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## anneal

## Description

Given a set of variables, a Simulated Annealing algorithm seeks a k-variable subset which is optimal, as a surrogate for the whole set, with respect to a given criterion.

## Usage

anneal( mat, kmin, kmax $=$ kmin, nsol = 1, niter = 1000, exclude $=$ NULL, include $=$ NULL, improvement $=$ TRUE, setseed $=$ FALSE, cooling $=0.05$, temp $=1$, coolfreq $=1$, criterion = "default", pcindices = "first_k", initialsol=NULL, force=FALSE, H=NULL, r=0, tolval=1000*.Machine\$double.eps,tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps)

## Arguments

mat a covariance/correlation, information or sums of squares and products matrix of the variables from which the k -subset is to be selected. See the Details section below.
kmin the cardinality of the smallest subset that is wanted.
kmax the cardinality of the largest subset that is wanted.
nsol the number of initial/final subsets (runs of the algorithm).
niter the number of iterations of the algorithm for each initial subset.
exclude a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly excluded from the subsets.
include a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly included in the subsets.
improvement a logical variable indicating whether or not the best final subset (for each cardinality) is to be passed as input to a local improvement algorithm (see function improve).
setseed logical variable indicating whether to fix an initial seed for the random number generator, which will be re-used in future calls to this function whenever setseed is again set to TRUE.
cooling variable in the ]0,1[ interval indicating the rate of geometric cooling for the Simulated Annealing algorithm.
temp positive variable indicating the initial temperature for the Simulated Annealing algorithm.
coolfreq positive integer indicating the number of iterations of the algorithm between coolings of the temperature. By default, the temperature is cooled at every iteration.
criterion Character variable, which indicates which criterion is to be used in judging the quality of the subsets. Currently, the "RM", "RV", "GCD", "Tau2", "Xi2", "Zeta2", "ccr12" and "Wald" criteria are supported (see the Details section, the References and the links rm. coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2. coef and cor12. coef for further details). The default criterion is "Rm" if parameter $r$ is zero (exploratory and PCA problems), "Wald" if $r$ is equal to one and mat has a "FisherI" attribute set to TRUE (generalized linear models), and "Tau2" otherwise (multivariate linear model framework).
pcindices either a vector of ranks of Principal Components that are to be used for comparison with the k-variable subsets (for the GCD criterion only, see gcd. coef) or the default text first_k. The latter will associate PCs 1 to $k$ with each cardinality $k$ that has been requested by the user.
initialsol vector, matrix or 3-d array of initial solutions for the simulated annealing search. If a single cardinality is required, initialsol may be a vector of length $k$, in which case it is used as the initial solution for all nsol final solutions that are requested; a $1 \times k$ matrix (as produced by the \$bestsets output value of the algorithm functions anneal, genetic, or improve), or a $1 \times k \times 1$ array (as produced by the $\$$ subsets output value), in which case it will be treated as the above k-vector; or an nsol x k matrix, or nsol x k x 13 -d array, in which case each row (dimension 1) will be used as the initial solution for each of the nsol final solutions requested. If more than one cardinality is requested, initialsol can be a length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix (as produced by the \$bestsets option of the algorithm functions), in which case each row will be replicated to produced the initial solution for all nsol final solutions requested in each cardinality, or a nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-d array (as produced by the \$subsets output option), in which case each row (dimension 1) is interpreted as a different initial solution.
If the exclude and/or include options are used, initialsol must also respect those requirements.
force a logical variable indicating whether, for large data sets (currently $p>400$ ) the algorithm should proceed anyways, regardless of possible memory problems which may crash the R session.


#### Abstract

H Effect description matrix. Not used with the RM, RV or GCD criteria, hence the NULL default value. See the Details section below. $r$ Expected rank of the effects (H) matrix. Not used with the RM, RV or GCD criteria. See the Details section below. tolval the tolerance level for the reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number of the correlation/covariance matrix, i.e., for the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue of the input matrix. Matrices with a reciprocal of the condition number smaller than tolval will activate a restricted-search for well conditioned subsets. tolsym the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects $(H)$ matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes $(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{A})) / 2$.


## Details

An initial k -variable subset (for k ranging from kmin to kmax ) of a full set of p variables is randomly selected and passed on to a Simulated Annealing algorithm. The algorithm then selects a random subset in the neighbourhood of the current subset (neighbourhood of a subset $S$ being defined as the family of all $k$-variable subsets which differ from $S$ by a single variable), and decides whether to replace the current subset according to the Simulated Annealing rule, i.e., either (i) always, if the alternative subset's value of the criterion is higher; or (ii) with probability $\exp \frac{a c-c c}{t}$ if the alternative subset's value of the criterion (ac) is lower than that of the current solution (cc), where the parameter $t$ (temperature) decreases throughout the iterations of the algorithm. For each cardinality k, the stopping criterion for the algorithm is the number of iterations (niter) which is controlled by the user. Also controlled by the user are the initial temperature (temp) the rate of geometric cooling of the temperature (cooling) and the frequency with which the temperature is cooled, as measured by coolfreq, the number of iterations after which the temperature is multiplied by 1-cooling.
Optionally, the best k-variable subset produced by Simulated Annealing may be passed as input to a restricted local search algorithm, for possible further improvement.

The user may force variables to be included and/or excluded from the k-subsets, and may specify initial solutions.

For each cardinality $k$, the total number of calls to the procedure which computes the criterion values is nsol $x$ (niter +1 ). These calls are the dominant computational effort in each iteration of the algorithm.
In order to improve computation times, the bulk of computations is carried out by a Fortran routine. Further details about the Simulated Annealing algorithm can be found in Reference 1 and in the comments to the Fortran code (in the src subdirectory for this package). For datasets with a very large number of variables (currently $p>400$ ), it is necessary to set the force argument to TRUE for the function to run, but this may cause a session crash if there is not enough memory available.

The function checks for ill-conditioning of the input matrix (specifically, it checks whether the ratio of the input matrix's smallest and largest eigenvalues is less than tolval). For an ill-conditioned input matrix, the search is restricted to its well-conditioned subsets. The function trim.matrix may be used to obtain a well-conditioned input matrix.

In a general descriptive (Principal Components Analysis) setting, the three criteria Rm, Rv and Gcd can be used to select good k-variable subsets. Arguments H and r are not used in this context. See references [1] and [2] and the Examples for a more detailed discussion.
In the setting of a multivariate linear model, $X=A \Psi+U$, criteria Ccr12, Tau2, Xi2 and Zeta2 can be used to select subsets according to their contribution to an effect characterized by the violation of a reference hypothesis, $C \Psi=0$ (see reference [3] for further details). In this setting, arguments mat and H should be set respectively to the usual Total (Hypothesis + Error) and Hypothesis, Sum of Squares and Cross-Products (SSCP) matrices. Argument r should be set to the expected rank of H. Currently, for reasons of computational efficiency, criterion Ccr12 is available only when $r \leq$ 3. Particular cases in this setting include Linear Discriminant Analyis (LDA), Linear Regression Analysis (LRA), Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) with one set of variables fixed and several extensions of these and other classical multivariate methodologies.
In the setting of a generalized linear model, criterion Wald can be used to select subsets according to the (lack of) significance of the discarded variables, as measured by the respective Wald's statistic (see reference [4] for further details). In this setting arguments mat and H should be set respectively to FI and $\mathrm{FI} \% * \% \mathrm{~b} \% * \% \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{b}) \% * \% \mathrm{FI}$, where b is a column vector of variable coefficient estimates and FI is an estimate of the corresponding Fisher information matrix.

The auxiliary functions lmHmat, ldaHmat glhHmat and glmHmat are provided to automatically create the matrices mat and H in all the cases considered.

## Value

A list with five items:

| subsets | An nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-dimensional array, giving for each car- <br> dinality (dimension 3) and each solution (dimension 1) the list of variables (ref- <br> erenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the subset (dimension <br> 2). (For cardinalities smaller than kmax, the extra final positions are set to zero). |
| :--- | :--- |
| values | An nsol x length(kmin:kmax) matrix, giving for each cardinality (columns), the <br> criterion values of the nsol (rows) subsets obtained. |
| bestvalues | A length(kmin:kmax) vector giving the best values of the criterion obtained for <br> each cardinality. If improvement is TRUE, these values result from the final <br> restricted local search algorithm (and may therefore exceed the largest value for <br> that cardinality in values). |
| bestsets | A length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix, giving, for each cardinality (rows), the <br> variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the best <br> k-subset that was found. |
| call | The function call which generated the output. |

## References

[1] Cadima, J., Cerdeira, J. Orestes and Minhoto, M. (2004) Computational aspects of algorithms for variable selection in the context of principal components. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 47, 225-236.
[2] Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001). Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces, Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics, Vol. 6, 62-79.
[3] Duarte Silva, A.P. (2001) Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, Vol. 76, 35-62.
[4] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, Biometrics, Vol. 34, 318-327.

## See Also

```
rm.coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef, ccr12.coef, genetic, anneal,
eleaps, trim.matrix, lmHmat, ldaHmat, glhHmat, glmHmat.
```


## Examples

```
## ----------------------------------------------------------------------------
##
## (1) For illustration of use, a small data set with very few iterations
## of the algorithm, using the RM criterion.
##
data(swiss)
anneal(cor(swiss), 2,3,nsol=4,niter=10,criterion="RM")
##$subsets
##, , Card.2
##
## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }
##Solution 1 3 6 0
##Solution 2 4 5 0
##Solution 3 1 2 0
##Solution 4 3 6 0
##
##, , Card.3
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }
##Solution 1 4 5 6
##Solution 2 3 5 6
##Solution 3 3 4 4
##Solution 4 4 5 6
##
##
##$values
## card.2 card.3
##Solution 1 0.8016409 0.9043760
##Solution 2 0.7982296 0.8769672
##Solution 3 0.7945390 0.8777509
##Solution 4 0.8016409 0.9043760
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.2 Card. }
##0.8016409 0.9043760
##
```

```
##$bestsets
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }
##Card.2 3 6 0
##Card.3 4 5 6
##
##$call
##anneal(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, niter = 10, criterion = "RM")
## ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
##
## (2) An example excluding variable number 6 from the subsets.
##
data(swiss)
anneal(cor(swiss), 2, 3,nsol=4, niter=10, criterion="RM", exclude=c(6))
##$subsets
##, , Card.2
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }
##Solution 1 4 5 0
##Solution 2 4 5 0
##Solution 3 4 5 0
##Solution 4 4 5 0
##
##, , Card. }
##
## Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. }
##Solution 1 1 2 5
##Solution 2 1 2 5
##Solution 3 1 2 5
##Solution 4 1 4
##
##
##$values
## card.2 card.3
##Solution 1 0.7982296 0.8791856
##Solution 2 0.7982296 0.8791856
##Solution 3 0.7982296 0.8791856
##Solution 4 0.7982296 0.8686515
##
##$bestvalues
## Card.2 Card.3
##0.7982296 0.8791856
##
##$bestsets
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }
##Card.2 4 5 0
##Card.3 1 2 5
##
##$call
##anneal(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, niter = 10, criterion = "RM",
```

```
## exclude=c(6))
## -----------------------------------------------------------------------------
## (3) An example specifying initial solutions: using the subsets produced
## by simulated annealing for one criterion (RM, by default) as initial
## solutions for the simulated annealing search with a different criterion.
data(swiss)
rmresults<-anneal(cor(swiss),2,3,nsol=4,niter=10, setseed=TRUE)
anneal(cor(swiss), 2, 3,nsol=4,niter=10, criterion="gcd",
initialsol=rmresults$subsets)
##$subsets
##, , Card.2
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }
##Solution 1 3 0
##Solution 2 3 0
##Solution 3 3 6 0
##Solution 4 3 6 0
##
##, , Card. }
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }
##Solution 1 4 5 5
##Solution 2 4 5 6
##Solution 3 3 4
##Solution 4 4 5 5
##
##
##$values
## card.2 card.3
##Solution 1 0.8487026 0.925372
##Solution 2 0.8487026 0.925372
##Solution 3 0.8487026 0.798864
##Solution 4 0.8487026 0.925372
##
##$bestvalues
## Card. 2 Card. }
##0.8487026 0.9253720
##
##$bestsets
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }
##Card.2 3 6 0
##Card.3 4 5 6
##
##$call
##anneal(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, niter = 10, criterion = "gcd",
## initialsol = rmresults$subsets)
## ----------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

```
## (4) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple Linear
## Regression. Variable 5 (average car price) in the Cars93 MASS library
## data set is regressed on 13 other variables. A best subset of linear
## predictors is sought, using the "TAU_2" criterion which, in the case
## of a Linear Regression, is merely the standard Coefficient of Determination,
## R^2 (like the other three criteria for the multivariate linear hypothesis,
## "XI_2", "CCR1_2" and "ZETA_2").
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,5])
names(Cars93[,5,drop=FALSE])
## [1] "Price"
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
\#\# [1] "MPG.city" & "MPG.highway" & "EngineSize" \\
\#\# [4] "Horsepower" & "RPM" & "Rev.per.mile" \\
\#\# [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" & "Length" \\
\#\# [10] "Wheelbase" & "Width" & "Turn.circle" \\
\#\# [13] "Weight" & &
\end{tabular}
anneal(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=1, crit="tau2")
## $subsets
## , , Card. }
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }
## Solution 1 4 5 5 10 11 0
##
## , , Card. }
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }
## Solution 1 4 5 5 10
##
## , , Card. }
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
\#\# Solution 1 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12
\end{tabular}
##
##
## $values
## card.4 card.5 card.6
## Solution 1 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.731015
##
## $bestvalues
## Card.4 Card.5 Card.6
## 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.7310150
##
## $bestsets
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }
## Card.4 
```

```
## Card.5 1.4 5
## Card.6 4
##
## $call
## anneal(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 4, kmax = 6, criterion = "xi2",
## H = CarsHmat$H,r = 1)
##
## ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
```

\#\# (5) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.
\#\# We consider the Iris data and three groups, defined by species (setosa,
\#\# versicolor and virginica). The goal is to select the 2- and 3-variable
\#\# subsets that are optimal for the linear discrimination (as measured
\#\# by the "CCR1_2" criterion).
data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris\$Species)
anneal(irisHmat\$mat, kmin=2, kmax=3, $\mathrm{H}=$ irisHmat\$H, r=2, crit="ccr12")
\#\# \$subsets
\#\# , , Card. 2
\#\#
\#\# Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. 3
\#\# Solution $1 \quad 1 \quad 30$
\#\#
\#\# , , Card. 3
\#\#
\#\# Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. 3
\# Solution 1 2 2
\#\#
\#\#
\#\# \$values
\#\# card. 2 card. 3
\#\# Solution 10.95890550 .967897
\#\#
\#\# \$bestvalues
\#\# Card. 2 Card. 3
\#\# 0.95890550 .9678971
\#\#
\#\# \$bestsets
\#\# Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. 3
\#\# Card. 21030
\#\# Card. $3 \quad 2 \quad 3$
\#\#
\#\# \$call
\#\# anneal(irisHmat\$mat,kmin=2,kmax=3,H=irisHmat\$H,r=2,crit="ccr12")
\#\#

\#\# (6) An example of subset selection in the context of a Canonical

```
## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93
## MASS library data set are compared. The goal is to select 4- to
## 6-variable subsets of the 13-variable 'X' group that are optimal in
## terms of preserving the canonical correlations, according to the
## "XI_2" criterion (Warning: the 3-variable 'Y' group is kept
## intact; subset selection is carried out in the 'X'
## group only). The 'tolsym' parameter is used to relax the symmetry
## requirements on the effect matrix H which, for numerical reasons,
## is slightly asymmetric. Since corresponding off-diagonal entries of
## matrix H are different, but by less than tolsym, H is replaced
## by its symmetric part: (H+t(H))/2.
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,4:6])
names(Cars93[,4:6])
## [1] "Min.Price" "Price" "Max.Price"
colnames(CarsHmat$mat)
\begin{tabular}{lll} 
\#\# [1] "MPG.city" & "MPG.highway" & "EngineSize" \\
\#\# [4] "Horsepower" & "RPM" & "Rev.per.mile" \\
\#\# [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" & "Length" \\
\#\# [10] "Wheelbase" & "Width" & "Turn.circle" \\
\#\# [13] "Weight" & &
\end{tabular}
anneal(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=CarsHmat$r,
crit="tau2" , tolsym=1e-9)
## $subsets
## , , Card.4
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }
## Solution 1 4 4 9 10 11 0
##
## , , Card. }
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }
## Solution 1 3 4 4 9 10 11 0
##
## , , Card.6
##
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }
## Solution 1 3 4 4 5 5 % 9
##
##
## $values
## card.4 card.5 card.6
## Solution 1 0.2818772 0.2943742 0.3057831
##
## $bestvalues
## Card. }4\mathrm{ Card. }5\mathrm{ Card. }
```

```
## 0.2818772 0.2943742 0.3057831
##
## $bestsets
## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }
## Card.4 
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrr} 
\#\# Card. 5 & 3 & 4 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 0
\end{tabular}
## Card.6 3
##
## $call
## anneal(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 4, kmax = 6, criterion = "xi2",
## H = CarsHmat$H, r = CarsHmat$r, tolsym = 1e-09)
##
## Warning message:
##
## The effect description matrix (H) supplied was slightly asymmetric:
## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.63797880709171e-12.
## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).
## The H matrix has been replaced by its symmetric part.
## in: validnovcrit(mat, criterion, H, r, p, tolval, tolsym)
## ----------------------------------------------------------------------------
## (7) An example of variable selection in the context of a logistic
## regression model. We consider the last 100 observations of
## the iris data set (versicolor and verginica species) and try
## to find the best variable subsets for the model that takes species
## as response variable.
```

```
data(iris)
```

data(iris)
iris2sp <- iris[iris$Species != "setosa",]
iris2sp <- iris[iris$Species != "setosa",]
logrfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width,
logrfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width,
iris2sp,family=binomial)
iris2sp,family=binomial)
Hmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)
Hmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)
anneal(Hmat$mat, 1, 3,H=Hmat$H,r=1, criterion="Wald")
anneal(Hmat$mat, 1, 3,H=Hmat$H,r=1, criterion="Wald")

## \$subsets

## \$subsets

## , , Card.1

## , , Card.1

## 

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 4 0 0

## Solution 1 4 0 0

## , , Card.2

## , , Card.2

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 1 3 0

## Solution 1 1 3 0

## , , Card.3

## , , Card.3

## Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 2 3 4

## Solution 1 2 3 4

## \$values

```
```


## card.1 card.2 card. }

## Solution 1 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121

## \$bestvalues

## Card. }1\mathrm{ Card. 2 Card. }

## 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Card.1 4 0 0

## Card.2 1 3 0

## Card.3 2 3 4

## \$call

## anneal(mat = Hmat\$mat, kmin = 1, kmax = 3, criterion = "Wald",

## H = Hmat\$H, r = 1)

## ---------------------------------------------------------------------------

## It should be stressed that, unlike other criteria in the

## subselect package, the Wald criterion is not bounded above by

## 1 and is a decreasing function of subset quality, so that the

## 3-variable subsets do, in fact, perform better than their smaller-sized

## counterparts.

