usage

rpbinom(prob, n)

rpmultinom(prob, n, options, drop_fixed = TRUE)

Arguments

prob	vector with probabilities or a matrix with one probability vector per row. For rpbinom: probabilities of a success for each option. For rpmultinom: probabilities of all categories excluding the last category for each option (cf. drop_fixed). See also sampling_binom and sampling_multinom.
n	integer vector, specifying the number of trials for each binomial/multinomial distribution Note that this is the size argument in rmultinom, cf. Multinom.
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.
drop_fixed	whether the output matrix includes the last probability for each category (which is not a free parameter since probabilities must sum to one).

Value

a matrix with one vector of frequencies per row. For rpbinom, only the frequencies of 'successes' are returned, whereas for rpmultinom, the complete frequency vectors (which sum to n within each option) are returned.

Examples

```
rpdirichlet
```

Random Samples from the Product-Dirichlet Distribution

Description

Random samples from the prior/posterior (i.e., product-Dirichlet) of the unconstrained productmultinomial model (the encompassing model).

Usage

rpdirichlet(n, alpha, options, drop_fixed = TRUE)

Arguments

n	number of samples
alpha	Dirichlet parameters concatenated across independent conditions (e.g., a1,a2, b1,b2,b3)
options	the number of choice options per item type, e.g., $c(2,3)$ for a binary and ternary condition. The sum of options must be equal to the length of alpha.
drop_fixed	whether the output matrix includes the last probability for each category (which is not a free parameter since probabilities must sum to one).

Examples

```
# standard uniform Dirichlet
rpdirichlet(5, c(1,1,1,1), 4)
rpdirichlet(5, c(1,1,1,1), 4, drop_fixed = FALSE)
# two ternary outcomes: (a1,a2,a3, b1,b2,b3)
rpdirichlet(5, c(9,5,1, 3,6,6), c(3,3))
rpdirichlet(5, c(9,5,1, 3,6,6), c(3,3), drop_fixed = FALSE)
```

44

sampling_multinom Posterior Sampling for Inequality-Constrained Multinomial Models

Description

Uses Gibbs sampling to draw posterior samples for binomial and multinomial models with linear inequality-constraints.

Usage

```
sampling_multinom(
 k,
 options,
 Α,
 b,
 ۷,
 prior = rep(1, sum(options)),
 M = 5000,
 start,
 burnin = 10,
 progress = TRUE,
 cpu = 1
)
sampling_binom(
 k,
 n,
 Α,
 b,
 ۷,
 map = 1:ncol(A),
 prior = c(1, 1),
 M = 5000,
 start,
 burnin = 10,
 progress = TRUE,
 cpu = 1
)
```

Arguments

k	the number of choices for each alternative ordered by item type (e.g. c(a1, a2, a3,
	b1,b2) for a ternary and a binary item type). The length of k must be equal to
	the sum of options. The default $k=0$ is equivalent to sampling from the prior.
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., $c(3,2)$ for a ternary and binary item.

A	a matrix with one row for each linear inequality constraint and one column for each of the free parameters. The parameter space is defined as all probabilities x that fulfill the order constraints $A*x \le b$.
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
V	a matrix of vertices (one per row) that define the polytope of admissible param- eters as the convex hull over these points (if provided, A and b are ignored). Similar as for A, columns of V omit the last value for each multinomial condition (e.g., a1,a2,a3,b1,b2 becomes a1,a2,b1). Note that this method is comparatively slow since it solves linear-programming problems to test whether a point is in- side a polytope (Fukuda, 2004) or to run the Gibbs sampler.
prior	the prior parameters of the Dirichlet-shape parameters. Must have the same length as $k.$
М	number of posterior samples
start	only relevant if steps is defined or cmin>0: a vector with starting values in the interior of the polytope. If missing, an approximate maximum-likelihood estimate is used.
burnin	number of burnin samples that are discarded. Can be chosen to be small if the maxmimum-a-posteriori estimate is used as the (default) starting value.
progress	whether a progress bar should be shown (if cpu=1).
сри	either the number of CPUs using separate MCMC chains in parallel, or a parallel cluster (e.g., cl <- parallel::makeCluster(3)). All arguments of the function call are passed directly to each core, and thus the total number of samples is M*number_cpu.
n	the number of choices per item type. If $k=n=0$, Bayesian inference is relies on the prior distribution only.
map	optional: numeric vector of the same length as k with integers mapping the fre- quencies k to the free parameters/columns of A/V, thereby allowing for equality constraints (e.g., map=c(1,1,2,2)). Reversed probabilities 1-p are coded by negative integers. Guessing probabilities of .50 are encoded by zeros. The de- fault assumes different parameters for each item type: map=1:ncol(A)