```
ccr12. coef First Squared Canonical Correlation for a multivariate linear hypoth-
esis

\section*{Description}

Computes the first squared canonical correlation. The maximization of this criterion is equivalent to the maximization of the Roy first root.

\section*{Usage}
ccr12. coef(mat, H, r, indices, tolval=10*.Machine\$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps)

\section*{Arguments}
mat the Variance or Total sums of squares and products matrix for the full data set.
H the Effect description sums of squares and products matrix (defined in the same way as the mat matrix).
\(r \quad\) the Expected rank of the H matrix. See the Details section below.
indices a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different \(k\)-variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
tolval & \begin{tabular}{l} 
the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness \\
of the 'total' and 'effects' (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are consid- \\
ered equivalent to zero.
\end{tabular} \\
tolsym & the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and \\
for the effects \((H)\) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than \\
this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will \\
be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the \\
input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes \\
\((\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{A})) / 2\).
\end{tabular}

\section*{Details}

Different kinds of statistical methodologies are considered within the framework, of a multivariate linear model:
\[
X=A \Psi+U
\]
where \(X\) is the (nxp) data matrix of original variables, \(A\) is a known (nxp) design matrix, \(\Psi\) an (qxp) matrix of unknown parameters and \(U\) an ( nxp ) matrix of residual vectors. The \(c c r_{1}^{2}\) index is related to the traditional test statistic (the Roy first root) and measures the contribution of each subset to an Effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis of the form \(C \Psi=0\), where \(C\) is a known cofficient matrix of rank r . The Roy first root is the first eigen value of \(H E^{-1}\), where \(H\) is the Effect matrix and \(E\) is the Error matrix. The index \(c c r_{1}^{2}\) is related to the Roy first root \(\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\) by:
\[
c c r_{1}^{2}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{1+\lambda_{1}}
\]

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the \(c c r_{1}^{2}\) values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and anneal (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

\section*{Value}

The value of the \(c c r_{1}^{2}\) coefficient.

\section*{Examples}
```


## 1) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.

## We considered the Iris data and three groups,

## defined by species (setosa, versicolor and virginica).

data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
ccr12.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat\$H,r=2,c(1, 3))

## [1] 0.9589055

## -------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 2) An example computing the value of the ccr1_2 criteria for two

## subsets produced when the anneal function attempted to optimize

## the zeta_2 criterion (using an absurdly small number of iterations).

```
```

zetaresults<-anneal(irisHmat$mat,2,nsol=2,niter=2, criterion="zeta2",
H=irisHmat$H,r=2)
ccr12.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,zetaresults\$subsets)

## Card.2

\#\#Solution 1 0.9526304
\#\#Solution 2 0.9558787

## --------------------------------------------------------------------

```
eleaps

A Leaps and Bounds Algorithm for finding the best variable subsets

\section*{Description}

An exact Algorithm for optimizing criteria that measure the quality of k-dimensional variable subsets as approximations to a given set of variables, or to a set of its Principal Components.

\section*{Usage}
eleaps(mat,kmin=length(include)+1,kmax=ncol(mat)-length(exclude)-1,nsol=1, exclude=NULL, include=NULL, criterion="default", pcindices="first_k",timelimit=15, H=NULL, r=0, tolval=1000*.Machine\$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps,maxaperr=1E-4)

\section*{Arguments}

> mat a covariance/correlation, information or sums of squares and products matrix of the variables from which the k-subset is to be selected. See the Details section below.
> kmin the cardinality of the smallest subset that is wanted.
> kmax the cardinality of the largest subset that is wanted.
> nsol the number of different subsets of each cardinality that are requested .
> exclude a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly excluded from the subsets.
> include a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly included in the subsets.
> criterion Character variable, which indicates which criterion is to be used in judging the quality of the subsets. Currently, the "Rm", "Rv", "Gcd", "Tau2", "Xi2", "Zeta2", "Ccr12" and "Wald" criteria are supported (see the Details section, the References and the links rm. coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef, ccr12.coef and wald.coef for further details). The default criterion is " Rm " if parameter \(r\) is zero (exploratory and PCA problems), "Wald" if \(r\) is equal to one and mat has a "FisherI" attribute set to TRUE (generalized linear models), and "Tau2" otherwise (multivariate linear model framework).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline pcindices & either a vector of ranks of Principal Components that are to be used for comparison with the k-variable subsets (for the Gcd criterion only, see gcd. coef) or the default text first_k. The latter will associate PCs 1 to \(k\) with each cardinality \(k\) that has been requested by the user. \\
\hline timelimit & a user specified limit (in seconds) for the maximum time allowed to conduct the search. After this limit is exceeded, eleaps exits with a waring message stating that it was not possible to find the otpimal subsets within the allocated time. \\
\hline H & Effect description matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria, hence the NULL default value. See the Details section below. \\
\hline \(r\) & Expected rank of the effects (H) matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria. See the Details section below. \\
\hline tolval & the tolerance level for the reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number of the correlation/covariance or sums of squares matrix, i.e., for the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue of the input matrix. Matrices with a reciprocal of the condition number smaller than tolval will activate a restricted-search (for well conditioned sets as defined by the value of the maxaperr argument) algorithm. \\
\hline tolsym & the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects ( \(H\) ) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes \((\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{A})) / 2\). \\
\hline maxaperr & the tolerance level for the relative rounding error of the criterion. When a restricted search in employed subsets where a first order estimate of this error is higher than maxaperr will be excluded from the analysis. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Details}

For each cardinality k (with k ranging from kmin to kmax), eleaps performs a branch and bound search for the best nsol variable subsets according to a specified criterion. Leaps implements Duarte Silva's adaptation (references [2] and [3]) of Furnival and Wilson's Leaps and Bounds Algorithm (reference [4]) for variable selection in Regression Analysis. If the search is not completed within a user defined time limit, eleaps exits with a warning message.
The user may force variables to be included and/or excluded from the \(k\)-subsets.
In order to improve computation times, the bulk of computations are carried out by \(\mathrm{C}++\) routines. Further details about the Algorithm can be found in references [2] and [3] and in the comments to the C++ code. A discussion of the criteria considered can be found in References [1] and [3].
The function checks for ill-conditioning of the input matrix (specifically, it checks whether the ratio of the input matrix's smallest and largest eigenvalues is less than tolval). For an ill-conditioned input matrix, the search is restricted to its well-conditioned subsets. The function trim.matrix may be used to obtain a well-conditioned input matrix.
In a general descriptive (Principal Components Analysis) setting, the three criteria Rm, Rv and Gcd can be used to select good k-variable subsets. Arguments H and r are not used in this context. See reference [1] and the Examples for a more detailed discussion.
In the setting of a multivariate linear model, \(X=A \Psi+U\), criteria Ccr12, Tau2, Xi2 and Zeta2 can be used to select subsets according to their contribution to an effect characterized by the violation
of a reference hypothesis, \(C \Psi=0\) (see reference [3] for further details). In this setting, arguments mat and H should be set respectively to the usual Total (Hypothesis + Error) and Hypothesis, Sum of Squares and Cross-Products (SSCP) matrices. Argument \(r\) should be set to the expected rank of H. Currently, for reasons of computational efficiency, criterion Ccr12 is available only when \(r \leq\) 3. Particular cases in this setting include Linear Discriminant Analyis (LDA), Linear Regression Analysis (LRA), Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) with one set of variables fixed, and several extensions of these and other classical multivariate methodologies.

In the setting of a generalized linear model, criterion Wald can be used to select subsets according to the (lack of) significance of the discarded variables, as measured by the respective Wald's statistic (see reference [5] for further details). In this setting arguments mat and H should be set respectively to FI and \(\mathrm{FI} \% * \% \mathrm{~b} \% * \% \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{b}) \% * \% \mathrm{FI}\), where b is a column vector of variable coefficient estimates and FI is an estimate of the corresponding Fisher information matrix.

The auxiliary functions lmHmat, ldaHmat glhHmat and glmHmat are provided to automatically create the matrices mat and H in all the cases considered.

\section*{Value}

A list with five items:
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { subsets } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { An nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-dimensional array, giving for each car- } \\
\text { dinality (dimension 3) and each solution (dimension 1) the list of variables (ref- } \\
\text { erenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the subset (dimension } \\
\text { 2). (For cardinalities smaller than kmax, the extra final positions are set to zero). }
\end{array} \\
\text { values } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { An nsol x length(kmin:kmax) matrix, giving for each cardinality (columns), the } \\
\text { criterion values of the best nsol (rows) subsets according to the chosen criterion. }
\end{array} \\
\text { bestvalues } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { A length(kmin:kmax) vector giving the overall best values of the criterion for } \\
\text { each cardinality. }
\end{array} \\
\text { bestsets } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { A length(kmin:kmax) } x \text { kmax matrix, giving, for each cardinality (rows), the } \\
\text { variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the best } \\
\text { k-subset. }
\end{array} \\
\text { call The function call which generated the output. }
\end{array}
\]

\section*{References}
[1] Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001). Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces, Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics, Vol. 6, 62-79.
[2] Duarte Silva, A.P. (2001) Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, Journal of Multivariate Analysis Vol. 76, 35-62.
[3] Duarte Silva, A.P. (2002) Discarding Variables in a Principal Component Analysis: Algorithms for All-Subsets Comparisons, Computational Statistics, Vol. 17, 251-271.
[4] Furnival, G.M. and Wilson, R.W. (1974). Regressions by Leaps and Bounds, Technometrics, Vol. 16, 499-511.
[5] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, Biometrics, Vol. 34, 318-327.

\section*{See Also}
rm.coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, wald.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef, ccr12.coef, anneal, genetic, anneal, trim.matrix, lmHmat, ldaHmat, glhHmat, glmHmat.

\section*{Examples}
```


## -------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 

## 1) For illustration of use, a small data set.

## Subsets of variables of all cardinalities are sought using the

## RM criterion.

## 

data(swiss)
eleaps(cor(swiss),nsol=3, criterion="RM")
\#\#\$subsets
\#\#, , Card. }

## 

## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. 5

\#\#Solution 2010000
\#\#Solution 30400

## 

\#\#, , Card.2

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. }2\mathrm{ Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }

\#\#Solution 1 3 6 0

| \#\#Solution 2 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\#Solution 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 

\#\#, , Card.3

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }

\#\#Solution $1 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 0 \quad 0$
\#\#Solution $2 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 50$
\#\#Solution $3 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 6 \quad 0 \quad 0$

## 

\#\#, , Card.4

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }

\#\#Solution 1 2 4 4 5 6 0
\#\#Solution $2 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 0$
\#\#Solution 3 1 1 4 % 5 0

## 

\#\#, , Card.5

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }

\#\#Solution 1 1 1 2 % 3 5
\#\#Solution 2 1 1 2 % 4 % 5 %

```

```

\#\#Solution 1 0.7982296 0.8791856
\#\#Solution 2 0.7945390 0.8686515
\#\#Solution 3 0.7755232 0.8628693

## 

\#\#\$bestvalues

## Card.2 Card.3

\#\#0.7982296 0.8791856

## 

\#\#\$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

\#\#Card.2 4 5 0
\#\#Card.3 1 2 5

## 

\#\#\$call
\#\#eleaps(cor(swiss), 2, 3, exclude = 6, nsol = 3, criterion = "gcd")

## ----------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 

## 3) Searching for 2- and 3- dimensional subsets that best approximate

## the spaces generated by the first three Principal Components

## 

data(swiss)
eleaps(cor(swiss), 2, 3,criterion="gcd",pcindices=1:3,nsol=3)
\#\#\$subsets
\#\#, , Card.2

## 

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. 3

\#\#Solution 1 4 5 0
\#\#Solution 2 5 0
\#\#Solution 3 4 0

## 

\#\#, , Card. }

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

\#\#Solution 1 4 5 5
\#\#Solution 2 3 5
\#\#Solution 3 2 5 5

## 

## 

\#\#\$values

## card.2 card.3

\#\#Solution 1 0.7831827 0.9253684
\#\#Solution 2 0.7475630 0.8459302
\#\#Solution 3 0.7383665 0.8243032

## 

\#\#\$bestvalues

## Card.2 Card. }

\#\#0.7831827 0.9253684

## 

```
```

\#\#\$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

\#\#Card.2 4 5 0
\#\#Card.3 4 5 6

## 

\#\#\$call
\#\#eleaps(cor(swiss), 2, 3, criterion = "gcd", pcindices = 1:3, nsol = 3)

## --------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 

## 4) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple Linear

## Regression. Variable 5 (average car price) in the Cars93 MASS library

## data set is regressed on 13 other variables. A best subset of linear

## predictors is sought, using the default criterion ("TAU_2") which,

## in the case of a Linear Regression, is merely the standard Coefficient

## of Determination, R^2 (as are the other three criteria for the

## multivariate linear hypothesis, "XI_2", "CCR1_2" and "ZETA_2").

## 

library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,5])
names(Cars93[,5,drop=FALSE])

## [1] "Price"

colnames(CarsHmat\$mat)

| \#\# [1] "MPG.city" | "MPG.highway" | "EngineSize" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\# [4] "Horsepower" | "RPM" | "Rev.per.mile" |
| \#\# [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" | "Length" |  |
| \#\# [10] "Wheelbase" | "Width" | "Turn.circle" |
| \#\# [13] "Weight" |  |  |

eleaps(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=1)

## \$subsets

## , , Card.4

## 

## Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Solution 1 4 5 5 10 11 0

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Solution 1

## 

## , , Card.6

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

```
```


## Solution 1

## 

## 

## \$values

## card.4 card. }5\mathrm{ card. }

## Solution 1 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.731015

## 

## \$bestvalues

    Card.4 Card.5 Card.6
    
## 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.7310150

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Card.4 4

## Card.5 4

## Card.6 4

## 

## --------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 5) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.

## We consider the Iris data and three groups, defined by species (setosa,

## versicolor and virginica). The goal is to select the 2- and 3-variable

## subsets that are optimal for the linear discrimination (as measured

## by the "CCR1_2" criterion).

data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
eleaps(irisHmat$mat,kmin=2,kmax=3,H=irisHmat\$H,r=2,crit="ccr12")

## \$subsets

## , , Card.2

## 

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 1 0

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 2 3 4

## 

## 

## \$values

## card.2 card. }

## Solution 1 0.9589055 0.967897

## 

## \$bestvalues

    Card. }2\mathrm{ Card. }
    
## 0.9589055 0.9678971

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Card. 2 1 3 0

```
```


## Card.3 2 3 4

## -------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 6) An example of subset selection in the context of a Canonical

## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93

## MASS library data set are compared. The goal is to select 4- to

## 6-variable subsets of the 13-variable 'X' group that are optimal in

## terms of preserving the canonical correlations, according to the

## "ZETA_2" criterion (Warning: the 3-variable 'Y' group is kept

## intact; subset selection is carried out in the 'X'

## group only). The 'tolsym' parameter is used to relax the symmetry

## requirements on the effect matrix H which, for numerical reasons,

## is slightly asymmetric. Since corresponding off-diagonal entries of

## matrix H are different, but by less than tolsym, H is replaced

## by its symmetric part: (H+t(H))/2.

library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,4:6])
names(Cars93[,4:6])

## [1] "Min.Price" "Price" "Max.Price"

## colnames(CarsHmat\$mat)

| \#\# | [1] "MPG.city" | "MPG.highway" | "EngineSize" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\# | [4] "Horsepower" | "RPM" | "Rev.per.mile" |
| \#\# | [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" | "Length" |  |
| \#\# [10] "Wheelbase" | "Width" | "Turn.circle" |  |
| \#\# [13] "Weight" |  |  |  |

eleaps(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=3,
crit="zeta2", tolsym=1e-9)

## \$subsets

## , , Card.4

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Solution 1

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Solution 1 4 4 5 % 9

## 

## , , Card.6

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Solution 1

```
```


## 

## 

## \$values

## card.4 card.5 card.6

## Solution 1 0.4827353 0.5018922 0.5168627

## 

## \$bestvalues

    Card.4 Card. }5\mathrm{ Card. }
    0.4827353 0.5018922 0.5168627

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. }2\mathrm{ Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Card.4

| \#\# Card. 5 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| \#\# Card. 6 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |

## 

## Warning message:

## 

## The effect description matrix (H) supplied was slightly asymmetric:

## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.63797880709171e-12.

## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).

## The H matrix has been replaced by its symmetric part.

## in: validnovcrit(mat, criterion, H, r, p, tolval, tolsym)

## ------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 7) An example of variable selection in the context of a logistic

## regression model. We consider the last 100 observations of

## the iris data set (versicolor an verginica species) and try

## to find the best variable subsets for the model that takes species

## as response variable.

data(iris)
iris2sp <- iris[iris$Species != "setosa",]
logrfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width,
iris2sp,family=binomial)
Hmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)
eleaps(Hmat$mat,H=Hmat\$H,r=1,criterion="Wald",nsol=3)

## \$subsets

## , , Card. }

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 4 0 0

## Solution 2 1 0 0

## Solution 3 3 0 0

## , , Card. }

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 1 3 0

## Solution 2 3 4 0

```
```


## Solution 3 2 4 0

## , , Card. }

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 2 3 4

## Solution 2 1 3 4

## Solution 3 1 2 3

## \$values

## card.1 card.2 card. }

## Solution 1 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121

## Solution 2 5.147360 3.952538 2.224335

## Solution 3 5.161553 3.972410 3.522879

## \$bestvalues

## Card.1 Card.2 Card. }

## 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. }2\mathrm{ Var. }

## Card.1 4 0 0

## Card.2 1 3 0

## Card. 3 2 3 4

## \$call

## eleaps(mat = Hmat$mat, nsol = 3, criterion = "Wald", H = Hmat$H,

## r = 1)

## ------------------------------------------------------------------------

## It should be stressed that, unlike other criteria in the

## subselect package, the Wald criterion is not bounded above by

## 1 and is a decreasing function of subset quality, so that the

## 3-variable subsets do, in fact, perform better than their smaller-sized

## counterparts.

```

\section*{Description}

This data set is a very small subset of economic data regarding Portuguese farms in the mid-1990s, from Portugal's Ministry of Agriculture

\section*{Usage}
farm

\section*{Format}

A 99x62 matrix. The 62 columns are numeric economic indicators, referenced by their database code. Monetary units are in thousands of Escudos (Portugal's pre-Euro currency).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Column Number & Column Name & Units & Description \\
\hline [,1] & R15 & 1000 Escudos & Total Standard Gross Margins (SGM) \\
\hline [,2] & R24 & Hectares & Total land surface \\
\hline [,3] & R35 & Hectares & Total cultivated surface \\
\hline [,4] & R36 & Man Work Units & Total Man Work Units \\
\hline [,5] & R46 & 1000 Escudos & Land Capital \\
\hline [,6] & R59 & 1000 Escudos & Total Capital (without forests) \\
\hline [,7] & R65 & 1000 Escudos & Total Loans and Debts \\
\hline [,8] & R72 & 1000 Escudos & Total Investment \\
\hline [,9] & R79 & 1000 Escudos & Subsidies for Investment \\
\hline [,10] & R86 & 1000 Escudos & Gross Plant Product Formation \\
\hline [,11] & R91 & 1000 Escudos & Gross Animal Product Formation \\
\hline [,12] & R104 & 1000 Escudos & Current Subsidies \\
\hline [,13] & R110 & 1000 Escudos & Wheat Production \\
\hline [,14] & R111 & 1000 Escudos & Maize Production \\
\hline [,15] & R113 & 1000 Escudos & Other Cereals (except rice) Production \\
\hline [,16] & R114 & 1000 Escudos & Dried Legumes Production \\
\hline [,17] & R115 & 1000 Escudos & Potato Production \\
\hline [,18] & R116 & 1000 Escudos & Industrial horticulture and Melon Production \\
\hline [,19] & R117 & 1000 Escudos & Open-air horticultural Production \\
\hline [,20] & R118 & 1000 Escudos & Horticultural forcing Production \\
\hline [,21] & R119 & 1000 Escudos & Flower Production \\
\hline [,22] & R121 & 1000 Escudos & Sub-products Production \\
\hline [,23] & R122 & 1000 Escudos & Fruit Production \\
\hline [,24] & R123 & 1000 Escudos & Olive Production \\
\hline [,25] & R124 & 1000 Escudos & Wine Production \\
\hline [,26] & R125 & 1000 Escudos & Horses \\
\hline [,27] & R126 & 1000 Escudos & Bovines (excluding milk) \\
\hline [,28] & R127 & 1000 Escudos & Milk and dairy products \\
\hline [,29] & R129 & 1000 Escudos & Sheep \\
\hline [,30] & R132 & 1000 Escudos & Goats \\
\hline [,31] & R135 & 1000 Escudos & Pigs \\
\hline [,32] & R137 & 1000 Escudos & Birds \\
\hline [,33] & R140 & 1000 Escudos & Bees \\
\hline [,34] & R142 & 1000 Escudos & Other animals (except rabbits) \\
\hline [,35] & R144 & 1000 Escudos & Wood production \\
\hline [,36] & R145 & 1000 Escudos & Other forest products (except cork) \\
\hline [,37] & R146 & Hectares & Land surface affected to cereals \\
\hline [,38] & R151 & Hectares & Land surface affected to dry legumes \\
\hline [,39] & R152 & Hectares & Land surface affected to potatos \\
\hline [,40] & R158 & Hectares & Land surface affected to fruits \\
\hline [,41] & R159 & Hectares & Land surface affected to olive trees \\
\hline [,42] & R160 & Hectares & Land surface affected to vineyards \\
\hline [,43] & R164 & Hectares & Fallow land surface area \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llll}
{\([, 44]\)} & R166 & Hectares & Forest surface area \\
{\([, 45]\)} & R168 & Head & Bovines \\
{\([, 46]\)} & R174 & Head & Adult sheep \\
{\([, 47]\)} & R176 & Head & Adult goats \\
{\([, 48]\)} & R178 & Head & Adult pigs \\
{\([, 49]\)} & R209 & Kg/hectare & Maize yield \\
{\([, 50]\)} & R211 & Kg/hectare & Barley yield \\
{\([, 51]\)} & R214 & Kg/hectare & Potato yield \\
{\([, 52]\)} & R215 & L/cow/year & Cow milk productivity \\
{\([, 53]\)} & R233 & 1000 Escudos & Wages and social expenditure \\
{\([, 54]\)} & R237 & 1000 Escudos & Taxes and tariffs \\
{\([, 55]\)} & R245 & 1000 Escudos & Interest and financial costs \\
{\([, 56]\)} & R250 & 1000 Escudos & Total real costs \\
{\([, 57]\)} & R252 & 1000 Escudos & Gross Product \\
{\([, 58]\)} & R256 & 1000 Escudos & Gross Agricultural Product \\
{\([, 59]\)} & R258 & 1000 Escudos & Gross Value Added (GVA) \\
{\([, 60]\)} & R263 & 1000 Escudos & Final Results \\
{\([, 61]\)} & R270 & 1000 Escudos & Family labour income \\
{\([, 62]\)} & R271 & 1000 Escudos & Capital Income
\end{tabular}

\section*{Source}

Obtained directly from the source.
\[
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { gcd. coef } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Computes Yanai's } G C D \text { in the context of the variable-subset selection } \\
\text { problem }
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]

\section*{Description}

Computes Yanai's Generalized Coefficient of Determination for the similarity of the subspaces spanned by a subset of variables and a subset of the full data set's Principal Components.

\section*{Usage}
gcd.coef(mat, indices, pcindices \(=\) NULL)

\section*{Arguments}
mat the full data set's covariance (or correlation) matrix.
indices a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different \(k\)-variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
pcindices a numerical vector of indices of Principal Components. By default, the first \(k\) PCs are chosen, where \(k\) is the cardinality of the subset of variables whose criterion value is being computed. If a vector of PCs is specified by the user, those PCs will be used for all cardinalities that were requested.

\section*{Details}

Computes Yanai's Generalized Coefficient of Determination for the similarity of the subspaces spanned by a subset of variables (specified by indices) and a subset of the full-data set's Principal Components (specified by pcindices). Input data is expected in the form of a (co)variance or correlation matrix. If a non-square matrix is given, it is assumed to be a data matrix, and its correlation matrix is used as input. The number of variables (k) and of PCs (q) does not have to be the same.
Yanai's GCD is defined as:
\[
G C D=\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(P_{v} \cdot P_{c}\right)}{\sqrt{k \cdot q}}
\]
where \(P_{v}\) and \(P_{c}\) are the matrices of orthogonal projections on the subspaces spanned by the kvariable subset and by the q-Principal Component subset, respectively.
This definition is equivalent to:
\[
G C D=\frac{1}{\sqrt{k q}} \sum_{i}\left(r_{m}\right)_{i}^{2}
\]
where \(\left(r_{m}\right)_{i}\) stands for the multiple correlation between the i -th Principal Component and the k variable subset, and the sum is carried out over the q PCs \((\mathrm{i}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{q})\) selected.
These definitions are also equivalent to the expression used in the code, which only requires the covariance (or correlation) matrix of the data under consideration.
The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the GCD values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic and improve (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

\section*{Value}

The value of the GCD coefficient.

\section*{References}

Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001), "Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces", Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics, Vol. 6, 62-79.
Ramsay, J.O., ten Berge, J. and Styan, G.P.H. (1984), "Matrix Correlation", Psychometrika, 49, 403-423.

\section*{Examples}
```


## An example with a very small data set.

data(iris3)
x<-iris3[,,1]
gcd.coef(cor(x),c(1,3))

## [1] 0.7666286

gcd.coef(cor(x),c(1,3),pcindices=c(1,3))

## [1] 0.584452

gcd.coef(cor(x),c(1,3),pcindices=1)

## [1] 0.6035127

```
```


## An example computing the GCDs of three subsets produced when the

## anneal function attempted to optimize the RV criterion (using an

## absurdly small number of iterations).

data(swiss)
rvresults<-anneal(cor(swiss), 2,nsol=4, niter=5,criterion="Rv")
gcd.coef(cor(swiss),rvresults\$subsets)

## Card.2

\#\#Solution 1 0.4962297
\#\#Solution 2 0.7092591
\#\#Solution 3 0.4748525
\#\#Solution 4 0.4649259

```
genetic Genetic Algorithm searching for an optimal \(k\)-variable subset

\section*{Description}

Given a set of variables, a Genetic Algorithm algorithm seeks a k-variable subset which is optimal, as a surrogate for the whole set, with respect to a given criterion.

\section*{Usage}
genetic ( mat, kmin, kmax \(=\) kmin, popsize \(=\max (100,2 *\) ncol(mat)), nger \(=100\), mutate \(=\) FALSE, mutprob \(=0.01\), maxclone \(=5\), exclude \(=\) NULL, include = NULL, improvement = TRUE, setseed= FALSE, criterion = "default", pcindices = "first_k", initialpop = NULL, force = FALSE, H=NULL, r=0, tolval=1000*.Machine\$double.eps,tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps)

\section*{Arguments}
mat a covariance/correlation, information or sums of squares and products matrix of the variables from which the k-subset is to be selected. See the Details section below.
kmin the cardinality of the smallest subset that is wanted.
kmax the cardinality of the largest subset that is wanted.
popsize integer variable indicating the size of the population.
nger integer variable giving the number of generations for which the genetic algorithm will run.
mutate logical variable indicating whether each child undergoes a mutation, with probability mutprob. By default, FALSE.
mutprob variable giving the probability of each child undergoing a mutation, if mutate is TRUE. By default, 0.01. High values slow down the algorithm considerably and tend to replicate the same solution.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline maxclone & integer variable specifying the maximum number of identical replicates (clones) of individuals that is acceptable in the population. Serves to ensure that the population has sufficient genetic diversity, which is necessary to enable the algorithm to complete the specified number of generations. However, even maxclone \(=0\) does not guarantee that there are no repetitions: only the offspring of couples are tested for clones. If any such clones are rejected, they are replaced by a k-variable subset chosen at random, without any further clone tests. \\
\hline exclude & a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly excluded from the subsets. \\
\hline include & a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly included in the subsets. \\
\hline improvement & a logical variable indicating whether or not the best final subset (for each cardinality) is to be passed as input to a local improvement algorithm (see function improve). \\
\hline setseed & logical variable indicating whether to fix an initial seed for the random number generator, which will be re-used in future calls to this function whenever setseed is again set to TRUE. \\
\hline criterion & Character variable, which indicates which criterion is to be used in judging the quality of the subsets. Currently, the "Rm", "Rv", "Gcd", "Tau2", "Xi2", "Zeta2", "ccr12" and "Wald" criteria are supported (see the Details section, the References and the links rm. coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2. coef and cor12. coef for further details). The default criterion is "Rm" if parameter \(r\) is zero (exploratory and PCA problems), "Wald" if \(r\) is equal to one and mat has a "FisherI" attribute set to TRUE (generalized linear models), and "Tau2" otherwise (multivariate linear model framework). \\
\hline pcindices & either a vector of ranks of Principal Components that are to be used for comparison with the k-variable subsets (for the Gcd criterion only, see gcd. coef) or the default text first_k. The latter will associate PCs 1 to \(k\) with each cardinality \(k\) that has been requested by the user. \\
\hline initialpop & vector, matrix or 3-d array of initial population for the genetic algorithm. If a single cardinality is required, initialpop may be a popsize \(\mathrm{x} k\) matrix or a popsize \(\mathrm{x} k \mathrm{x} 1\) array (as produced by the \$subsets output value of any of the algorithm functions anneal, genetic, or improve). If more than one cardinality is requested, initialpop must be a popsize \(x\) kmax \(x\) length(kmin:kmax) 3 -d array (as produced by the \(\$\) subsets output value). \\
\hline & If the exclude and/or include options are used, initialpop must also respect those requirements. \\
\hline force & a logical variable indicating whether, for large data sets (currently \(p>400\) ) the algorithm should proceed anyways, regardless of possible memory problems which may crash the R session. \\
\hline H & Effect description matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria, hence the NULL default value. See the Details section below. \\
\hline \(r\) & Expected rank of the effects (H) matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria. See the Details section below. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
tolval the tolerance level for the reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number of the correlation/covariance matrix, i.e., for the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue of the input matrix. Matrices with a reciprocal of the condition number smaller than tolval will activate a restricted-search for well conditioned subsets.
tolsym the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects \((\mathrm{H})\) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes \((\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{A})) / 2\).

\section*{Details}

For each cardinality k (with k ranging from kmin to kmax), an initial population of popsize k variable subsets is randomly selected from a full set of p variables. In each iteration, popsize/2 couples are formed from among the population and each couple generates a child (a new k-variable subset) which inherits properties of its parents (specifically, it inherits all variables common to both parents and a random selection of variables in the symmetric difference of its parents' genetic makeup). Each offspring may optionally undergo a mutation (in the form of a local improvement algorithm - see function improve), with a user-specified probability. The parents and offspring are ranked according to their criterion value, and the best popsize of these k-subsets will make up the next generation, which is used as the current population in the subsequent iteration.
The stopping rule for the algorithm is the number of generations (nger).
Optionally, the best \(k\)-variable subset produced by the Genetic Algorithm may be passed as input to a restricted local improvement algorithm, for possible further improvement (see function improve).
The user may force variables to be included and/or excluded from the \(k\)-subsets, and may specify an initial population.
For each cardinality \(k\), the total number of calls to the procedure which computes the criterion values is popsize + nger x popsize/2. These calls are the dominant computational effort in each iteration of the algorithm.

In order to improve computation times, the bulk of computations are carried out by a Fortran routine. Further details about the Genetic Algorithm can be found in Reference 1 and in the comments to the Fortran code (in the src subdirectory for this package). For datasets with a very large number of variables (currently p \(>400\) ), it is necessary to set the force argument to TRUE for the function to run, but this may cause a session crash if there is not enough memory available.
The function checks for ill-conditioning of the input matrix (specifically, it checks whether the ratio of the input matrix's smallest and largest eigenvalues is less than tolval). For an ill-conditioned input matrix, the search is restricted to its well-conditioned subsets. The function trim.matrix may be used to obtain a well-conditioned input matrix.
In a general descriptive (Principal Components Analysis) setting, the three criteria Rm, Rv and Gcd can be used to select good k-variable subsets. Arguments H and r are not used in this context. See references [1] and [2] and the Examples for a more detailed discussion.
In the setting of a multivariate linear model, \(X=A \Psi+U\), criteria Ccr12, Tau2, Xi2 and Zeta2 can be used to select subsets according to their contribution to an effect characterized by the violation of a reference hypothesis, \(C \Psi=0\) (see reference [3] for further details). In this setting, arguments
mat and H should be set respectively to the usual Total (Hypothesis + Error) and Hypothesis, Sum of Squares and Cross-Products (SSCP) matrices. Argument r should be set to the expected rank of H. Currently, for reasons of computational efficiency, criterion Ccr12 is available only when \(r \leq\) 3. Particular cases in this setting include Linear Discriminant Analyis (LDA), Linear Regression Analysis (LRA), Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) with one set of variables fixed and several extensions of these and other classical multivariate methodologies.
In the setting of a generalized linear model, criterion Wald can be used to select subsets according to the (lack of) significance of the discarded variables, as measured by the respective Wald's statistic (see reference [4] for further details). In this setting arguments mat and \(H\) should be set respectively to FI and \(\mathrm{FI} \% * \% \mathrm{~b} \% * \% \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{b}) \% * \% \mathrm{FI}\), where b is a column vector of variable coefficient estimates and FI is an estimate of the corresponding Fisher information matrix.
The auxiliary functions lmHmat, ldaHmat glhHmat and glmHmat are provided to automatically create the matrices mat and H in all the cases considered.

\section*{Value}

A list with five items:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
subsets & \begin{tabular}{l} 
A popsize x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-dimensional array, giving for each \\
cardinality (dimension 3) and each subset in the final population (dimension 1) \\
the list of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in \\
the subset (dimension 2). (For cardinalities smaller than kmax, the extra final \\
positions are set to zero).
\end{tabular} \\
values & \begin{tabular}{l} 
A popsize x length(kmin:kmax) matrix, giving for each cardinality (columns), \\
the (ordered) criterion values of the popsize (rows) subsets in the final genera- \\
tion.
\end{tabular} \\
bestvalues & \begin{tabular}{l} 
A length(kmin:kmax) vector giving the best values of the criterion obtained for \\
each cardinality. If improvement is TRUE, these values result from the final \\
restricted local search algorithm (and may therefore exceed the largest value for \\
that cardinality in values).
\end{tabular} \\
bestsets & \begin{tabular}{l} 
A length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix, giving, for each cardinality (rows), the \\
variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the best
\end{tabular} \\
call & \begin{tabular}{l} 
k-subset that was found.
\end{tabular} \\
The function call which generated the output.
\end{tabular}

\section*{References}
[1] Cadima, J., Cerdeira, J. Orestes and Minhoto, M. (2004) Computational aspects of algorithms for variable selection in the context of principal components. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 47, 225-236.
[2] Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001). Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces, Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics, Vol. 6, 62-79.
[3] Duarte Silva, A.P. (2001) Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, Vol. 76, 35-62.
[4] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, Biometrics, Vol. 34, 318-327.

\section*{See Also}
```

rm.coef,rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef, ccr12.coef, genetic, anneal,
eleaps, trim.matrix, lmHmat, ldaHmat, glhHmat, glmHmat.