Details

Draws posterior samples for binomial/multinomial random utility models that assume a mixture over predefined preference orders/vertices that jointly define a convex polytope via the set of inequalities A * x < b or as the convex hull of a set of vertices V.

Value

an mcmc matrix (or an mcmc.list if cpu>1) with posterior samples of the binomial/multinomial probability parameters. See mcmc).

References

Myung, J. I., Karabatsos, G., & Iverson, G. J. (2005). A Bayesian approach to testing decision making axioms. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 49, 205-225. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2005.02.004

sampling_nonlinear

See Also

count_multinom, ml_multinom

Examples

```
A <- matrix(c(1, 0, 0, # x1 < .50
           1, 1, 1, \# x1+x2+x3 < 1
           0, 2, 2, # 2*x2+2*x3 < 1
           0, -1, 0, \# x2 > .2
           0, 0, 1), # x3 < .1
          ncol = 3, byrow = TRUE)
b <- c(.5, 1, 1, -.2, .1)
samp <- sampling_binom(c(5,12,7), c(20,20,20), A, b)</pre>
head(samp)
plot(samp)
# binary and ternary choice:
#
          (a1,a2 b1,b2,b3)
k
      <- c(15,9, 5,2,17)
options <- c(2,
                 3)
# columns: (a1, b1,b2)
A <- matrix(c(1, 0, 0, # a1 < .20
           0, 2, 1, # 2*b1+b2 < 1
           0, -1, 0, # b1 > .2
           0, 0, 1), # b2 < .4
          ncol = 3, byrow = TRUE)
b <- c(.2, 1, -.2, .4)
samp <- sampling_multinom(k, options, A, b)</pre>
head(samp)
plot(samp)
```

sampling_nonlinear Posterior Sampling for Multinomial Models with Nonlinear Inequalities

Description

A Gibbs sampler that draws posterior samples of probability parameters conditional on a (possibly nonlinear) indicator function defining a restricted parameter space that is convex.

Usage

```
sampling_nonlinear(
   k,
   options,
```

```
inside,
prior = rep(1, sum(options)),
M = 1000,
start,
burnin = 10,
eps = 1e-06,
progress = TRUE,
cpu = 1
```

Arguments

k	vector of observed response frequencies.
options	number of observable categories/probabilities for each item type/multinomial distribution, e.g., c(3,2) for a ternary and binary item.
inside	an indicator function that takes a vector with probabilities p=c(p11,p12, p21,p22,) (where the last probability for each multinomial is dropped) as input and returns 1 or TRUE if the order constraints are satisfied and 0 or FALSE otherwise (see details).
prior	a vector with two positive numbers defining the shape parameters of the beta prior distributions for each binomial rate parameter.
М	number of posterior samples drawn from the encompassing model
start	only relevant if steps is defined or cmin>0: a vector with starting values in the interior of the polytope. If missing, an approximate maximum-likelihood estimate is used.
burnin	number of burnin samples that are discarded. Can be chosen to be small if the maxmimum-a-posteriori estimate is used as the (default) starting value.
eps	precision of the bisection algorithm
progress	whether a progress bar should be shown (if cpu=1).
сри	either the number of CPUs used for parallel sampling, or a parallel cluster (e.g., cl <- parallel::makeCluster(3)). All arguments of the function call are passed directly to each core, and thus the total number of samples is M*number_cpu.

Details

Inequality constraints are defined via an indicator function inside which returns inside(x)=1 (or 0) if the vector of free parameters x is inside (or outside) the model space. Since the vector x must include only free (!) parameters, the last probability for each multinomial must not be used in the function inside(x)!