```

\section*{Examples}
```


## ------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 

## 1) For illustration of use, a small data set with very few iterations

## of the algorithm. Escoufier's 'RV' criterion is used to select variable

## subsets of size 3 and 4.

## 

data(swiss)
genetic(cor(swiss), 3,4,popsize=10,nger=5,criterion="Rv")

## For cardinality k=

\#\#[1] 4

## there is not enough genetic diversity in generation number

\#\#[1] 3

## for acceptable levels of consanguinity (couples differing by at least 2 genes).

## Try reducing the maximum acceptable number of clones (maxclone) or

## increasing the population size (popsize)

## Best criterion value found so far:

\#\#[1] 0.9557145
\#\#\$subsets
\#\#, , Card. }

## 

## Var.1 Var.2 Var.3 Var.4

\#\#Solution 2 1 1 2 3 0
\#\#Solution 3 1 2 0
\#\#Solution 4 3
\#\#Solution 5 3
\#\#Solution 6 3
\#\#Solution 7 3 % 4 5 5 0
\#\#Solution 8 1 3 0
\#\#Solution 9 11030
\#\#Solution $10 \quad 1 \quad 3 \quad 6 \quad 0$

## 

\#\#, , Card.4

## 

## 

\#\#Solution 1 2 4 4
\#\#Solution 2 1 % 2 5 6
\#\#Solution 3 1 2 % 3
\#\#Solution 4 1 % 2 4 5
\#\#Solution 5 1 1 2 % 4
\#\#Solution 6

```
```

| \#\#Solution 8 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\#Solution 9 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| \#\#Solution 10 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

## 

## 

\#\#\$values

## card.3 card.4

\#\#Solution 1 0.9141995 0.9557145
\#\#Solution 2 0.9141995 0.9485699
\#\#Solution 3 0.9141995 0.9455508
\#\#Solution 4 0.9034868 0.9433203
\#\#Solution 5 0.9034868 0.9433203
\#\#Solution 6 0.9020271 0.9428967
\#\#Solution 7 0.9020271 0.9428967
\#\#Solution 8 0.8988192 0.9428967
\#\#Solution 9 0.8988192 0.9357982
\#\#Solution 100.8988192 0.9357982

## 

\#\#\$bestvalues

## Card. }3\mathrm{ Card. }

\#\#0.9141995 0.9557145

## 

\#\#\$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }

\#\#Card.3 1 2 0
\#\#Card.4 2 4 4 5

## 

\#\#\$call
\#\#genetic(mat = cor(swiss), kmin = 3, kmax = 4, popsize = 10, nger = 5,

## criterion = "Rv")

## ------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 

## 2) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple Linear

## Regression. Variable 5 (average car price) in the Cars93 MASS library

## data set is regressed on 13 other variables. The six-variable subsets

## of linear predictors are chosen using the "CCR1_2" criterion which,

## in the case of a Linear Regression, is merely the standard Coefficient

## of Determination, R^2 (as are the other three criteria for the

## multivariate linear hypothesis, "XI_2", "TAU_2" and "ZETA_2").

## 

library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,5])
names(Cars93[,5,drop=FALSE])

## [1] "Price"

colnames(CarsHmat)

```

```


## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. }2\mathrm{ Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## 

## 

## \$call

## genetic(mat = CarsHmat$mat, kmin = 6, criterion = "CCR12", H = CarsHmat$H,

## r = 1)

```

\#\# 3) An example of subset selection in the context of a Canonical
\#\# Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93
\#\# MASS library data set are compared. The goal is to select 4- to
\#\# 6-variable subsets of the 13-variable 'X' group that are optimal in
\#\# terms of preserving the canonical correlations, according to the
\#\# "ZETA_2" criterion (Warning: the 3-variable 'Y' group is kept
\#\# intact; subset selection is carried out in the ' \(X^{\prime}\)
\#\# group only). The 'tolsym' parameter is used to relax the symmetry
\#\# requirements on the effect matrix \(H\) which, for numerical reasons,
\#\# is slightly asymmetric. Since corresponding off-diagonal entries of
\#\# matrix \(H\) are different, but by less than tolsym, \(H\) is replaced
\#\# by its symmetric part: \((H+t(H)) / 2\).
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,4:6])
names(Cars93[,4:6])
\#\# [1] "Min.Price" "Price" "Max.Price"
colnames(CarsHmat\$mat)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
\#\# & [1] "MPG.city" & "MPG.highway" & "EngineSize" \\
\#\# & [4] "Horsepower" & "RPM" & "Rev.per.mile" \\
\#\# & [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" & "Length" \\
\#\# [10] "Wheelbase" & "Width" & "Turn.circle" \\
\#\# [13] "Weight" &
\end{tabular}
genetic(CarsHmat\$mat, kmin=5, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat\$H, r=3, crit="zeta2", tolsym=1e-9)
\#\# (PARTIAL RESULTS ONLY)
\#\#
\#\# \$subsets
\#\#
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrrr} 
\#\# & Var.1 & Var. 2 & Var.3 & Var. 4 & Var. 5 & Var. 6 \\
\#\# Solution 1 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 0 \\
\#\# Solution 2 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 0 \\
\#\# Solution 3 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 0 \\
\#\# Solution 4 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 0 \\
\#\# Solution 5 & 4 & 5 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 0
\end{tabular}
genetic

```


## Solution 100 0.4890986 0.5035386

## 

## \$bestvalues

    Card.5 Card.6
    0.5018922 0.5168627

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Card.5 4

## Card.6 4

## 

## \$call

## genetic(mat = CarsHmat\$mat, kmin = 5, kmax = 6, criterion = "zeta2",

## H = CarsHmat\$H, r = 3, tolsym = 1e-09)

## 

## Warning message:

## 

## The effect description matrix (H) supplied was slightly asymmetric:

## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.63797880709171e-12.

## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).

## The H matrix has been replaced by its symmetric part.

in: validnovcrit(mat, criterion, H, r, p, tolval, tolsym)

## 

## The selected best variable subsets

colnames(CarsHmat\$mat)[c(4, 5, 9, 10, 11)]

## [1] "Horsepower" "RPM" "Length" "Wheelbase" "Width"

colnames(CarsHmat\$mat)[c(4,5,9,10,11,12)]

## [1] "Horsepower" "RPM" "Length" "Wheelbase" "Width"

## [6] "Turn.circle"

## ---------------------------------------------------------------------------

```
glhHmat

\section*{Description}

Computes total and effect matrices of Sums of Squares and Cross-Product (SSCP) deviations for a general multivariate effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis. These matrices may be used as input to the variable selection search routines anneal, genetic improve or eleaps.

\section*{Usage}
```


## Default S3 method:

glhHmat(x,A,C,...)

## S3 method for class 'data.frame'

glhHmat(x,A,C,...)

## S3 method for class 'formula'

glhHmat(formula,C,data=NULL,...)

```

\section*{Arguments}
x

A A matrix or data frame containing a design matrix specifying a linear model in which x is the response.
C A matrix or vector containing the coefficients of the reference hypothesis.
formula A formula of the form ' \(\mathrm{x} \sim \mathrm{A} 1+\mathrm{A} 2+\ldots\) ' That is, the response is the set of variables whose subsets are to be compared and the right hand side specifies the columns of the design matrix.
data Data frame from which variables specified in 'formula' are preferentially to be taken.
further arguments for the method.

\section*{Details}

Consider a multivariate linear model \(x=A \Psi+U\) and a reference hypothesis \(H 0: C \Psi=0\), with \(\Psi\) being a matrix of unknown parameters and C a known coefficient matrix with rank \(r\). It is well known that, under classical Gaussian assumptions, \(H_{0}\) can be tested by several increasing functions of the r positive eigenvalues of a product \(T^{-1} H\), where \(T\) and \(H\) are total and effect matrices of SSCP deviations associated with \(H_{0}\). Furthermore, whether or not the classical assumptions hold, the same eigenvalues can be used to define descriptive indices that measure an "effect" characterized by the violation of \(H_{0}\) (see reference [1] for further details). Those SSCP matrices are given by \(T=x^{\prime}\left(I-P_{\omega}\right) x\) and \(H=x^{\prime}\left(P_{\Omega}-P_{\omega}\right) x\), where I is an identity matrix and \(P_{\Omega}=A\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-} A^{\prime}\),
\[
P_{\omega}=A\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-} A^{\prime}-A\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-} C^{\prime}\left[C\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-} C^{\prime}\right]^{-} C\left(A^{\prime} A\right)^{-} A^{\prime}
\]
are projection matrices on the spaces spanned by the columns of A (space \(\Omega\) ) and by the linear combinations of these columns that satisfy the reference hypothesis (space \(\omega\) ). In these formulae \(M^{\prime}\) denotes the transpose of \(M\) and \(M^{-}\)a generalized inverse. glhHmat computes the \(T\) and \(H\) matrices which then can be used as input to the search routines anneal, genetic improve and eleaps that try to select subsets of \(x\) according to their contribution to the violation of \(H_{0}\).

\section*{Value}

A list with four items:
mat
The total SSCP matrix

H The effect SSCP matrix
r
The expected rank of the H matrix which equals the rank of C. The true rank of \(H\) can be different from \(r\) if the \(x\) variables are linearly dependent.
call The function call which generated the output.

\section*{References}
[1] Duarte Silva. A.P. (2001). Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, Vol. 76, 35-62.

\section*{See Also}
anneal, genetic, improve, eleaps, lmHmat, ldaHmat.

\section*{Examples}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\#\# The following examples create T and H matrices for different analysis \#\# of the MASS data set "crabs". This data records physical measurements \\
\#\# on 200 specimens of Leptograpsus variegatus crabs observed on the shores \\
\#\# of Western Australia. The crabs are classified by two factors, sex and sp \\
\#\# (crab species as defined by its colour: blue or orange), with two levels \\
\#\# each. The measurement variables include the carapace length (CL), \\
\#\# the carapace width (CW), the size of the frontal lobe (FL) and the size of \\
\#\# the rear width (RW). In the analysis provided, we assume that there is \\
\#\# an interest in comparing the subsets of these variables measured in their \\
\#\# original and logarithmic scales.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
library (MASS) \\
data(crabs) \\
lFL <- \(\log (c r a b s \$ F L)\) \\
lRW <- \(\log (c r a b s \$ R W)\) \\
lCL <- \(\log (c r a b s \$ C L)\) \\
lCW <- \(\log (c r a b s \$ C W)\)
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{```
# 1) Create the T and H matrices associated with a linear
# discriminant analysis on the groups defined by the sp factor.
# This call is equivalent to ldaHmat(sp ~ FL + RW + CL + CW + lFL +
# lRW + lCL + lCW,crabs)
```} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Hmat1 <- glhHmat(cbind(FL, RW, CL, CW, 1FL, lRW, lCL, lCW) ~ sp, c \((0,1)\), crabs) Hmat1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$mat} \\
\hline \#\# & FL & RW & CL & CW & 1FL & lRW & lCL \\
\hline \#\#FL & 2431.2422 & 1623.4509 & 4846.9787 & 5283.6093 & 162.718609 & 133.360397 & 158.865134 \\
\hline \#\#RW & 1623.4509 & 1317.7935 & 3254.5776 & 3629.6883 & 109.877182 & 107.287243 & 108.335721 \\
\hline \#\#CL & 4846.9787 & 3254.5776 & 10085.3040 & 11096.5141 & 326.243285 & 269.564742 & 330.912570 \\
\hline \#\#CW & 5283.6093 & 3629.6883 & 11096.5141 & 12331.5680 & 356.317934 & 300.786770 & 364.620761 \\
\hline \#\#1FL & 162.7186 & 109.8772 & 326.2433 & 356.3179 & 11.114733 & 9.188391 & 10.910730 \\
\hline & 133.3604 & 107.2872 & 269.5647 & 300.7868 & 9.188391 & & 9.130692 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
glhHmat
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \#\#1CL & 158.8651 & 108.3357 & 330.9126 & 364.6208 & 10.910730 & 9.130692 & 11.088706 \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 152.7872 & 106.4277 & 321.0253 & 357.0051 & 10.503303 & 8.970570 & 10.765175 \\
\hline \#\# & 1 CW & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#FL & 152.78716 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#RW & 106.42775 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CL & 321.02534 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CW & 357.00510 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1FL & 10.50330 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1RW & 8.97057 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 10.76517 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 10.54334 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$H} \\
\hline \#\# & FL & RW & CL & CW & 1FL & 1RW & 1 CL \\
\hline \#\#FL & 466.34580 & 247.526700 & 625.30650 & 518.416503 & 30.74088091 & 19.454320620. & 20.5494907 \\
\hline \#\#RW & 247.52670 & 131.382050 & 331.89975 & 275.164751 & 16.316623410 & 10.32595081 & 10.9072444 \\
\hline \#\#CL & 625.30650 & 331.899750 & 838.45125 & 695.126254 & 41.21935402 & 26.085606627 & 27.5540813 \\
\hline \#\#CW & 518.41650 & 275.164750 & 695.12625 & 576.301253 & 34.17331062 & 21.62652862 & 22.8439819 \\
\hline \#\#1FL & 30.74088 & 16.316623 & 41.21935 & 34.17331 & 2.0263971 & 1.2824024 & 1.3545945 \\
\hline \#\#1RW & 19.45432 & 10.325951 & 26.08561 & 21.62653 & 1.2824024 & 0.8115664 & 0.8572531 \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 20.54949 & 10.907244 & 27.55408 & 22.84398 & 1.3545945 & 0.8572531 & 0.9055117 \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 15.16136 & 8.047335 & 20.32933 & 16.85423 & 0.9994161 & 0.6324790 & 0.6680840 \\
\hline \#\# & 1 CW & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#FL & 15.1613582 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#RW & 8.0473352 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CL & 20.3293260 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CW & 16.8542276 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1FL & 0.9994161 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1RW & 0.6324790 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 0.6680840 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 0.4929106 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$r} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#[1] 1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$call} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\#\#glhHmat.formula(formula \(=\) cbind(FL, RW, CL, CW, lFL, lRW, lCL, \#\# \(\quad \mathrm{lCW}) \sim \mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{c}(0,1)\), data \(=\mathrm{crabs})\)}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\# 2) Create the T and H matrices associated with an analysis \\
\# of the interactions between the sp and sex factors
\end{tabular}}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Hmat2 <- glhHmat(cbind(FL, RW, CL, CW, lFL, lRW, \(1 \mathrm{CL}, 1 \mathrm{CW}\) ) \(\sim \mathrm{sp} * \operatorname{sex}, \mathrm{c}(0,0,0,1)\), crabs)
Hmat2}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$mat} \\
\hline \#\# & FL & RW & CL & & CW lFL & L IRW & W 1CL \\
\hline \#\#FL & 1960.3362 & 1398.52890 & 4199.1581 & 4747.5409 & 131.651804 & 115.607172 & 2137.663744 \\
\hline \#\#RW & 1398.5289 & 1074.36105 & 3034.2793 & 3442.0233 & 953.176151 & 88.529040 & 0100.659912 \\
\hline \#\#CL & 4199.1581 & 3034.27925 & 9135.6987 & 10314.2389 & 283.414814 & 4251.877591 & 1300.140005 \\
\hline \#\#CW & 4747.5409 & 3442.02325 & 10314.2389 & 11686.9387 & 320.883015 & 5285.744945 & 5339.253367 \\
\hline \#\#1FL & 131.6518 & 95.17615 & 283.4148 & 320.8830 & 30 9.065041 & 8.027569 & 99.509543 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \#\#1RW & 115.6072 & 88.52904 & 251.8776 & 285.7449 & 8.027569 & 97.460222 & 8.516618 \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 137.6637 & 100.65991 & 300.1400 & 339.2534 & 9.509543 & 38.516618 & 10.090003 \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 137.2059 & 100.46203 & 298.6227 & 338.5254 & 9.473873 & \(3 \quad 8.494741\) & 10.037059 \\
\hline \#\# & 1 CW & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#FL & 137.205863 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#RW & 100.462028 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CL & 298.622747 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CW & 338.525352 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1FL & 9.473873 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#lRW & 8.494741 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 10.037059 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 10.011755 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$H} \\
\hline \#\# & FL & RW & CL & CW & 1FL & IRW & 1 CL \\
\hline \#\#FL & 80.645000 & 68.389500 & 153.73350 & 191.57950 & 5.4708199 & 5.1596883 & 5.2140868 \\
\hline \#\#RW & 68.389500 & 57.996450 & 130.37085 & 162.46545 & 4.6394276 & 4.3755782 & 4.4217098 \\
\hline \#\#CL & 153.733500 & 130.370850 & 293.06205 & 365.20785 & 10.4290197 & 9.8359098 & 9.9396095 \\
\hline \#\#CW & 191.579500 & 162.465450 & 365.20785 & 455.11445 & 12.9964281 & 12.2573068 & 12.3865353 \\
\hline \#\#1FL & 5.470820 & 4.639428 & 10.42902 & 12.99643 & 0.3711311 & 0.3500245 & 0.3537148 \\
\hline \#\#1RW & 5.159688 & 4.375578 & 9.83591 & 12.25731 & 0.3500245 & 0.3301182 & 0.3335986 \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 5.214087 & 4.421710 & 9.93961 & 12.38654 & 0.3537148 & 0.3335986 & 0.3371158 \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 5.584150 & 4.735535 & 10.64506 & 13.26565 & 0.3788193 & 0.3572754 & 0.3610421 \\
\hline \#\# & 1CW & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#FL & 5.5841501 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#RW & 4.7355352 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CL & 10.6450610 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CW & 13.2656543 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1FL & 0.3788193 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1RW & 0.3572754 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 0.3610421 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 0.3866667 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$r} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#[1] 1} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$call} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#glhHmat.formula(formula = cbind(FL, RW, CL, CW, lFL, lRW, lCL,} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\# lCW) ~ sp * sex, \(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{c}(0,0,0,1)\), data = crabs)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\# 3) Create the T and H matrices associated with an analysis} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\# of the effect of the sp factor after controlling for sex} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{C <- matrix(0., 2, 4)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\(C[1,3]=C[2,4]=1\).} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{C} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\# [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\# [1,] 0 [ 0 [ 1 1 0} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\# [2,] 0 0 0} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$mat} \\
\hline \#\# & FL & RW & CL & CW & 1 FL & 1RW & 1 CL \\
\hline \#\#FL & 1964.8964 1 & 1375.92420 & 4221.6722 & 4765.1928 & 131.977728 & 113.906076 & 138.315643 \\
\hline \#\#RW & 1375.92421 & 1186.41150 & 2922.6779 & 3354.5236 & 93.560559 & 96.961292 & 97.428477 \\
\hline \#\#CL & 4221.67222 & 2922.67790 & 9246.8527 & 10401.3878 & 285.023931 & 243.479136 & 303.358489 \\
\hline \#\#CW & 4765.19283 & 3354.52360 & 10401.3878 & 11755.2667 & 322.144623 & 279.160241 & 341.776779 \\
\hline \#\#lFL & 131.9777 & 93.56056 & 285.0239 & 322.1446 & 9.088336 & 7.905989 & 9.556135 \\
\hline \#\#lRW & 113.9061 & 96.96129 & 243.4791 & 279.1602 & 7.905989 & 8.094783 & 8.273439 \\
\hline \#\#lCL & 138.3156 & 97.42848 & 303.3585 & 341.7768 & 9.556135 & 8.273439 & 10.183194 \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 137.6258 & 98.38041 & 300.6960 & 340.1509 & 9.503886 & 8.338091 & 10.097091 \\
\hline \#\# & 1 CW & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#FL & 137.625801 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#RW & 98.380414 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CL & 300.696018 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CW & 340.150874 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#lFL & 9.503886 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#lRW & 8.338091 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 10.097091 & & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#lCW & 10.050426 & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$H} \\
\hline \#\# & FL & RW & CL & CW & N 1FL & 1 1 & W \\
\hline \#\#FL & 85.205200 & 45.784800 & 176.247600 & 209.231400 & 5.7967443 & 3.458592 & \\
\hline \#\#RW & 45.784800 & 170.046900 & 18.769500 & 74.965800 & - 3.0238356 & 12.8078299 & \\
\hline \#\#CL & 176.247600 & 18.769500 & 404.216100 & 452.356800 & 12.0381364 & 1.437454 & \\
\hline \#\#CW & 209.231400 & 74.965800 & 452.356800 & 523.442500 & 14.2580360 & 5.6726025 & \\
\hline \#\#lFL & 5.796744 & 3.023836 & 12.038136 & 14.258036 & 0.3944254 & 0.2284446 & \\
\hline \#\#lRW & 3.458593 & 12.807830 & 1.437455 & 5.672603 & 3 0.2284446 & 0.9646794 & \\
\hline \#\#lCL & 5.865986 & 1.190274 & 13.158093 & 14.909948 & 0.4003070 & 0.0904199 & \\
\hline \#\#1CW & 6.004088 & 2.653921 & 12.718332 & 14.891177 & 0.4088329 & 0.2006254 & \\
\hline \#\# & 1 CL & L 1CW & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#FL & 5.86598627 & 76.004088 & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#RW & 1.19027431 & 12.653921 & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CL & 13.15809339 & 12.7183319 & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#CW & 14.90994753 & 314.891176 & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#lFL & 0.40030704 & 40.408832 & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#lRW & 0.09041999 & 90.200625 & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#1CL & 0.43030750 & \(0 \quad 0.421074\) & & & & & \\
\hline \#\#lCW & 0.42107404 & 40.425337 & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#[1] 2} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#\$call} \\
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{\#\#glhHmat.formula(formula = cbind(FL, RW, CL, CW, lFL, lRW, lCL,} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Description}
glmHmat uses a glm object (fitdglmmodel) to build an estimate of Fisher's Information (FI) matrix together with an auxiliarly rank-one positive-defenite matrix \((\mathrm{H})\), such that the positive eigenvalue of \(F I^{-1} H\) equals the value of Wald's statistic for testing the global significance of fitdglmmodel. These matrices may be used as input to the variable selection search routines anneal, genetic improve or eleaps, usign the minimization of Wald's statistic as criterion for discarding variables.

\section*{Usage}
\#\# S3 method for class 'glm'
glmHmat(fitdglmmodel,...)

\section*{Arguments}
fitdglmmodel A glm object containaing the estimates, and respective covariance matrix, of a generalized linear model.
. . . further arguments for the method.

\section*{Details}

Variable selection in the context of generalized linear models is typically based on the minimization of statistics that test the significance of excluded variables. In particular, the likelihood ratio, Wald's, Rao's and some adaptations of such statistics, are often proposed as comparison criteria for variable subsets of the same dimensionality. All these statistics are assympotically equivalent and can be converted into information criteria, like the AIC, that are also able to compare subsets of different dimensionalities (see references [1] and [2] for further details).

Among these criteria, Wald's statistic has some computational advantages because it can always be derived from the same (concerning the full model) maximum likelihood and Fisher information estimates. In particular, if \(W_{\text {allv }}\) is the value of the Wald statistic testing the significance of the full covariate vector, b and FI are coefficient and Fisher information estimates and H is an auxiliary rank-one matrix given by \(\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{FI} \% * \% \mathrm{~b} \% * \% \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{b}) \% * \% \mathrm{FI}\), it follows that the value of Wald's statistic for the excluded variables \(\left(W_{e} x c v\right)\) in a given subset is given by \(W_{\text {excv }}=W_{\text {allv }}-\) \(\operatorname{tr}\left(F I_{\text {indices }}^{-1} H_{\text {indices }}\right)\), where \(F I_{i}\) ndices and \(H_{i}\) ndices are the portions of the FI and H matrices associated with the selected variables.
glmHmat retrieves the values of the FI and H matrices from a glm object. These matrices may then be used as input to the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps.

\section*{Value}

A list with four items:
mat An estimate (FI) of Fisher's information matrix for the full model variablecoefficient estimates
H A product of the form (FI \%*\% b \% *\% t (b) \%*\% FI) where \(b\) is a vector of variablecoefficient estimates
\(r\) The rank of the H matrix. Always set to one in glmHmat.
call The function call which generated the output.

\section*{References}
[1] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, Biometrics, Vol. 34, 318-327.
[2] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1987). ISMOD: An All-Subsets Regression Program for Generalized Models I. Statistical and Computational Background, Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, Vol. 24, 117-124.

\section*{See Also}
anneal, genetic, improve, eleaps, glm.

\section*{Examples}
```

\#\#--------------------------------------------------------------------------
\#\#-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

## An example of variable selection in the context of binary response

## regression models. We consider the last 100 observations of

## the iris data set (versicolor an verginica species) and try

## to find the best variable subsets for models that take species

## as the response variable.

data(iris)
iris2sp <- iris[iris\$Species != "setosa",]

# Create the input matrices for the search routines in a logistic regression model

modelfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length +
Petal.Width,iris2sp,family=binomial)
Hmat <- glmHmat(modelfit)
Hmat

## \$mat

## Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width

## Sepal.Length 0.28340358 0.03263437 0.09552821 -0.01779067

## Sepal.Width 0.03263437 0.13941541 0.01086596 0.04759284

## Petal.Length 0.09552821 0.01086596 0.08847655 -0.01853044

## Petal.Width -0.01779067 0.04759284 -0.01853044 0.03258730

## \$H

## Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width

```
```


## Sepal.Length 0.11643732 0.013349227 -0.063924853-0.050181400

## Sepal.Width 0.01334923 0.001530453-0.007328813-0.005753163

## Petal.Length -0.06392485 -0.007328813 0.035095164 0.027549918

## Petal.Width -0.05018140-0.005753163 0.027549918 0.021626854

## \$r

## [1] 1

## \$call

## glmHmat(fitdglmmodel = modelfit)

# Search for the 3 best variable subsets of each dimensionality by an exausitve search

eleaps(Hmat$mat,H=Hmat$H,r=1,criterion="Wald",nsol=3)

## \$subsets

## , , Card. }

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 4 0 0

## Solution 2 1 0 0

## Solution 3 3 0 0

## , , Card.2

| \#\# | Var. 1 | Var. 2 Var. 3 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#\# Solution 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| \#\# Solution 2 | 3 | 4 | 0 |
| \#\# Solution 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 |

## , , Card. }

| \#\# | Var. 1 | Var. 2 | Var. 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# Solution 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| \#\# Solution 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| \#\# Solution 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |

## \$values

## card.1 card. 2 card. }

## Solution 1 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121

## Solution 2 5.147360 3.952538 2.224335

## Solution 3 5.161553 3.972410 3.522879

## \$bestvalues

## Card. }1\mathrm{ Card. 2 Card. }

## 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. }2\mathrm{ Var. }

## Card.1 4 0 0

## Card.2 1 3 0

## Card. }30

```
```


## \$call

## eleaps(mat = Hmat$mat, nsol = 3, criterion = "Wald", H = Hmat$H,

## r = 1)

## It should be stressed that, unlike other criteria in the

## subselect package, the Wald criterion is not bounded above by

## 1 and is a decreasing function of subset quality, so that the

## 3-variable subsets do, in fact, perform better than their smaller-sized

## counterparts.

## >

## > proc.time()

## [1] 0.680 0.064 0.736 0.000 0.000

```
improve Restricted Local Improvement search for an optimal \(k\)-variable subset

\section*{Description}

Given a set of variables, a Restricted Local Improvement algorithm seeks a k-variable subset which is optimal, as a surrogate for the whole set, with respect to a given criterion.

\section*{Usage}
improve( mat, kmin, kmax = kmin, nsol = 1, exclude = NULL, include = NULL, setseed = FALSE, criterion = "default", pcindices="first_k", initialsol = NULL, force = FALSE, H=NULL, r=0, tolval=1000*. Machine\$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps)

\section*{Arguments}
mat a covariance/correlation, information or sums of squares and products matrix of the variables from which the k-subset is to be selected. See the Details section below.
kmin the cardinality of the smallest subset that is wanted.
kmax the cardinality of the largest subset that is wanted.
nsol the number of different subsets (runs of the algorithm) wanted.
exclude a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly excluded from the subsets.
include a vector of variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) that are to be forcibly included from the subsets.
setseed logical variable indicating whether to fix an initial seed for the random number generator, which will be re-used in future calls to this function whenever setseed is again set to TRUE.
criterion Character variable, which indicates which criterion is to be used in judging the quality of the subsets. Currently, the "Rm", "Rv", "Gcd", "Tau2", "Xi2", "Zeta2", "ccr12" and "Wald" criteria are supported (see the Details section, the References and the links rm. coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2. coef and ccr12. coef for further details). The default criterion is "Rm" if parameter \(r\) is zero (exploratory and PCA problems), "Wald" if \(r\) is equal to one and mat has a "FisherI" attribute set to TRUE (generalized linear models), and "Tau2" otherwise (multivariate linear model framework).
pcindices either a vector of ranks of Principal Components that are to be used for comparison with the k-variable subsets (for the Gcd criterion only, see gcd.coef) or the default text first_k. The latter will associate PCs 1 to \(k\) with each cardinality \(k\) that has been requested by the user.
initialsol vector, matrix or 3-d array of initial solutions for the restricted local improvement search. If a single cardinality is required, initialsol may be a vector of length \(k\) (accepted even if nsol \(>1\), in which case it is used as the initial solution for all nsol final solutions that are requested with a warning that the same initial solution necessarily produces the same final solution); a \(1 \times k\) matrix (as produced by the \$bestsets output value of the algorithm functions anneal, genetic, or improve), or a \(1 \times k \times 1\) array (as produced by the \(\$\) subsets output value), in which case it will be treated as the above k-vector; or an nsol xk matrix, or nsol x k x 13 -d array, in which case each row (dimension 1) will be used as the initial solution for each of the nsol final solutions requested. If more than one cardinality is requested, initialsol can be a length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix (as produced by the \$bestsets option of the algorithm functions) (even if nsol \(>1\), in which case each row will be replicated to produced the initial solution for all nsol final solutions requested in each cardinality, with a warning that a single initial solution necessarily produces identical final solutions), or a nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-d array (as produced by the \$subsets output option), in which case each row (dimension 1) is interpreted as a different initial solution.
If the exclude and/or include options are used, initialsol must also respect those requirements.
force a logical variable indicating whether, for large data sets (currently \(p>400\) ) the algorithm should proceed anyways, regardless of possible memory problems which may crash the R session.
Effect description matrix. Not used with the Rm, Rv or Gcd criteria, hence the NULL default value. See the Details section below.
\(r\)
tolval
tolsym the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects \((H)\) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than
this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes \((\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{A})) / 2\).

\section*{Details}

An initial k -variable subset (for k ranging from kmin to kmax ) of a full set of p variables is randomly selected and the variables not belonging to this subset are placed in a queue. The possibility of replacing a variable in the current \(k\)-subset with a variable from the queue is then explored. More precisely, a variable is selected, removed from the queue, and the k values of the criterion which would result from swapping this selected variable with each variable in the current subset are computed. If the best of these values improves the current criterion value, the current subset is updated accordingly. In this case, the variable which leaves the subset is added to the queue, but only if it has not previously been in the queue (i.e., no variable can enter the queue twice). The algorithm proceeds until the queue is emptied.

The user may force variables to be included and/or excluded from the k-subsets, and may specify initial solutions.
For each cardinality \(k\), the total number of calls to the procedure which computes the criterion values is \(\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{nsol} \times \mathrm{kxp})\). These calls are the dominant computational effort in each iteration of the algorithm.
In order to improve computation times, the bulk of computations are carried out in a Fortran routine. Further details about the algorithm can be found in Reference 1 and in the comments to the Fortran code (in the src subdirectory for this package). For datasets with a very large number of variables (currently \(\mathrm{p}>400\) ), it is necessary to set the force argument to TRUE for the function to run, but this may cause a session crash if there is not enough memory available.
The function checks for ill-conditioning of the input matrix (specifically, it checks whether the ratio of the input matrix's smallest and largest eigenvalues is less than tolval). For an ill-conditioned input matrix, the search is restricted to its well-conditioned subsets. The function trim.matrix may be used to obtain a well-conditioned input matrix.

In a general descriptive (Principal Components Analysis) setting, the three criteria Rm, Rv and Gcd can be used to select good k-variable subsets. Arguments H and r are not used in this context. See references [1] and [2] and the Examples for a more detailed discussion.
In the setting of a multivariate linear model, \(X=A \Psi+U\), criteria Ccr12, Tau2, Xi2 and Zeta2 can be used to select subsets according to their contribution to an effect characterized by the violation of a reference hypothesis, \(C \Psi=0\) (see reference [3] for further details). In this setting, arguments mat and H should be set respectively to the usual Total (Hypothesis + Error) and Hypothesis, Sum of Squares and Cross-Products (SSCP) matrices. Argument r should be set to the expected rank of H. Currently, for reasons of computational efficiency, criterion Ccr12 is available only when \(r \leq\) 3. Particular cases in this setting include Linear Discriminant Analyis (LDA), Linear Regression Analysis (LRA), Canonical Correlation Analysis (CCA) with one set of variables fixed and several extensions of these and other classical multivariate methodologies.
In the setting of a generalized linear model, criterion Wald can be used to select subsets according to the (lack of) significance of the discarded variables, as measured by the respective Wald's statistic (see reference [4] for further details). In this setting arguments mat and \(H\) should be set respectively to FI and \(\mathrm{FI} \% * \% \mathrm{~b} \% * \% \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{b}) \% * \% \mathrm{FI}\), where b is a column vector of variable coefficient estimates and FI is an estimate of the corresponding Fisher information matrix.

The auxiliary functions lmHmat, ldaHmat glhHmat and glmHmat are provided to automatically create the matrices mat and H in all the cases considered.

\section*{Value}

A list with five items:
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
subsets & \begin{tabular}{l} 
An nsol x kmax x length(kmin:kmax) 3-dimensional array, giving for each car- \\
dinality (dimension 3) and each solution (dimension 1) the list of variables (ref- \\
erenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the subset (dimension \\
2). (For cardinalities smaller than kmax, the extra final positions are set to zero).
\end{tabular} \\
values & \begin{tabular}{l} 
An nsol x length(kmin:kmax) matrix, giving for each cardinality (columns), the \\
criterion values of the nsol (rows) solutions obtained.
\end{tabular} \\
bestvalues & \begin{tabular}{l} 
A length(kmin:kmax) vector giving the best values of the criterion obtained for \\
each cardinality.
\end{tabular} \\
bestsets & \begin{tabular}{l} 
A length(kmin:kmax) x kmax matrix, giving, for each cardinality (rows), the \\
variables (referenced by their row/column numbers in matrix mat) in the best \\
k-subset that was found.
\end{tabular} \\
call & \begin{tabular}{l} 
The function call which generated the output.
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

\section*{References}
[1] Cadima, J., Cerdeira, J. Orestes and Minhoto, M. (2004) Computational aspects of algorithms for variable selection in the context of principal components. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 47, 225-236.
[2]Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001). Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces, Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics, Vol. 6, 62-79.
[3]Duarte Silva, A.P. (2001) Efficient Variable Screening for Multivariate Analysis, Journal of Multivariate Analysis, Vol. 76, 35-62.
[4] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, Biometrics, Vol. 34, 318-327.

\section*{See Also}
rm.coef, rv.coef, gcd.coef, tau2.coef, xi2.coef, zeta2.coef, ccr12.coef, genetic, anneal, eleaps, trim.matrix, lmHmat, ldaHmat, glhHmat, glmHmat.

\section*{Examples}
```


## ---------------------------------------------------------------------

## 

## 1) For illustration of use, a small data set with very few iterations

## of the algorithm.

## Subsets of 2 and of 3 variables are sought using the RM criterion.

## 

```
```

data(swiss)
improve(cor(swiss), 2, 3,nsol=4,criterion="GCD")

## \$subsets

## , , Card. 2

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 3 6 0

## Solution 2 3 6 0

## Solution 3

## Solution 4 3 6 0

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 4 5 6

## Solution 2 4 5 5

## Solution 3 4 5 5

## Solution 4 4 5 6

## 

## 

## \$values

## card.2 card.3

## Solution 1 0.8487026 0.925372

## Solution 2 0.8487026 0.925372

## Solution 3 0.8487026 0.925372

## Solution 4 0.8487026 0.925372

## 

## \$bestvalues

## Card.2 Card. }

## 0.8487026 0.9253720

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. }2\mathrm{ Var. }

## Card.2 3 6 0

## Card.3 4 5 5

## 

\#\#\$call
\#\#improve(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, criterion = "GCD")

## ------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 

## 2) Forcing the inclusion of variable 1 in the subset

## 

improve(cor(swiss),2,3,nsol=4,criterion="GCD",include=c(1))

## \$subsets

## , , Card. 2

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 1 0 0

```
```

| \#\# Solution 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\# Solution 3 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| \#\# Solution 4 | 1 | 6 | 0 |

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 1 5 6

## Solution 2 1 5 5

\#\# Solution $3 \quad 1 \quad 5 \quad 6$

# Solution 4 1 5 6

## 

## 

## \$values

\#\# card. 2 card. 3

## Solution 1 0.7284477 0.8048528

## Solution 2 0.7284477 0.8048528

## Solution 3 0.7284477 0.8048528

## Solution 4 0.7284477 0.8048528

## 

## \$bestvalues

    Card.2 Card. }
    
## 0.7284477 0.8048528

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. 1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Card.2 1 6 0

## Card.3 1 5 6

## 

\#\#\$call
\#\#improve(cor(swiss), 2, 3, nsol = 4, criterion = "GCD", include = c(1))

## ---------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 3) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple Linear

## Regression. Variable 5 (average car price) in the Cars93 MASS library

## data set is regressed on 13 other variables. Three variable subsets of

## cardinalities 4, 5 and 6 are requested, using the "XI_2" criterion which,

## in the case of a Linear Regression, is merely the standard Coefficient of

## Determination, R^2 (as are the other three criteria for the

## multivariate linear hypothesis, "TAU_2", "CCR1_2" and "ZETA_2").

library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,5])
names(Cars93[,5,drop=FALSE])

## [1] "Price"

colnames(CarsHmat\$mat)

```
"MPG.highway"
"RPM"
"EngineSize"
"Rev.per.mile"
```


## [1] "MPG.city"

```
## [1] "MPG.city"
## [4] "Horsepower"
```


## [4] "Horsepower"

```
```


## [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" "Width"

improve(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=1, crit="xi2", nsol=3)

## \$subsets

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

\#\# Solution $1 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 11 \quad 13 \quad 0 \quad 0$

```

```

| $\# \#$ | Solution 3 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

| $\# \#$ | Solution 1 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

\#\# Solution $2 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 10 \quad 11 \quad 12 \quad 0$

## Solution 3

## 

## , , Card.6

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. }2\mathrm{ Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