Efficiency can be improved greatly if the indicator function is defined as C++ code via the function cppXPtr in the package RcppXPtrUtils (see below for examples). In this case, please keep in mind that indexing in C++ starts with 0,1,2... (not with 1,2,3,... as in R)!

For each parameter, the Gibbs sampler draws a sample from the conditional posterior distribution (a scaled, truncated beta). The conditional truncation boundaries are computed with a bisection algorithm. This requires that the restricted parameteter space defined by the indicator function is convex.

48

stochdom_Ab

Examples

```
plot(c(mcmc[,1]), c(mcmc[,2]), xlim=0:1, ylim=0:1)
```

stochdom_Ab

Ab-Representation for Stochastic Dominance of Histogram Bins

Description

Provides the necessary linear equality constraints to test stochastic dominance of continuous distributions, that is, whether the cumulative density functions F satisfy the constraint $F_1(t) < F_2(t)$ for all t.

Usage

```
stochdom_Ab(bins, conditions = 2, order = "<")</pre>
```

Arguments

bins	number of bins of histogram
conditions	number of conditions
order	order constraint on the random variables across conditions. The default order="<" implies that the random variables increase across conditions (implying that the cdfs decrease: $F_1(t) > F_2(t)$).

References

Heathcote, A., Brown, S., Wagenmakers, E. J., & Eidels, A. (2010). Distribution-free tests of stochastic dominance for small samples. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 54(5), 454-463. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2010.06.005

See Also

stochdom_bf to obtain a Bayes factor directly.

Examples

```
stochdom_Ab(4, 2)
stochdom_Ab(4, 3)
```

stochdom_bf

Bayes Factor for Stochastic Dominance of Continuous Distributions

Description

Uses discrete bins (as in a histogram) to compute the Bayes factor in favor of stochastic dominance of continuous distributions.

Usage

```
stochdom_bf(x1, x2, breaks = "Sturges", order = "<", ...)</pre>
```

Arguments

x1	a vector with samples from the first random variable/experimental condition.
x2	a vector with samples from the second random variable/experimental condition.
breaks	number of bins of histogram. See hist.
order	order constraint on the random variables across conditions. The default order="<" implies that the random variables increase across conditions (implying that the cdfs decrease: $F_1(t) > F_2(t)$).
	further arguments passed to bf_multinom. Note that the noninformative default prior 1/number_of_bins is used.

References

Heathcote, A., Brown, S., Wagenmakers, E. J., & Eidels, A. (2010). Distribution-free tests of stochastic dominance for small samples. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 54(5), 454-463. doi:10.1016/j.jmp.2010.06.005

strategy_marginal

Examples

```
x1 <- rnorm(300, 0, 1)
x2 <- rnorm(300, .5, 1) # dominates x1
x3 <- rnorm(300, 0, 1.2) # intersects x1
plot(ecdf(x1))
lines(ecdf(x2), col = "red")
lines(ecdf(x3), col = "blue")
b12 <- stochdom_bf(x1, x2, order = "<", M =5e4)
b13 <- stochdom_bf(x1, x3, order = "<", M =5e4)
b12$bf
b13$bf
```

strategy_marginal Log-Marginal Likelihood for Decision Strategy

Description

Computes the logarithm of the marginal likelihood, defined as the integral over the likelihood function weighted by the prior distribution of the error probabilities.

Usage

strategy_marginal(k, n, strategy)

Arguments

k	observed frequencies of Option B. Either a vector or a matrix/data frame (one
	person per row).
n	vector with the number of choices per item type.
strategy	a list that defines the predictions of a strategy, seestrategy_multiattribute.

```
m2 <- strategy_marginal(k, n, strat2)
m2
# Bayes factor: Model 2 vs. Model 1
exp(m2 - m1)</pre>
```

strategy_multiattribute

Strategy Predictions for Multiattribute Decisions

Description

Returns a list defining the predictions of different choice strategies (e.g., TTB, WADD)

Usage

```
strategy_multiattribute(cueA, cueB, v, strategy, c = 0.5, prior = c(1, 1))
```