\#\# Solution $1 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \quad 10 \quad 11 \quad 12$
\#\# Solution $2 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 8 \quad 10 \quad 11 \quad 12$

| \#\# Solution 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## 

## 

## \$values

## card.4 card.5 card.6

## Solution 1 0.6880773 0.6899182 0.7270257

## Solution 2 0.6880773 0.7241457 0.7271056

## Solution 3 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.7310150

## 

## \$bestvalues

## Card.4 Card.5 Card.6

## 0.7143794 0.7241457 0.7310150

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Card.4 4 5 5 10 11 0

## Card.5 4

## Card.6 4

## 

## \$call

## improve(mat = CarsHmat\$mat, kmin = 4, kmax = 6, nsol = 3, criterion = "xi2",

## H = CarsHmat\$H,r = 1)

## -----------------------------------------------------------------------------

```
```


## 4) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.

## We consider the Iris data and three groups, defined by species (setosa,

## versicolor and virginica). The goal is to select the 2- and 3-variable

## subsets that are optimal for the linear discrimination (as measured

## by the "TAU_2" criterion).

data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
improve(irisHmat$mat,kmin=2,kmax=3,H=irisHmat\$H,r=2, crit="ccr12")

## \$subsets

## , , Card.2

## 

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 2 0 0

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 2 3 4

## 

## 

## \$values

## card.2 card.3

## Solution 1 0.8079476 0.8419635

## 

## \$bestvalues

    Card. }2\mathrm{ Card. }
    
## 0.8079476 0.8419635

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

## Card.2 2 3 0

## Card.3 2 3 4

## 

## \$call

## improve(mat = irisHmat\$mat, kmin = 2, kmax = 3,

## criterion = "tau2", H = irisHmat\$H, r = 2)

## 

## --------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 5) An example of subset selection in the context of a Canonical

## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93

## MASS library data set are compared. The goal is to select 4- to

## 6-variable subsets of the 13-variable 'X' group that are optimal in

## terms of preserving the canonical correlations, according to the

## "ZETA_2" criterion (Warning: the 3-variable 'Y' group is kept

## intact; subset selection is carried out in the 'X'

## group only). The 'tolsym' parameter is used to relax the symmetry

## requirements on the effect matrix H which, for numerical reasons,

## is slightly asymmetric. Since corresponding off-diagonal entries of

```
```


## matrix H are different, but by less than tolsym, H is replaced

## by its symmetric part: (H+t(H))/2.

library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat <- lmHmat(Cars93[,c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[,4:6])
names(Cars93[,4:6])

## [1] "Min.Price" "Price" "Max.Price"

colnames(CarsHmat\$mat)

| \#\# [1] "MPG.city" | "MPG.highway" | "EngineSize" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| \#\# [4] "Horsepower" | "RPM" | "Rev.per.mile" |
| \#\# [7] "Fuel.tank.capacity" "Passengers" | "Length" |  |
| \#\# [10] "Wheelbase" | "Width" | "Turn.circle" |
| \#\# [13] "Weight" |  |  |

improve(CarsHmat$mat, kmin=4, kmax=6, H=CarsHmat$H, r=3, crit="zeta2", tolsym=1e-9)

## \$subsets

## , , Card.4

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Solution 1

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. }2\mathrm{ Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Solution 1 3 4 4 9 11 13 13 0

## 

## , , Card. }

## 

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Solution 1 3 4 4 5 5 0

## 

## 

## \$values

## card.4 card.5 card.6

## Solution 1 0.4626035 0.4875495 0.5071096

## 

## \$bestvalues

## Card.4 Card.5 Card.6

## 0.4626035 0.4875495 0.5071096

## 

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }3\mathrm{ Var. }4\mathrm{ Var. }5\mathrm{ Var. }

## Card.4

## Card.5 1-3 4

## Card.6 3

## 

## \$call

```
```


## improve(mat = CarsHmat\$mat, kmin = 4, kmax = 6, criterion = "zeta2",

## H = CarsHmat\$H, r = 3, tolsym = 1e-09)

## 

## Warning message:

## 

## The effect description matrix (H) supplied was slightly asymmetric:

## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.63797880709171e-12.

## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).

## The H matrix has been replaced by its symmetric part.

## in: validnovcrit(mat, criterion, H, r, p, tolval, tolsym)

## ------------------------------------------------------------------------

data(iris)
iris2sp <- iris[iris$Species != "setosa",]
logrfit <- glm(Species ~ Sepal.Length + Sepal.Width + Petal.Length + Petal.Width,
iris2sp,family=binomial)
Hmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)
improve(Hmat$mat, 1, 3,H=Hmat\$H,r=1, criterion="Wald")

## \$subsets

## , , Card.1

## 

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## , , Card.2

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 1 0

## , , Card. }

## Var.1 Var. 2 Var. }

## Solution 1 2 3 4

## \$values

## card. 1 card. 2 card. }

## Solution 1 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121

## \$bestvalues

## Card. }1\mathrm{ Card. 2 Card. }

## 4.894554 3.522885 1.060121

## \$bestsets

## Var. }1\mathrm{ Var. 2 Var. }

```
```


## Card. 1 4 0 0

## Card.2 1 3 0

## Card. 3 2 3 4

## \$call

## improve(mat = Hmat\$mat, kmin = 1, kmax = 3, criterion = "Wald",

## H = Hmat\$H, r = 1)

## ----------------------------------------------------------------------------

## It should be stressed that, unlike other criteria in the

## subselect package, the Wald criterion is not bounded above by

## 1 and is a decreasing function of subset quality, so that the

## 3-variable subsets do, in fact, perform better than their smaller-sized

## counterparts.

```

Total and Between-Group Deviation Matrices in Linear Discriminant Analysis

\section*{Description}

Computes total and between-group matrices of Sums of Squares and Cross-Product (SSCP) deviations in linear discriminant analysis. These matrices may be used as input to the variable selection search routines anneal, genetic improve or eleaps.

\section*{Usage}
```


## Default S3 method:

ldaHmat(x,grouping,...)

## S3 method for class 'data.frame'

ldaHmat(x,grouping,...)

## S3 method for class 'formula'

ldaHmat(formula,data=NULL,...)

```

\section*{Arguments}
x
grouping
formula
data

A matrix or data frame containing the discriminators for which the SSCP matrix is to be computed.
A factor specifying the class for each observation.
A formula of the form 'groups \(\sim x 1+x 2+\ldots\) ' That is, the response is the grouping factor and the right hand side specifies the (non-factor) discriminators. Data frame from which variables specified in 'formula' are preferentially to be taken.
... further arguments for the method.

\section*{Value}

A list with four items:
mat The total SSCP matrix
H The between-groups SSCP matrix
\(r\)
The expected rank of the H matrix which equals the minimum between the number of discriminators and the number of groups minus one. The true rank of H can be different from \(r\) if the discriminators are linearly dependent.
call The function call which generated the output.

\section*{See Also}
anneal, genetic, improve, eleaps, lda.

\section*{Examples}
```

\#\#-----------------------------------------------------------------------------

## An example with a very small data set. We consider the Iris data

## and three groups, defined by species (setosa, versicolor and

## virginica).

data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
irisHmat
##$mat

## Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width

\#\#Sepal.Length 102.168333 -6.322667 189.8730 76.92433
\#\#Sepal.Width -6.322667 28.306933 -49.1188 -18.12427
\#\#Petal.Length 189.873000 -49.118800 464.3254 193.04580
\#\#Petal.Width 76.924333 -18.124267 193.0458 86.56993
\#\#\$H

## Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width

\#\#Sepal.Length 63.21213 -19.95267 165.2484 71.27933
\#\#Sepal.Width -19.95267 11.34493 -57.2396 -22.93267
\#\#Petal.Length 165.24840 -57.23960 437.1028 186.77400
\#\#Petal.Width 71.27933 -22.93267 186.7740 80.41333
\#\#$r
##[1] 2
##$call
\#\#ldaHmat.data.frame(x = iris[1:4], grouping = iris\$Species)

```
lmHat
Total and Effect Deviation Matrices for Linear Regression and Canonical Correlation Analysis

\section*{Description}

Computes total an effect matrices of Sums of Squares and Cross-Product (SSCP) deviations, divided by a normalizing constant, in linear regression or canonical correlation analysis. These matrices may be used as input to the variable selection search routines anneal, genetic improve or eleaps.

\section*{Usage}
```


## Default S3 method:

lmHmat(x,y,...)

## S3 method for class 'data.frame'

lmHmat(x,y,...)

## S3 method for class 'formula'

lmHmat(formula,data=NULL,...)

## S3 method for class 'lm'

lmHmat(fitdlmmodel,...)

```

\section*{Arguments}
\(x \quad\) A matrix or data frame containing the variables for which the SSCP matrix is to be computed.
\(y \quad\) A matrix or data frame containing the set of fixed variables, the association of \(x\) is to be measured with.
formula A formula of the form ' \(y \sim x 1+x 2+\ldots\). That is, the response is the set of fixed variables and the right hand side specifies the variables whose subsets are to be compared.
data Data frame from which variables specified in 'formula' are preferentially to be taken.
fitdlmmodel An object of class lm, as produced by R's lm function.
... further arguments for the method.

\section*{Details}

Let \(x\) and \(y\) be two different groups of linearly independent variables observed on the same set of data units. It is well known that the association between x and y can be measured by their squared canonical correlations which may be found as the positive eigenvalues of certain matrix products. In particular, if \(T_{x}\) and \(H_{x / y}\) denote SSCP matrices of deviations from the mean, respectively for
the original x variables \(\left(T_{x}\right)\) and for their orthogonal projections onto the space spanned by the y 's ( \(H_{x / y}\) ), then the positive eigenvalues of \(T_{x}^{-1} H_{x / y}\) equal the squared correlations between x and y. Alternatively these correlations could also be found from \(T_{y}^{-1} H_{y / x}\) but here, assuming a goal of comparing x's subsets for a given fixed set of y's, we will focus on the former product. lmHmat computes a scaled version of \(T_{x}\) and \(H_{x / y}\) such that \(T_{x}\) is converted into a covariance matrix. These matrices can be used as input to the search routines anneal, genetic improve and eleaps that try to select \(x\) subsets based on several functions of their squared correlations with \(y\). We note that when there is only one variable in the \(y\) set, this is equivalent to selecting predictors for linear regression based on the traditional coefficient of determination.

\section*{Value}

A list with four items:
mat \(\quad\) The total SSCP matrix divided by nrow(x)-1
H The effect SSCP matrix divided by nrow(x)-1
\(r \quad\) The expected rank of the H matrix which, under the assumption of linear independence, equals the minimum between the number of variables in the \(x\) and y sets. The true rank of H can be different from \(r\) if the linear independence condition fails.
call The function call which generated the output.

\section*{See Also}
anneal, genetic, improve, eleaps, lm.

\section*{Examples}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
\#\# 1) An example of subset selection in the context of Multiple \\
\#\# Linear Regression. Variable 5 (average price) in the Cars93 MASS \\
\#\# library is to be regressed on 13 other variables. The goal is to \\
\#\# compare subsets of these 13 variables according to their ability \\
\#\# to predict car prices.
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{```
library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat1 <- lmHmat(Cars93[c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[5])
CarsHmat1
```} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\#\#\$mat} \\
\hline \#\# & MPG.city & MPG.highway & EngineSize & Horsepower \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & 31.582281 & 28.283427 & -4.1391655 & -1.979799e+02 \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & 28.283427 & 28.427302 & -3.4667602 & \(-1.728655 e+02\) \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & -4.139165 & -3.466760 & 1.0761220 & \(3.977700 \mathrm{e}+01\) \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & -197.979897 & -172.865475 & 39.7769986 & \(2.743079 \mathrm{e}+03\) \\
\hline \#\#RPM & 1217.478962 & 997.335203 & -339.1637447 & \(1.146634 \mathrm{e}+03\) \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & 1941.631019 & 1555.243104 & -424.4118163 & \(-1.561070 \mathrm{e}+04\) \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & -14.985799 & -13.743654 & 2.5830820 & 1.222536e+02 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \#\#Passengers & -2.433964 & -2.583567 & \(0.4017181 \quad 5.04\) & \(5.040907 \mathrm{e}-01\) \\
\hline \#\#Length & -54.673329 & -42.267765 & 11.81970554 .21 & 12964e+02 \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & -25.567087 & -22.375760 & 5.18194251 .73 & 38928e+02 \\
\hline \#\#Width & -15.302127 & -12.902291 & 3.39922861 .27 & 75437e+02 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & -12.071061 & -10.202782 & \(2.6029453 \quad 9.47\) & 74252e+01 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & -2795.094670 & -2549.654628 & 517.13271392 .28 & 82550e+04 \\
\hline \#\# & RPM & Rev.per.mile F & Fuel.tank.capacity & \(y\) Passengers \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & 1217.4790 & 1941.6310 & -14.985799 & \(9-2.4339645\) \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & 997.3352 & 1555.2431 & 1 -13.743654 & - -2.5835671 \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & -339.1637 & -424.4118 & - 2.583082 & 20.4017181 \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & 1146.6339 & -15610.7036 & 122.253612 & 20.5040907 \\
\hline \#\#RPM & 356088.7097 & 146589.3233 & -652.324684 & -289.6213184 \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & 146589.3233 & 246518.7295 & \(5-992.747020\) & - -172.8003740 \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & -652.3247 & -992.7470 & 10.754271 & 11.6085203 \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & -289.6213 & -172.8004 & 1.608520 & \(0 \quad 1.0794764\) \\
\hline \#\#Length & -3844.9158 & -5004.3139 & 33.063850 & \(0 \quad 7.3626695\) \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & -1903.7693 & -2156. 2932 & 16.944811 & 14.9177186 \\
\hline \#\#Width & -1217.0933 & -1464.3712 & 9.898282 & 21.9237962 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & -972.5806 & -1173.3281 & 7.096283 & \(3 \quad 1.5037401\) \\
\hline \#\#Weight & -150636.1325 & -215349.6757 & 71729.468268 & 8339.0953717 \\
\hline \#\# & Length & Wheelbase & Width Turn. & . circle \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & -54.67333 & -25.567087 & -15.302127 -12. & . 071061 \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & -42.26777 & -22.375760 & -12.902291-10. & . 202782 \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & 11.81971 & 5.181942 & 3.3992292. & . 602945 \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & 421.29640 & 173.892824 & 127.54371294. & . 742520 \\
\hline \#\#RPM & -3844.91585- & -1903.769285-1 & -1217.093268 -972. & . 580645 \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & -5004.31393 & -2156.293245-1 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-1464.371201-1173.328074} \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & 33.06385 & 16.944811 & 9.8982827. & . 096283 \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & 7.36267 & 4.917719 & 1.9237961. & . 503740 \\
\hline \#\#Length & 213.22955 & 82.021973 & 45.36792934. & . 780622 \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & 82.02197 & 46.507948 & 20.80306215. & . 899836 \\
\hline \#\#Width & 45.36793 & 20.803062 & 14.280739 9. & . 962015 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & 34.78062 & 15.899836 & 9.96201510. & . 389434 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & 6945.16129 & 3507.5490881 & 1950.4715991479. & . 365358 \\
\hline \#\# & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Weight} & & \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-2795.0947} & & \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-2549.6546} & & \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{517.1327} & & \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{22825.5049} & & \\
\hline \#\#RPM & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-150636.1325} & & \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-215349.6757} & & \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1729.4683} & & \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{339.0954} & & \\
\hline \#\#Length & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{6945.1613} & & \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3507.5491} & & \\
\hline \#\#Width & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1950.4716} & & \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1479.3654} & & \\
\hline \#\#Weight & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{347977.8927} & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\#\#\$H} \\
\hline \#\# & MPG.city & \(y\) MPG.highway & way EngineSize & Horsepower \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & 11.1644681 & 19.9885440 & \(40-2.07077758\) - & -137.938111 \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & 9.9885440 & 08.9364770 & \(70-1.85266802-1\) & -123.409453 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \#\#EngineSize & -2.0707776 & -1.8526680 & \(0 \quad 0.38408635\) & 25.584662 \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & -137.9381108 & -123.4094525 & \(5 \quad 25.5846624617\) & 704.239046 \\
\hline \#\#RPM & 9.8795182 & 8.8389345 & \(5-1.83244599-1\) & 122.062428 \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & 707.3855707 & 632.8785101 & \(1-131.20537141-87\) & 739.818920 \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & -6.7879209 & -6.0729671 & 11.25901874 & 83.865437 \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & -0.2008651 & -0.1797085 & 50.03725632 & 2.481709 \\
\hline \#\#Length & -24.5727044 & -21.9845261 & 14.55772770 303 & 303.598201 \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & -11.4130722 & -10.2109633 & 3 2.11688849 1 & 141.009639 \\
\hline \#\#Width & -5.7581866 & -5.1516920 & \(0 \quad 1.06802435\) & 71.142967 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & -4.2281864 & -3.7828426 & \(6 \quad 0.78424099\) & 52.239662 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & -1275.6139645 & -1141. 2569026 & 6 236.59996884 157 & 760.337110 \\
\hline \#\# & RPM & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Rev.per.mile Fuel.tank.capacity} & Passengers \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & 9.879518 & 707.38557 & -6.7879209 & -0.200865141 \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & 8.838935 & 632.87851 & -6.0729671 & -0.179708544 \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & -1.832446 & -131.20537 & 1.2590187 & 0.037256323 \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & -122.062428 & -8739.81892 & 83.8654369 & 2.481708752 \\
\hline \#\#RPM & 8.742457 & 625.97059 & -6.0066801 & -0.177747010 \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & 625.970586 & 44820.25860 & -430.0856347 & -12.726903044 \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & -6.006680 & -430.08563 & 4.1270099 & 0.122124645 \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & -0.177747 & -12.72690 & 0.1221246 & 0.003613858 \\
\hline \#\#Length & -21.744563 & -1556.93728 & 14.9400378 & 0.442098962 \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & -10.099510 & -723.13724 & 6.9390706 & 0.205337894 \\
\hline \#\#Width & -5.095461 & -364.84122 & 3.5009384 & 0.103598215 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & -3.741553 & -267.89973 & 2.5707087 & 0.076071269 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & -1128.799984 & -80823.45772 & 775.5646486 & 22.950164550 \\
\hline \#\# & Length & Wheelbase & Width Turn & n.circle \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & -24.572704 & -11.4130722 & -5.7581866 -4.2281 & 22818636 \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & -21.984526 & -10.2109633 & -5.1516920 -3.7 & 78284262 \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & 4.557728 & 2.1168885 & 1.0680243 0.78 & 78424099 \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & 303.598201 & 141.0096393 & 71.142966952 .23 & 23966202 \\
\hline \#\#RPM & -21.744563 & -10.0995098 & -5.0954608 -3.7 & 74155256 \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & -1556.937281 & -723.1372362- & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-364.8412174-267.89973369} \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & 14.940038 & 6.9390706 & 3.50093842 .57 & 57070866 \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & 0.442099 & 0.2053379 & 0.10359820 .07 & . 07607127 \\
\hline \#\#Length & 54.083885 & 25.1198756 & 12.6736193 9.306 & 30612843 \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & 25.119876 & 11.6672121 & 5.8864067 4.322 & 32233724 \\
\hline \#\#Width & 12.673619 & 5.8864067 & 2.96984262 .18 & 18072961 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & 9.306128 & 4.3223372 & 2.18072961 .60 & 60129079 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & 2807.593227 & 1304.0186214 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{657.9107222483 .09812289} \\
\hline \#\# & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Weight} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{} \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-1275.61396} & & \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-1141.25690} & & \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{236.59997} & & \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{15760.33711} & & \\
\hline \#\#RPM & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-1128.79998} & & \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-80823.45772} & & \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{775.56465} & & \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{22.95016} & & \\
\hline \#\#Length & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2807.59323} & & \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1304.01862} & & \\
\hline \#\#Width & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{657.91072} & & \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{483.09812} & & \\
\hline \#\#Weight & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{145747.29199} & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

\#\#$r
##[1] 1
##$call
\#\#lmHmat.data.frame(x = Cars93[c(7:8, 12:15, 17:22, 25)], y = Cars93[5])

## 2) An example of subset selection in the context of Canonical

## Correlation Analysis. Two groups of variables within the Cars93

## MASS library data set are compared. The first group (variables 4th,

## 5th and 6th) relates to price, while the second group is formed by 13

## variables that describe several technical car specifications. The

## goal is to select subsets of the second group that are optimal in

## terms of preserving the canonical correlations with the variables in

## the first group (Warning: the 3-variable "response" group is kept

## intact; subset selection is to be performed only in the 13-variable

## group).

library(MASS)
data(Cars93)
CarsHmat2 <- lmHmat(Cars93[c(7:8,12:15,17:22,25)],Cars93[4:6])
names(Cars93[4:6])

## [1] "Min.Price" "Price" "Max.Price"

```

CarsHmat2
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
\#\#\$mat & & & & \\
\#\# & MPG.city & MPG.highway & EngineSize & Horsepower \\
\#\#MPG.city & 31.582281 & 28.283427 & -4.1391655 & \(-1.979799 \mathrm{e}+02\) \\
\#\#MPG.highway & 28.283427 & 28.427302 & -3.4667602 & \(-1.728655 \mathrm{e}+02\) \\
\#\#EngineSize & -4.139165 & -3.466760 & 1.0761220 & \(3.977700 \mathrm{e}+01\) \\
\#\#Horsepower & -197.979897 & -172.865475 & 39.7769986 & \(2.743079 \mathrm{e}+03\) \\
\#\#RPM & 1217.478962 & 997.335203 & -339.1637447 & \(1.146634 \mathrm{e}+03\) \\
\#\#Rev.per.mile & 1941.631019 & 1555.243104 & -424.4118163 & \(-1.561070 \mathrm{e}+04\) \\
\#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & -14.985799 & -13.743654 & 2.5830820 & \(1.222536 \mathrm{e}+02\) \\
\#\#Passengers & -2.433964 & -2.583567 & 0.4017181 & \(5.040907 \mathrm{e}-01\) \\
\#\#Length & -54.673329 & -42.267765 & 11.8197055 & \(4.212964 \mathrm{e}+02\) \\
\#\#Wheelbase & -25.567087 & -22.375760 & 5.1819425 & \(1.738928 \mathrm{e}+02\) \\
\#\#Width & -15.302127 & -12.902291 & 3.3992286 & \(1.275437 \mathrm{e}+02\) \\
\#\#Turn.circle & -12.071061 & -10.202782 & 2.6029453 & \(9.474252 \mathrm{e}+01\) \\
\#\#Weight & -2795.094670 & -2549.654628 & 517.1327139 & \(2.282550 \mathrm{e}+04\) \\
\#\# & RPM & Rev.per.mile & Fuel.tank.capacity & Passengers \\
\#\#MPG.city & 1217.4790 & 1941.6310 & -14.985799 & -2.4339645 \\
\#\#MPG.highway & 997.3352 & 1555.2431 & -13.743654 & -2.5835671 \\
\#\#EngineSize & -339.1637 & -424.4118 & 2.583082 & 0.4017181 \\
\#\#Horsepower & 1146.6339 & -15610.7036 & 122.253612 & 0.5040907 \\
\#\#RPM & 356088.7097 & 146589.3233 & -652.324684 & -289.6213184 \\
\#\#Rev.per.mile & 146589.3233 & 246518.7295 & -992.747020 & -172.8003740 \\
\#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & -652.3247 & -992.7470 & 10.754271 & 1.6085203 \\
\#\#Passengers & -289.6213 & -172.8004 & 1.608520 & 1.0794764 \\
\#\#Length & -3844.9158 & -5004.3139 & 33.063850 & 7.3626695
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & -1903.7693 & -2156. 2932 & 16.944811 & 4.9177186 \\
\hline \#\#Width & -1217.0933 & -1464.3712 & 9.898282 & 1.9237962 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & -972.5806 & -1173.3281 & 7.096283 & 1.5037401 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & -150636.1325 & -215349.6757 & 1729.468268 & 339.0953717 \\
\hline \#\# & Length & Wheelbase & Width Turn.ci & Turn.circle \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & -54.67333 & -25.567087 & -15.302127 -12.07 & 7 -12.071061 \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & -42.26777 & -22.375760 & -12.902291-10.2027 & \(1-10.202782\) \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & 11.81971 & 5.181942 & \(3.399229 \quad 2.6029\) & 92.602945 \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & 421.29640 & 173.892824 & 127.54371294 .7 & 294.742520 \\
\hline \#\#RPM & -3844.91585 & -1903.769285-1 & -1217.093268 -972.58 & \(8-972.580645\) \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & -5004.31393- & -2156.293245-1 & -1464.371201-1173.3280 & -1173.328074 \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & 33.06385 & 16.944811 & \(9.898282 \quad 7.09\) & 7.096283 \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & 7.36267 & 4.917719 & 1.9237961 .5037 & 1.503740 \\
\hline \#\#Length & 213.22955 & 82.021973 & \(45.367929 \quad 34.78\) & 34.780622 \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & 82.02197 & 46.507948 & 20.80306215 .89 & 15.899836 \\
\hline \#\#Width & 45.36793 & 20.803062 & 14.280739 9.9620 & 9.962015 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & 34.78062 & 15.899836 & 9.96201510 .38 & 10.389434 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & 6945.16129 & 3507.549088 & 1950.4715991479 .36 & 1479.365358 \\
\hline \#\# & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Weight} \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-2795.0947} \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-2549.6546} \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{517.1327} \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{22825.5049} \\
\hline \#\#RPM & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-150636.1325} \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-215349.6757} \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{1729.4683} \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{339.0954} \\
\hline \#\#Length & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{6945.1613} \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{3507.5491} \\
\hline \#\#Width & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{1950.4716} \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{1479.3654} \\
\hline \#\#Weight & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{347977.8927} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\#\#\$H} \\
\hline \#\# & MPG.city & y MPG.highway & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{EngineSize Horsepower} \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & 12.6374638 & 811.1802504 & 94-2.44856549 -1 & 49.055525 \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & 11.1802504 & 4 9.9241995 & 95-2.15551417 -132. & 32.381671 \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & -2.4485655 & \(5-2.1555142\) & \(42 \quad 0.48131168\) & 28.438641 \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & -149.0555255 & \(5-132.3816709\) & 28.43864077 1788 & 88.168412 \\
\hline \#\#RPM & 116.9463468 & 8 90.2758380 & -29.90735790 -9 & 35.019669 \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & 850.6791690 & 0744.7148717 & 17-168.44221351-9825 & 25.172173 \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & -7.3863845 & \(5-6.5473387\) & 1.41367337 & 88.391549 \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & -0.2756475 & \(5-0.2507147\) & \(47 \quad 0.05519028\) & 3.036255 \\
\hline \#\#Length & -29.0878749 & 9-25.4205633 & 333 5.74148535 337 & 37.880225 \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & -12.4579187 & \(7-11.0208656\) & 2.38906697 1 & 48.928887 \\
\hline \#\#Width & -6.8768553 & \(3-6.0641799\) & 7991.35405290 & 79.579106 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & -4.9652258 & \(8-4.3460777\) & 770.97719452 & 57.833523 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & -1399.0819460 & \(0-1239.6883974\) & 74 268.43952022 16693 & 93. 580681 \\
\hline \#\# & RPM & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{M Rev.per.mile Fuel.tank.capacity} & Passengers \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & 116.946347 & \(7 \quad 850.67917\) & -7.3863845 & -0.27564745 \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & 90.275838 & 8744.71487 & -6.5473387 & -0.25071469 \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & -29.907358 & \(8-168.44221\) & 1 1.4136734 & 0.05519028 \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & -935.019669 & 9-9825.17217 & \(7 \quad 88.3915487\) & 3.03625516 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
rm.coef
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \#\#RPM & 8930.289631 & 11941.01945 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-51.6620352 -3.30491485} \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & 11941.019450 & 59470.19917 & -490.0061258 & -18.17896445 \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & -51.662035 & -490.00613 & 4.3742368 & 0.14814085 \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & -3.304915 & -18.17896 & 0.1481409 & 0.01208827 \\
\hline \#\#Length & -397.601848 & -2033.81167 & 16.8646785 & 0.57474210 \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & -93.828737 & -830.92582 & 7.3783050 & 0.24261242 \\
\hline \#\#Width & -84.771418 & -472.37388 & 3.9523474 & 0.16370704 \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & -64.578815 & -345.33527 & 2.8839031 & 0.09876958 \\
\hline \#\#Weight & -10423.776629 & -93087.56026 & 826.3348263 & 28.56899347 \\
\hline \#\# & Length & Wheelbase & Width Turn & . circle \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & -29.0878749 & -12.4579187 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(7-6.8768553-4.96522585\)} \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & -25.4205633 & -11.0208656 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(6-6.0641799-4.34607767\)} \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & 5.7414854 & 2.3890670 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(0 \quad 1.3540529 \quad 0.97719452\)} \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & 337.8802249 & 148.9288871 & 79.5791065 57.83 & 7.83352310 \\
\hline \#\#RPM & -397.6018484 & -93.8287370 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-84.7714184 -64.57881537} \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & -2033.8116669 & -830.9258201 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-472.3738765-345.33527111} \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & 16.8646785 & 7.3783050 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{3.9523474 2.88390313} \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & 0.5747421 & 0.2426124 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{0.1637070 0.09876958} \\
\hline \#\#Length & 69.9185456 & 28.6482825 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{516.034217911 .86931842} \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & 28.6482825 & 12.4615297 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{6.66873944 .89477408} \\
\hline \#\#Width & 16.0342179 & 6.6687394 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(4 \quad 3.8217667 \quad 2.73004255\)} \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & 11.8693184 & 4.8947741 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{2.7300425 2.01640426} \\
\hline \#\#Weight & 3199.4701647 & 1393.7884808 & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\(751.2183342 \quad 546.92139008\)} \\
\hline \#\# & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Weight} \\
\hline \#\#MPG.city & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-1399.08195} \\
\hline \#\#MPG.highway & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-1239.68840} \\
\hline \#\#EngineSize & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{268.43952} \\
\hline \#\#Horsepower & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{16693.58068} \\
\hline \#\#RPM & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-10423.77663} \\
\hline \#\#Rev.per.mile & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{-93087.56026} \\
\hline \#\#Fuel.tank.capacity & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{826.33483} \\
\hline \#\#Passengers & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{28.56899} \\
\hline \#\#Length & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{3199.47016} \\
\hline \#\#Wheelbase & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{1393.78848} \\
\hline \#\#Width & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{751.21833} \\
\hline \#\#Turn.circle & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{546.92139} \\
\hline \#\#Weight & \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{156186.68328} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\#\#\$r} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\#\#[1] 3} \\
\hline \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{\#\#\$call} \\
\hline \#\#lmHmat.data.frame(x & \(x=C a r s 93[c(7: 8\), & :8, 12:15, 17: & :22, 25)], y = Cars & 93[4:6]) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Description}

Computes the RM coefficient, measuring the similarity of the spectral decompositions of a pvariable data matrix, and of the matrix which results from regressing all the variables on a subset of only k variables.

\section*{Usage}
rm.coef(mat, indices)

\section*{Arguments}
mat the full data set's covariance (or correlation) matrix
indices a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different \(k\)-variable subset. If a 3 -d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.

\section*{Details}

Computes the RM coefficient that measures the similarity of the spectral decompositions of a pvariable data matrix, and of the matrix which results from regressing those variables on a subset (given by "indices") of the variables. Input data is expected in the form of a (co)variance or correlation matrix. If a non-square matrix is given, it is assumed to be a data matrix, and its correlation matrix is used as input.
The definition of the RM coefficient is as follows:
\[
R M=\sqrt{\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(X^{t} P_{v} X\right)}{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{X}}}
\]
where \(X\) is the full (column-centered) data matrix and \(P_{v}\) is the matrix of orthogonal projections on the subspace spanned by a k-variable subset.
This definition is equivalent to:
\[
R M=\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}(r)_{i}^{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j}}}
\]
where \(\lambda_{i}\) stands for the \(i\)-th largest eigenvalue of the covariance matrix defined by X and \(r\) stands for the multiple correlation between the i-th Principal Component and the k-variable subset.
These definitions are also equivalent to the expression used in the code, which only requires the covariance (or correlation) matrix of the data under consideration.
The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the RM values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic and improve (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

\section*{Value}

The value of the RM coefficient.

\section*{References}

Cadima, J. and Jolliffe, I.T. (2001), "Variable Selection and the Interpretation of Principal Subspaces", Journal of Agricultural, Biological and Environmental Statistics, Vol. 6, 62-79.

McCabe, G.P. (1986) "Prediction of Principal Components by Variable Subsets", Technical Report 86-19, Department of Statistics, Purdue University.

Ramsay, J.O., ten Berge, J. and Styan, G.P.H. (1984), "Matrix Correlation", Psychometrika, 49, 403-423.

\section*{Examples}
```


## An example with a very small data set.

data(iris3)
x<-iris3[,,1]
rm.coef(var(x),c(1,3))

## [1] 0.8724422

## An example computing the RMs of three subsets produced when the

## anneal function attempted to optimize the RV criterion (using an

## absurdly small number of iterations).

data(swiss)
rvresults<-anneal(cor(swiss),2,nsol=4,niter=5,criterion="Rv")
rm.coef(cor(swiss),rvresults\$subsets)

## Card.2

\#\#Solution 1 0.7982296
\#\#Solution 2 0.7945390
\#\#Solution 3 0.7649296
\#\#Solution 4 0.7623326

```
rv.coef \begin{tabular}{l} 
Computes the RV-coefficient applied to the variable subset selection \\
problem
\end{tabular}

\section*{Description}

Computes the RV coefficient, measuring the similarity (after rotations, translations and global resizing) of two configurations of \(n\) points given by: (i) observations on each of \(p\) variables, and (ii) the regression of those \(p\) observed variables on a subset of the variables.

\section*{Usage}
rv.coef(mat, indices)

\section*{Arguments}
mat
the full data set's covariance (or correlation) matrix
indices a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different \(k\)-variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.

\section*{Details}

Input data is expected in the form of a (co)variance or correlation matrix of the full data set. If a non-square matrix is given, it is assumed to be a data matrix, and its correlation matrix is used as input. The subset of variables on which the full data set will be regressed is given by indices.
The RV-coefficient, for a (coumn-centered) data matrix (with p variables/columns) X, and for the regression of these columns on a k -variable subset, is given by:
\[
R V=\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(X X^{t} \cdot\left(P_{v} X\right)\left(P_{v} X\right)^{t}\right)}{\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}\left(\left(X X^{t}\right)^{2}\right) \cdot \operatorname{tr}\left(\left(\left(P_{v} X\right)\left(P_{v} X\right)^{t}\right)^{2}\right)}}
\]
where \(P_{v}\) is the matrix of orthogonal projections on the subspace defined by the k-variable subset.
This definition is equivalent to the expression used in the code, which only requires the covariance (or correlation) matrix of the data under consideration.
The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the RV values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic and improve (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

\section*{Value}

The value of the RV-coefficient.

\section*{References}

Robert, P. and Escoufier, Y. (1976), "A Unifying tool for linear multivariate statistical methods: the RV-coefficient", Applied Statistics, Vol.25, No.3, p. 257-265.

\section*{Examples}
```


# A simple example with a trivially small data set

data(iris3)
x<-iris3[,,1]
rv.coef(var(x),c(1,3))

## [1] 0.8659685

\#\# An example computing the RVs of three subsets produced when the \#\# anneal function attempted to optimize the RM criterion (using an \#\# absurdly small number of iterations).

```
```

data(swiss)
rmresults<-anneal(cor(swiss),2,nsol=4,niter=5,criterion="Rm")
rv.coef(cor(swiss),rmresults\$subsets)

## Card.2

\#\#Solution 1 0.8389669
\#\#Solution 2 0.8663006
\#\#Solution 3 0.8093862
\#\#Solution 4 0.7529066

```
    tau2.coef
Computes the Tau squared coefficient for a multivariate linear hypoth-
esis

\section*{Description}

Computes the Tau squared index of "effect magnitude". The maximization of this criterion is equivalent to the minimization of Wilk's lambda statistic.

\section*{Usage}
tau2.coef(mat, H, r, indices, tolval=10*.Machine\$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps)

\section*{Arguments}
mat the Variance or Total sums of squares and products matrix for the full data set.
H the Effect description sums of squares and products matrix (defined in the same way as the mat matrix).
\(r\) the Expected rank of the H matrix. See the Details below.
indices a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different \(k\)-variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
tolval the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness of the 'total' and 'effects' (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are considered equivalent to zero.
tolsym the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects \((H)\) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes \((\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{A})) / 2\).

\section*{Details}

Different kinds of statistical methodologies are considered within the framework, of a multivariate linear model:
\[
X=A \Psi+U
\]
where \(X\) is the (nxp) data matrix of original variables, \(A\) is a known (nxp) design matrix, \(\Psi\) an (qxp) matrix of unknown parameters and \(U\) an (nxp) matrix of residual vectors. The \(\tau^{2}\) index is related to the traditional test statistic (Wilk's lambda statistic) and measures the contribution of each subset to an Effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis of the form \(C \Psi=0\), where \(C\) is a known cofficient matrix of rank r. The Wilk's lambda statistic \((\lambda)\) is given by:
\[
\Lambda=\frac{\operatorname{det}(E)}{\operatorname{det}(T)}
\]
where \(E\) is the Error matrix and \(T\) is the Total matrix. The index \(\tau^{2}\) is related to the Wilk's lambda statistic ( \(\Lambda\) ) by:
\[
\tau^{2}=1-\lambda^{(1 / r)}
\]
where \(r\) is the rank of \(H\) the Effect matrix.
The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the \(\tau^{2}\) values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

\section*{Value}

The value of the \(\tau^{2}\) coefficient.

\section*{Examples}
```


## --------------------------------------------------------------------

## 1) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.

## We considered the Iris data and three groups,

## defined by species (setosa, versicolor and virginica).

data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
tau2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat\$H,r=2,c(1,3))

## [1] 0.8003044

## -------------------------------------------------------------------

## 2) An example computing the value of the tau_2 criterion for two

## subsets produced when the anneal function attempted to optimize

## the xi_2 criterion (using an absurdly small number of iterations).

xiresults<-anneal(irisHmat$mat,2,nsol=2,niter=2,criterion="xi2",
H=irisHmat$H,r=2)
tau2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,xiresults\$subsets)

## 

    Card.2
    ```
```

\#\#Solution 10.8079476

```
\#\#Solution 20.7907710
\#\# --------------------------------------------------------------------------1
trim.matrix
Given an ill-conditioned square matrix, deletes rows/columns until a well-conditioned submatrix is obtained.