Arguments

cueA	cue values of Option A $(-1/+1 = negative/positive; 0 = missing)$. If a matrix is provided, each row defines one item type.
cueB	cue values of Option B (see cueA).
v	cue validities: probabilities that cues lead to correct decision. Must be of the same length as the number of cues.
strategy	strategy label, e.g., "TTB", "WADD", or "WADDprob". Can be a vector. See details.
с	defines the upper boundary for the error probabilities
prior	defines the prior distribution for the error probabilities (i.e., truncated independent beta distributions dbeta(prior[1], prior[2]))

Value

a strategy object (a list) with the entries:

- pattern: a numeric vector encoding the predicted choice pattern by the sign (negative = Option A, positive = Option B, 0 = guessing). Identical error probabilities are encoded by using the same absolute number (e.g., c(-1,1,1) defines one error probability with A,B,B predictions).
- c: upper boundary of error probabilities
- ordered: whether error probabilities are linearly ordered by their absolute value in pattern (largest error: smallest absolute number)
- prior: a numeric vector with two positive values specifying the shape parameters of the beta prior distribution (truncated to the interval [0, c]
- label: strategy label

52

strategy_postprob

Examples

strategy_postprob Strategy Classification: Posterior Model Probabilities

Description

Posterior model probabilities for multiple strategies (with equal prior model probabilities).

Usage

```
strategy_postprob(k, n, strategies, cpu = 1)
```

Arguments

k	observed frequencies of Option B. Either a vector or a matrix/data frame (one person per row).
n	vector with the number of choices per item type.
strategies	list of strategies. See strategy_multiattribute
сри	number of processing units for parallel computation.

See Also

strategy_marginal and model_weights

```
prior = c(1,1))
# data
k <- c(3, 4, 12)  # frequencies Option B
n <- c(20, 20, 20)  # number of choices
strategy_postprob(k, n, list(strat1, strat2, baseline))</pre>
```

strategy_to_Ab Transform Pattern of Predictions to Polytope

Description

Transforms ordered item-type predictions to polytope definition. This allows to use Monte-Carlo methods to compute the Bayes factor if the number of item types is large (bf_binom).

Usage

strategy_to_Ab(strategy)

Arguments

strategy a decision strategy returned by strategy_multiattribute.

Details

Note: Only works for models without guessing predictions and without equality constraints (i.e., requires separate error probabilities per item type)

Value

a list containing the matrix A and the vector b that define a polytope via $A \times x \le b$.

Description

Find unique item types, which are defined as patterns of cue values that lead to identical strategy predictions.

Usage

```
strategy_unique(strategies, add_baseline = TRUE, reversed = FALSE)
```

Arguments

strategies	a list of strategy predictions with the same length of the vector pattern, see strategy_multiattribute.
add_baseline	whether to add a baseline model which assumes one probability in $[0,1]$ for each item type.
reversed	whether reversed patterns are treated separately (default: automatically switch Option A and B if $pattern=c(-1,1,1,1)$)

Value

a list including:

- unique: a matrix with the unique strategy patterns
- item_type: a vector that maps the original predictions to item types (negative: reversed items)
- strategies: a list with strategy predictions with pattern adapted to the unique item types

swop5

Description

Facet-defining inequalities of the strict weak order mixture model for all 10 paired comparisons of 5 choice elements a,b,c,d,e in a 3-alternative forced-choice task (Regenwetter & Davis-Stober, 2012).

Usage

swop5

Format

A list with 3 elements:

A: Matrix with inequality constraints that define a polytope via $A \times x \le b$.

b: vector with upper bounds for the inequalities.

start: A point in the polytope.

options: A vector with the number of options (=3) per item type.

References

Regenwetter, M., & Davis-Stober, C. P. (2012). Behavioral variability of choices versus structural inconsistency of preferences. Psychological Review, 119(2), 408-416. doi:10.1037/a0027372

See Also

The corresponding data set regenwetter2012.

V_to_Ab

Description

For convex polytopes: Requires rPorta (https://github.com/TasCL/rPorta) to transform the vertex representation to/from the inequality representation. Since rPorta cannot be compiled with R versions >=4.0.0 anymore, the function is currently deprecated.