\section*{Description}

This function seeks to deal with ill-conditioned matrices, for which the search algorithms of optimal k-variable subsets could encounter numerical problems. Given a square matrix mat which is assumed positive semi-definite, the function checks whether it has reciprocal of the 2-norm condition number (i.e., the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue) smaller than tolval. If not, the matrix is considered well-conditioned and remains unchanged. If the ratio of the smallest to largest eigenvalue is smaller than tolval, an iterative process is begun, which deletes rows/columns (using Jolliffe's method for subset selections described on pg. 138 of the Reference below) until a principal submatrix with reciprocal of the condition number larger than tolval is obtained.

\section*{Usage}
trim.matrix(mat,tolval=10*.Machine\$double.eps)

\section*{Arguments}
mat a symmetric matrix, assumed positive semi-definite.
tolval the tolerance value for the reciprocal condition number of matrix mat.

\section*{Details}

For the given matrix mat, eigenvalues are computed. If the ratio of the smallest to the largest eigenvalue is less than tolval, matrix mat remains unchanged and the function stops. Otherwise, an iterative process is begun, in which the eigenvector associated with the smallest eigenvalue is considered and its largest (in absolute value) element is identified. The corresponding row/column are deleted from matrix mat and the eigendecomposition of the resulting submatrix is computed. This iterative process stops when the ratio of the smallest to largest eigenvalue is not smaller than tolval.

The function checks whether the input matrix is square, but not whether it is positive semi-definite. This trim.matrix function can be used to delete rows/columns of square matrices, until only nonnegative eigenvalues appear.

\section*{Value}

Output is a list with four items:
trimmedmat is a principal submatrix of the original matrix, with the ratio of its smallest to largest eigenvalues no smaller than tolval. This matrix can be used as input for the search algorithms in this package.
numbers.discarded
is a list of the integer numbers of the original variables that were discarded.
names.discarded
is a list of the original column numbers of the variables that were discarded.
size is the size of the output matrix.

Note
When the trim.matrix function is used to produce a well-conditioned matrix for use with the anneal, genetic, improve or eleaps functions, care must be taken in interpreting the output of those functions. In those search functions, the selected variable subsets are specified by variable numbers, and those variable numbers indicate the position of the variables in the input matrix. Hence, if a trimmed matrix is supplied to functions anneal, genetic, improve or eleaps, variable numbers refer to the trimmed matrix.

\section*{References}

Jolliffe, I.T. (2002) Principal Component Analysis, second edition, Springer Series in Statistics.

\section*{Examples}
```


# a trivial example, for illustration of use: creating an extra column,

# as the sum of columns in the "iris" data, and then using the function

# trim.matrix to exclude it from the data's correlation matrix

data(iris)
lindepir<-cbind(apply(iris[, -5], 1, sum),iris[, -5])
colnames(lindepir)[1]<-"Sum"
cor(lindepir)

| \#\# | Sum Sepal.Length |  |  |  | Sepal. Width Petal.Length Petal. Width |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\#Sum | 1.0000000 | 0.9409143 | -0.2230928 | 0.9713793 | 0.9538850 |
| \#\#Sepal.Length | 0.9409143 | 1.0000000 | -0.1175698 | 0.8717538 | 0.8179411 |
| \#\#Sepal.Width | -0.2230928 | -0.1175698 | 1.0000000 | -0.4284401 | -0.3661259 |
| \#\#Petal.Length | 0.9713793 | 0.8717538 | -0.4284401 | 1.0000000 | 0.9628654 |
| \#\#Petal.Width | 0.9538850 | 0.8179411 | -0.3661259 | 0.9628654 | 1.0000000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| trim.matrix(cor(lindepir)) |  |  |  |  |  |

\#\#\$trimmedmat

## Sepal.Length Sepal.Width Petal.Length Petal.Width

| \#\#Sepal.Length | 1.0000000 | -0.1175698 | 0.8717538 | 0.8179411 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

```
```

\#\#Petal.Length 0.8717538
\#\#Petal.Width 0.8179411 -0.3661259 0.9628654 1.0000000

## 

\#\#\$numbers.discarded
\#\#[1] 1

## 

\#\#\$names.discarded
\#\#[1] "Sum"

## 

\#\#$size
##[1] 4
data(swiss)
lindepsw<-cbind(apply(swiss,1, sum), swiss)
colnames(lindepsw)[1]<-"Sum"
trim.matrix(cor(lindepsw))
##$lowrankmat

## Fertility Agriculture examination Education Catholic

\#\#Fertility 1.0000000 0.35307918 -0.6458827 -0.66378886 0.4636847
\#\#Agriculture 0.3530792 1.00000000 -0.6865422 -0.63952252 0.4010951
\#\#Examination -0.6458827 -0.68654221 1.0000000 0.69841530
\#\#Education -0.6637889 -0.63952252 0.6984153 1.00000000 -0.1538589
\#\#Catholic 0.4636847 0.40109505 -0.5727418 -0.15385892 1.0000000
\#\#Infant.Mortality 0.4165560 -0.06085861 -0.1140216 -0.09932185 0.1754959

## Infant.Mortality

\#\#Fertility 0.41655603
\#\#Agriculture -0.06085861
\#\#Examination -0.11402160
\#\#Education -0.09932185
\#\#Catholic 0.17549591
\#\#Infant.Mortality 1.00000000

## 

\#\#\$numbers.discarded
\#\#[1] 1

## 

\#\#\$names.discarded
\#\#[1] "Sum"

## 

\#\#\$size
\#\#[1] 6

```
wald.coef

Wald statistic for variable selection in generalized linear models

\section*{Description}

Computes the value of Wald's statistic, testing the significance of the excluded variables, in the context of variable subset selection in generalized linear models

\section*{Usage}
wald.coef(mat, H, indices,
tolval=10*.Machine\$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps)

\section*{Arguments}
mat An estimate (FI) of Fisher's information matrix for the full model variablecoefficient estimates

H A matrix product of the form \(\mathrm{FI} \% * \% \mathrm{~b} \% * \% \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{b}) \% * \% \mathrm{FI}\) where b is a vector of variable-coefficient estimates
indices a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different \(k\)-variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
tolval the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness of the Fisher Information and the auxiliar (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are considered equivalent to zero.
tolsym the tolerance level for symmetry of the Fisher Information and the auxiliar (H) matrices. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes \((A+t(A)) / 2\).

\section*{Details}

Variable selection in the context of generalized linear models is typically based on the minimization of statistics that test the significance of excluded variables. In particular, the likelihood ratio, Wald's, Rao's and some adaptations of such statistics, are often proposed as comparison criteria for variable subsets of the same dimensionality. All these statistics are assympotically equivalent and can be converted into information criteria, like the AIC, that are also able to compare subsets of different dimensionalities (see references [1] and [2] for further details).
Among these criteria, Wald's statistic has some computational advantages because it can always be derived from the same (concerning the full model) maximum likelihood and Fisher information estimates. In particular, if \(W_{\text {allv }}\) is the value of the Wald statistic testing the significance of the full covariate vector, b and FI are coefficient and Fisher information estimates and H is an auxiliary rank-one matrix given by \(\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{FI} \% * \% \mathrm{~b} \% * \% \mathrm{t}(\mathrm{b}) \% * \% \mathrm{FI}\), it follows that the value of Wald's statistic for the excluded variables \(\left(W_{\text {excv }}\right)\) in a given subset is given by \(W_{\text {excv }}=W_{\text {allv }}-\) \(\operatorname{tr}\left(F I_{\text {indices }}^{-1} H_{\text {indices }}\right)\), where \(F I_{\text {indices }}\) and \(H_{\text {indices }}\) are the portions of the FI and H matrices associated with the selected variables.
The FI and H matrices can be retrieved (from a glm object) by the glmHmat function and may be used as input to the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps. The Wald function computes the value of Wald statistc from these matrices for a subset specified by indices

The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the Wald statistic values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

\section*{Value}

The value of the Wald statistic.

\section*{References}
[1] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1978). Efficient Screening of Nonnormal Regression Models, Biometrics, Vol. 34, 318-327.
[2] Lawless, J. and Singhal, K. (1987). ISMOD: An All-Subsets Regression Program for Generalized Models I. Statistical and Computational Background, Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine, Vol. 24, 117-124.

\section*{Examples}
```


## --------------------------------------------------------------------------

## An example of variable selection in the context of binary response

## regression models. The logarithms and original physical measurements

## of the "Leptograpsus variegatus crabs" considered in the MASS crabs

## data set are used to fit a logistic model that takes the sex of each crab

## as the response variable.

library(MASS)
data(crabs)
lFL <- log(crabs$FL)
lRW <- log(crabs$RW)
lCL <- log(crabs$CL)
lCW <- log(crabs$CW)
logrfit <- glm(sex ~ FL + RW + CL + CW + lFL + lRW + lCL + lCW,
crabs,family=binomial)

## Warning message:

## fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred in: glm.fit(x = X, y = Y,

## weights = weights, start = start, etastart = etastart,

lHmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)
wald.coef(lHmat$mat,lHmat$H, c(1,6,7),tolsym=1E-06)

## [1] 2.286739

## Warning message:

## The covariance/total matrix supplied was slightly asymmetric:

## symmetric entries differed by up to 6.57252030578093e-14.

## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).

## It has been replaced by its symmetric part.

## in: validmat(mat, p, tolval, tolsym)

## --------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 2) An example computing the value of the Wald statistic in a logistic

## model for five subsets produced when a probit model was originally

```
\#\# considered
```

library(MASS)
data(crabs)
lFL <- log(crabs$FL)
lRW <- log(crabs$RW)
lCL <- log(crabs$CL)
lCW <- log(crabs$CW)
probfit <- glm(sex ~ FL + RW + CL + CW + lFL + lRW + lCL + lCW,
crabs,family=binomial(link=probit))

## Warning message:

## fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred in: glm.fit(x = X, y = Y,

## weights = weights, start = start, etastart = etastart)

pHmat <- glmHmat(probfit)
probresults <-eleaps(pHmat$mat,kmin=3,kmax=3,nsol=5,criterion="Wald",H=pHmat$H,
r=1,tolsym=1E-10)

## Warning message:

## The covariance/total matrix supplied was slightly asymmetric:

## symmetric entries differed by up to 3.14059889205964e-12.

## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).

## It has been replaced by its symmetric part.

## in: validmat(mat, p, tolval, tolsym)

logrfit <- glm(sex ~ FL + RW + CL + CW + lFL + lRW + lCL + lCW,
crabs,family=binomial)

## Warning message:

## fitted probabilities numerically 0 or 1 occurred in: glm.fit(x = X, y = Y,

## weights = weights, start = start, etastart = etastart)

lHmat <- glmHmat(logrfit)
wald.coef(lHmat$mat,H=lHmat$H,probresults\$subsets,tolsym=1e-06)

## Card.3

## Solution 1 2.286739

## Solution 2 2.595165

## Solution 3 2.585149

## Solution 4 2.669059

## Solution 5 2.690954

## Warning message:

## The covariance/total matrix supplied was slightly asymmetric:

## symmetric entries differed by up to 6.57252030578093e-14.

## (less than the 'tolsym' parameter).

## It has been replaced by its symmetric part.

## in: validmat(mat, p, tolval, tolsym)

```
```

xi2.coef

```

Computes the Xi squared coefficient for a multivariate linear hypothesis

\section*{Description}

Computes the Xi squared index of "effect magnitude". The maximization of this criterion is equivalent to the maximization of the traditional test statistic, the Bartllet-Pillai trace.

\section*{Usage}
xi2. coef(mat, H, r, indices, tolval=10*.Machine\$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps)

\section*{Arguments}
mat the Variance or Total sums of squares and products matrix for the full data set.
H the Effect description sums of squares and products matrix (defined in the same way as the mat matrix).
\(r \quad\) the Expected rank of the H matrix. See the Details below.
indices a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent a different \(k\)-variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third dimension corresponds to different cardinalities.
tolval the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness of the 'total' and 'effects' (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are considered equivalent to zero.
tolsym the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and for the effects \((H)\) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will be aborted. If corresponding entries are different, but by less than this value, the input matrix will be replaced by its symmetric part, i.e., input matrix A becomes \((\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{A})) / 2\).

\section*{Details}

Different kinds of statistical methodologies are considered within the framework, of a multivariate linear model:
\[
X=A \Psi+U
\]
where \(X\) is the ( nxp ) data matrix of original variables, \(A\) is a known ( nxp ) design matrix, \(\Psi\) an (qxp) matrix of unknown parameters and \(U\) an (nxp) matrix of residual vectors. The Xi squared index is related to the traditional test statistic (Bartllet-Pillai trace) and measures the contribution of each subset to an Effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis of the form \(C \Psi=0\), where \(C\) is a known cofficient matrix of rank r. The Bartllet-Pillai trace \((P)\) is given by: \(P=\operatorname{tr}\left(H T^{-1}\right)\) where \(H\) is the Effect matrix and \(T\) is the Total matrix. The Xi squared index is related to BartlletPillai trace \((P)\) by:
\[
\xi^{2}=\frac{P}{r}
\]
where \(r\) is the rank of \(H\) matrix.
The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the Xi squared values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps (whose
output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

\section*{Value}

The value of the \(\xi^{2}\) coefficient.

\section*{Examples}
```


## -------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 1) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.

## We considered the Iris data and three groups,

## defined by species (setosa, versicolor and virginica).

data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
xi2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat\$H,r=2,c(1, 3))

## [1] 0.4942503

## -----------------------------------------------------------------------

## 2) An example computing the value of the xi_2 criterion for two subsets

## produced when the anneal function attempted to optimize the tau_2

## criterion (using an absurdly small number of iterations).

tauresults<-anneal(irisHmat$mat, 2,nsol=2,niter=2,criterion="tau2",
H=irisHmat$H,r=2)
xi2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,tauresults\$subsets)

## Card.2

\#\#Solution 1 0.5718811
\#\#Solution 2 0.5232262

## --------------------------------------------------------------------

```
zeta2.coef \(\begin{aligned} & \text { Computes the Zeta squared coefficient for a multivariate linear hy- } \\ & \text { pothesis }\end{aligned}\)

\section*{Description}

Computes the Zeta squared index of "effect magnitude". The maximization of this criterion is equivalent to the maximization of the traditional test statistic, the Lawley-Hotelling trace.

\section*{Usage}
zeta2.coef(mat, H, r, indices,
tolval=10*.Machine\$double.eps, tolsym=1000*.Machine\$double.eps)

\section*{Arguments}
\(\left.\left.\begin{array}{ll}\text { mat } & \text { the Variance or Total sums of squares and products matrix for the full data set. } \\ \text { the Effect description sums of squares and products matrix (defined in the same } \\ \text { way as the mat matrix). } \\ \text { r } & \text { the Expected rank of the H matrix. See the Details below. } \\ \text { a numerical vector, matrix or 3-d array of integers giving the indices of the } \\ \text { variables in the subset. If a matrix is specified, each row is taken to represent } \\ \text { a different } k \text {-variable subset. If a 3-d array is given, it is assumed that the third } \\ \text { dimension corresponds to different cardinalities. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { the tolerance level to be used in checks for ill-conditioning and positive-definiteness } \\ \text { of the 'total' and 'effects' (H) matrices. Values smaller than tolval are consid- } \\ \text { ered equivalent to zero. }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}\text { the tolerance level for symmetry of the covariance/correlation/total matrix and } \\ \text { for the effects (H) matrix. If corresponding matrix entries differ by more than } \\ \text { this value, the input matrices will be considered asymmetric and execution will }\end{array}\right\}\)

\section*{Details}

Different kinds of statistical methodologies are considered within the framework, of a multivariate linear model:
\[
X=A \Psi+U
\]
where \(X\) is the ( nxp ) data matrix of original variables, \(A\) is a known ( nxp ) design matrix, \(\Psi\) an ( qxp ) matrix of unknown parameters and \(U\) an (nxp) matrix of residual vectors. The \(\zeta^{2}\) index is related to the traditional test statistic (Lawley-Hotelling trace) and measures the contribution of each subset to an Effect characterized by the violation of a linear hypothesis of the form \(C \Psi=0\), where \(C\) is a known cofficient matrix of rank r. The Lawley-Hotelling trace is given by: \(V=\operatorname{tr}\left(H E^{-1}\right)\) where \(H\) is the Effect matrix and \(E\) is the Error matrix. The index \(\zeta^{2}\) is related to Lawley-Hotelling trace ( \(V\) ) by:
\[
\zeta^{2}=\frac{V}{V+r}
\]
where \(r\) is the rank of \(H\) matrix.
The fact that indices can be a matrix or 3-d array allows for the computation of the \(\zeta^{2}\) values of subsets produced by the search functions anneal, genetic, improve and eleaps (whose output option \$subsets are matrices or 3-d arrays), using a different criterion (see the example below).

\section*{Value}

The value of the \(\zeta^{2}\) coefficient.

\section*{Examples}
```


## 1) A Linear Discriminant Analysis example with a very small data set.

## We considered the Iris data and three groups,

## defined by species (setosa, versicolor and virginica).

data(iris)
irisHmat <- ldaHmat(iris[1:4],iris$Species)
zeta2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat\$H,r=2,c(1,3))

## [1] 0.9211501

## --------------------------------------------------------------------

## 2) An example computing the value of the zeta_2 criterion for two

## subsets produced when the anneal function attempted to optimize

## the ccr1_2 criterion (using an absurdly small number of iterations).

ccr1results<-anneal(irisHmat$mat,2,nsol=2,niter=2,criterion="ccr12",
H=irisHmat$H,r=2)
zeta2.coef(irisHmat$mat,H=irisHmat$H,r=2,ccr1results\$subsets)

## Card.2

\#\#Solution 1 0.9105021
\#\#Solution 2 0.9161813

## -------------------------------------------------------------------
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