Usage

V_to_Ab(V)

 $Ab_to_V(A, b, options = 2)$

Arguments

V	a matrix with one vertex of a polytope per row (e.g., the admissible preference orders of a random utility model or any other theory). Since the values have to sum up to one within each multinomial condition, the last value of each multinomial is omitted (e.g., the prediction 1-0-0/0-1 for a tri and binomial becomes 1-0/0).
A	a matrix defining the convex polytope via A*x <= b. The columns of A do not include the last choice option per item type and thus the number of columns must be equal to sum(options-1) (e.g., the column order of A for k = c(a1,a2,a2, b1,b2) is c(a1,a2, b1)).
b	a vector of the same length as the number of rows of A.
options	number of choice options per item type. Can be a vector options=c(2,3,4) if item types have 2/3/4 choice options.

Details

Choice models can be represented as polytopes if they assume a latent mixture over a finite number preference patterns (random preference model). For the general approach and theory underlying binary and ternary choice models, see Regenwetter et al. (2012, 2014, 2017).

The function is currently deprecated since the package rPorta cannot be compiled with R>=4.0.0!

For binary choices (options=2), additional constraints are added to A and b to ensure that all dimensions of the polytope satisfy: $0 \le p_i \le 1$. For ternary choices (options=3), constraints are added to ensure that $0 \le p_1 + p_2 \le 1$ for pairwise columns (1+2, 3+4, 5+6, ...). See Ab_multinom.

References

Regenwetter, M., & Davis-Stober, C. P. (2012). Behavioral variability of choices versus structural inconsistency of preferences. Psychological Review, 119(2), 408-416. doi:10.1037/a0027372

Regenwetter, M., Davis-Stober, C. P., Lim, S. H., Guo, Y., Popova, A., Zwilling, C., ... Messner, W. (2014). QTest: Quantitative testing of theories of binary choice. Decision, 1(1), 2-34. doi:10.1037/ dec0000007

Regenwetter, M., & Robinson, M. M. (2017). The construct–behavior gap in behavioral decision research: A challenge beyond replicability. Psychological Review, 124(5), 533-550. https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000067

Index

* datasets heck2017, 25 heck2017_raw, 27 hilbig2014, 29 karabatsos2004,33 regenwetter2012, 42 swop5, 56 Ab_drop_fixed, 5 Ab_max, 6 Ab_multinom, 7, 57 Ab_sort, 8 Ab_to_V, 30 Ab_to_V (V_to_Ab), 57 add_fixed, 7 add_fixed (drop_fixed), 23 bf_binom, 9, 17, 18, 40, 54 bf_equality, 11 bf_multinom, 8, 21, 39, 40, 50 bf_multinom (bf_binom), 9 bf_nonlinear, 3, 10, 13 binom_to_multinom, 15 constrOptim, 35

count_binom, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 21, 22 count_multinom, 8, 10, 12, 14, 18, 19, 22, 47 count_nonlinear (bf_nonlinear), 13 count_to_bf, 21, 39, 40 cppXPtr, 14, 48

drop_fixed, 23

find_inside, 24

heck2017, *3*, 25, 28 heck2017_raw, 26, 27 hilbig2014, *3*, 29 hist, *50*

inside, 30, 32

inside_binom, 31 inside_multinom (inside_binom), 31 karabatsos2004, 3, 33 mcmc, <u>46</u> ml_binom, 34 ml_multinom, 47 ml_multinom (ml_binom), 34 model_weights, 36, 53 Multinom, 41, 43 multinomineq (multinomineq-package), 3 multinomineq-package, 3 nirt_to_Ab, 33, 37 population_bf, 38 postprob, 39 ppp_binom, 40 ppp_multinom (ppp_binom), 40 regenwetter2012, 3, 42, 56 rpbinom, *41*, 43 rpdirichlet, 44 rpmultinom, 41 rpmultinom (rpbinom), 43 sampling_binom, 41, 43 sampling_binom (sampling_multinom), 45 sampling_multinom, 41, 43, 45 sampling_nonlinear, 47 stochdom_Ab, 49 stochdom_bf, 4, 50, 50 strategy_marginal, 51, 53 strategy_multiattribute, 35, 51, 52, 53-55 strategy_postprob, 53 strategy_to_Ab, 54 strategy_unique, 55 swop5, 42, 56

V_to_Ab, 30, 57